

Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Davison, Glen and Kehaya, Corinna and Jones, Arwel W (2015) Nutritional and Physical Activity Interventions to Improve Immunity. *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine*. ISSN 1559-8276.

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1559827614557773>

Link to record in KAR

<http://kar.kent.ac.uk/43461/>

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

Davison, G. Kehaya, C. and Jones A.W. **Nutritional and Physical Activity Interventions to Improve Immunity**

Author's self-archiving version. The original publication is available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1559827614557773>

Table 1. Overview of evidence for likely benefit from physical activity interventions

Intervention	Context	Immune markers (<i>in vitro/ex vivo</i>)	<i>In vivo</i> markers, URTI, or other clinically relevant endpoint
Aerobic (continuous) exercise*	Active adults	☑☑☐	☑☑☑
	Sedentary adults	☑☑☐	☑☑☑
	Elderly	☑☑☑	☑☑☑
Interval exercise	Active adults	☐☐☐	☐☐☐
	Sedentary adults	No studies at present	No studies at present
	Elderly	No studies at present	No studies at present
Resistance exercise	Active adults	☐☐☐	☑☑☐ Most effective as adjuvant in those with poor immune responses to vaccine
	Sedentary adults	☐☐☐	☑☑☐ Most effective as adjuvant in those with poor immune responses to vaccine
	Elderly	☑☑☐	☑☑☐

Active adults: 18-65 years. Sedentary adults: 18-65 years. Elderly: > 65 years. *Aerobic (continuous) exercise: e.g. in line with current physical activity guidelines.

☐☐☐: No evidence of benefit; ☑☐☐: Limited evidence of benefit; ☑☑☐: Reasonable-good evidence of benefit; ☑☑☑ Strong evidence of benefit

Table 2. Overview of evidence for likely benefit from nutritional interventions

Intervention	Context	Benefit when consumed/supplemented? <i>i.e. in those not already deficient/with an adequate diet or nutrient status</i>	
		Immune markers (<i>in vitro/ex vivo</i>)	<i>In vivo</i> markers, URTI, or other clinically relevant endpoint
Carbohydrate	Healthy adults	☐☐☐	☐☐☐
	Elderly	☐☐☐	☐☐☐
	Physiological stress	☑☑☑	No studies at present.
Antioxidants	Healthy adults	☐☐☐	☑☐☐ Small effects of vitamin C, on URTI duration, observed in some cases.
	Elderly	☐☐☐	☐☐☐
	Physiological stress	☐☐☐	☑☐☐ Some potential benefit of vitamin C in individuals exposed to extreme physical and environmental stressors (unlikely of benefit for the vast majority of the normal population). ☑☐☐ Some potential benefits but limited to cross-sectional studies at present.
Vitamin D	Healthy adults	☐☐☐	
	Elderly	☐☐☐	☑☐☐
	Physiological stress	☐☐☐	☐☐☐
Multi-nutrient (e.g. multi-vitamin/mineral)	Healthy adults	☐☐☐	☐☐☐
	Elderly	☐☐☐	☐☐☐
	Physiological stress	☑☐☐ Potential benefit but not known if simply reflects increased 'baseline' requirement in this population.	☐☐☐
Probiotics	Healthy adults	☑☑☐	☑☑☐
	Elderly	☑☑☐	☑☐☐
	Physiological stress	☑☑☐	☑☑☑
Bovine colostrum	Healthy adults	☑☑☐	☑☑☑
	Elderly	☑☐☐	☑☐☐
	Physiological stress	☑☑☐	☑☑☑
Echinacea	Healthy adults	☑☐☐	☑☑☐
	Elderly	☑☐☐	☑☐☐
	Physiological stress	☑☐☐	☑☐☐
Polyphenols	Healthy adults	Range: ☐☐☐ to ☑☑☐ (depending on substance)	Range: ☐☐☐ to ☑☑☐ (depending on substance)
	Elderly	☑☐☐	☑☐☐
	Physiological stress	Range: ☐☐☐ to ☑☑☐ (depending on substance)	Range: ☐☐☐ to ☑☑☐ (depending on substance)

Healthy adults: 18-65 years. Elderly: > 65 years. Physical stressor, e.g. exercise training, intensified training period, novel exercise (neophyte context).

☐☐☐: No evidence of benefit; ☑☐☐: Limited evidence of benefit; ☑☑☐: Reasonable-good evidence of benefit; ☑☑☑ Strong evidence of benefit.

Title:

Nutritional and Physical Activity Interventions to Improve Immunity

Authors:

*Glen Davison¹ BSc, MSc, PhD; Corinna Kehaya¹ BSc; Arwel Wyn Jones^{2,3} BSc, PhD;

*corresponding author

Affiliations:

¹School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, University of Kent, UK.

²Department of Sport and Exercise Science, Aberystwyth University, Aberystwyth, UK.

³Clinical Research Centre, Prince Phillip Hospital, Llanelli, UK.

Addresses:

Dr. Glen Davison, School of Sport & Exercise Sciences, The Medway Building, Medway Campus, University of Kent, Chatham Maritime, Kent, ME4 4AG, United Kingdom. Email address: G.Davison@kent.ac.uk, Tel: +44 (0)1634888994

Corinna Kehaya, School of Sport & Exercise Sciences, The Medway Building, Medway Campus, University of Kent, Chatham Maritime, Kent, ME4 4AG, United Kingdom. Email address: ck313@kent.ac.uk.

Dr. Arwel W. Jones, Department of Sport and Exercise Science, Carwyn James Building, Penglais Campus, Aberystwyth University, Aberystwyth, SY23 3FD, United Kingdom. Email address: awj7@aber.ac.uk, Tel: +44(0)1970622282.

Running Title:

Nutritional, Physical Activity and Immunity

Abstract

Physical activity and nutrition are important in a healthy lifestyle with potential benefits to immunity often overlooked. Infection of the upper respiratory tract (URTI), and the associated symptoms, are the most frequent presentations to General Practitioners and may have significant economic and social impact. In this review, we consider the role of physical activity and nutrition in improving immunity. Evidence suggests that regular moderate activity is particularly beneficial for immune enhancement and reducing the risk of infection. We also discuss some nutritional strategies. Unfortunately, the evidence for many is weak. Avoiding nutritional deficiencies seems the most pragmatic recommendation. This can be achieved with a balanced diet. Including a variety of fruits and vegetables may help to ensure adequate intake of essential nutrients with little risk of excess intake of any single nutrient. Supplementation with individual nutrients is generally not recommended. Multi-nutrients may be beneficial for those with a pre-existing deficiency but not if normal dietary intake is sufficient. Further benefit may be gained from some supplements including probiotics, bovine colostrum, and some plant-derived products (Echinacea, black elderberry and some polyphenols) but only in specific situations/contexts. Individuals should consider their personal needs, use caution and avoid the indiscriminate use of supplements.

Key words

Exercise; Diet; Micronutrients; Supplements; Immunosenescence.

Nutritional and Physical Activity Interventions to Improve Immunity

Physical activity and nutrition are important in a healthy lifestyle. Indeed, an insufficient amount of physical activity has been classified by the World Health Organization (WHO) as a leading risk factor for global mortality from non-communicable diseases.^{1,2} As such, engaging in an appropriate amount of regular physical activity is associated with reductions in all-cause mortality.³ Likewise, a poor diet is associated with negative health consequences. Whilst many of the lifestyle (e.g. physical activity and diet)-related benefits are well documented, such as improvements in fitness, health, well-being, and perceived quality of life⁴, the potential benefits to immunity and infection susceptibility are of significant value yet are often overlooked. Infection of the upper respiratory tract (URT) and the associated symptoms, in the general population, are the most frequent presentations to General Practitioners.⁵⁻⁸ This has a significant economic impact for many reasons including absence from work and healthcare costs. There is also a significant human and social impact, which may include increased morbidity, reduced feelings of well-being, health and quality of life and reduced social interaction⁷. In this review, we consider the role of physical activity and nutrition in improving immune function and URT infection (URTI) risk. The overall focus is on improving immunity and infection risk. We hope that this review is particularly useful for clinicians and practitioners not already well-versed in this area, but also with useful contemporary and practical considerations for those who are.

The Immune System

Immunity is the ability to defend against infection and disease. The immune system is comprised of a variety of cells, tissues and molecules. The immune system is generally classified into two main 'arms': innate (non-specific) and adaptive (specific and acquired) but all components work synergistically and function in a highly orchestrated manner.⁹ The innate arm (e.g. involving phagocytes, Natural Killer cells) can respond very quickly but lacks specificity (i.e. the same response to all challenges) whereas the adaptive response (e.g. involving T and B lymphocytes) has both antigen specificity and 'memory' properties, but takes much longer to develop on initial exposure to an infectious agent, although the speed and magnitude of this response is increased with subsequent exposures.⁹⁻¹¹

Immune function changes throughout the lifespan with distinct differences evident at particular phases. At birth, neither the innate or adaptive immune systems are fully developed. Throughout the younger years, the immune system is challenged by a large number of infectious agents where the naïvety of immune mechanisms lead to an increased incidence of infection in infancy compared to adulthood.¹² Each response to a pathogenic challenge results in a further modulation of the adaptive immune system.¹³ Once adulthood is reached, the adaptive and innate immune systems are fully developed and generally considered to be robust.¹⁴ A decline in cellular and humoral immune function has been noted in older adults.¹⁵ This decline in physiological and immune function can be attributed to many factors including declining nutritional habits, lifestyle choices and immunosenescence.¹⁶ Immunosenescence is characterized by impaired function of immune cell populations and an "overcrowding" of the so-called immune space whereby the population of leukocyte subsets essential in responses to novel pathogens (naïve T lymphocytes), have been gradually replaced by expanded clones (effector and effector-

memory T lymphocytes) that have a late-stage differentiation phenotype and limited antigenic specificity.^{13,15,17-19} An increased inflammatory status has also been associated with the ageing process (inflamm-aging) which may give rise to other health issues in the older population (cardiovascular disease , Type 2 diabetes).²⁰ Immunosenescence is a primary factor contributing to increased infection susceptibility and decreased response to vaccinations in the elderly. Exercise and nutritional habits, however, are important modifiable factors that can have a significant impact on how well the immune system responds to challenge at all stages of life.^{10,11,21}

Physical Activity Interventions

The relationship between physical activity, immunity and susceptibility to upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) has been well investigated. A great deal of work in this area came after the J-shaped model on exercise and URTI risk was first hypothesized.^{21,22} This model suggests that a moderately active individual is less likely to contract an URTI compared to a sedentary individual and those engaged in activities with a strenuous workload (e.g. endurance athletes) on a regular basis. Observational and experimental studies have further investigated the proposed greater resistance to pathogens with moderately active lifestyles. Animal investigations have demonstrated that brief bouts of moderate physical activity (20 - 30 min running) compared to inactivity prior to or immediately following inoculation with pathogens leads to decreased mortality and morbidity from infection.^{23,24} Early exercise training studies of older and obese humans also demonstrated that 12-15 weeks of moderate exercise (e.g. 30-45 min at 60-75% heart rate reserve, 5 times per week) resulted in lower incidence or duration of URTI compared to sedentary individuals.²⁵⁻²⁷ These effects

have been supported by several longitudinal studies of the wider general population (ages 18-85 years) where maintenance of a moderately active lifestyle leads to lower self-reported⁽²⁸⁻³³⁾ or laboratory confirmed^(34,35) URTI episodes.

The adaptation of the immune system to physical activity is dependent on the duration, intensity and type of exertion.³⁶ One of the main mechanisms responsible for changes in host defense with moderate activity seems to be a greater immunosurveillance associated with moderate activity. Acute bouts of moderate intensity activity generally induce transient improvements in the immune system.³⁷ For example, moderate activity (cycling) of 1 h duration has been shown to increase the capacity of blood neutrophils (phagocytic cells) to respond to both receptor independent and receptor dependent *in vitro* stimulation.³⁸ This exercise-induced priming of neutrophil microbiocidal capacity has been suggested to be due to an increased presence of immunostimulating factors in the circulation and a greater proportion of responsive cells. Dhabhar et al.³⁹ suggest that the immunopotentialiation from moderate exercise is due to the bidirectional effect of stress hormones on immunity where subtle elevations are beneficial whereas significant and sustained elevations (as seen with prolonged and/or intensive exertion) are detrimental to the host. It is these short-lived changes in cell mediated immunity that occur during and shortly after each acute moderate exercise bout itself that are proposed to contribute to the lower risk of URTI.⁴⁰ There are various factors which mediate these relationships, one of which is the fitness status of participants. It is worthy to note that sedentary individuals, or those with low fitness or physical capacity (e.g. the 'new exerciser' taking up physical activity after a prolonged period of inactivity or a previously sedentary lifestyle, hereafter referred to as *the neophyte*) may initially (i.e. in the first few weeks) be more likely to suffer greater short-term immune

disturbance compared to active individuals in response to acute moderate activity. This is likely related, at least in part, to a larger stress response tipping the balance towards 'detrimental' immune effects as mentioned above in Dhabhar et al's³⁹ bidirectional model. The consequent immune perturbation and increased risk of URTI could therefore reinforce negative associations and perceptions of exercise from such individuals and increase the likelihood of subsequent drop-out or poor adherence.

Regular moderate activity in both populations (sedentary and active) is considered to promote an anti-inflammatory environment. This is an important underlying mechanism in the protection against chronic inflammatory conditions (e.g. cardiovascular disease, Type 2 diabetes, obesity) gained from physical activity in older populations.¹¹ Despite moderate activity induced decreases in resting concentrations of inflammatory mediators (e.g. acute phase reactants, C-reactive protein)⁴¹, evidence of an influence of regular moderate activity on resting leukocyte function remains equivocal however. It is likely that additional mechanisms are also responsible for the benefits of physical activity on overall immunity and infection risk. To further investigate the effect of moderate activity on cell-mediated immunity, animal and human experimental studies have monitored the production of cytokines by T lymphocytes. It is well established that intracellular pathogens trigger a (type 1) cell-mediated immune response resulting in the differentiation of naïve CD4+ and CD8+ T cells into T helper and T cytotoxic type 1 lymphocytes (Th1/Tc1) characterized by a phenotype of interferon (IFN)- γ and interleukin (IL)-2 production.⁴² In contrast, extracellular pathogens, induce a humoral (type 2) immune response resulting in the differentiation of naïve CD4+ and CD8+ T cells into Th2/Tc2 lymphocytes characterized by a phenotype of IL-4, IL-5, IL-10, and IL-13 production.⁴² Type 1 and type 2 phenotypes are mutually inhibitory,

whereby up-regulation or down-regulation of either leads to an imbalance in immune responses.⁴³ For example, it has been suggested that moderate activity can induce heightened type 1 responses and, given the importance of type 1 responses towards viral infection^{44,45}, contribute to decreased URTI risk. Baum et al.⁴⁶ showed that an acute bout of moderate cycling caused increases in IFN- γ production by peripheral blood lymphocytes of young adults where concentrations remained elevated compared to a control group 24 h post-exercise. Longitudinal studies (0.5 – 4 years) of moderate activity (cycling or walking for 30 min each day) have to date only been investigated in the older population where an age-associated decline in type 1 lymphocytes and cytokines have been reversed.^{47,48} Although a type 1 response is critical for immunosurveillance and early viral clearance, it is important to note that a sustained or excessive shift towards this phenotype has been implicated in tissue damage within lung pathology.⁴⁹ Martin et al.⁵⁰ have hypothesized, albeit from animal models, that moderate activity also potentiates immunoregulatory mechanisms to skew towards a Th2 phenotype and anti-inflammatory environment during responses to infection. Further research is warranted to determine the role of Type 1/Type 2 balance and cell-derived cytokines towards infection risk.

Longitudinal interventions (~16 weeks) also suggest that aerobic activities of a moderate intensity mediate the resting levels of other immune parameters in the circulatory and mucosal (saliva) compartments. This may include increasing ('restoring') plasma Ig or preventing further age-related decline in salivary concentrations (salivary secretory immunoglobulin A, SIgA) in the elderly or increasing levels (e.g. SIgA secretion rate) in the younger adult population.⁵¹⁻⁵⁵

SIgA of the mucosal immune system expressed as a saliva secretion rate has previously been found to be a strong predictor of URTI incidence (risk) during exposure to intense, prolonged exertion.⁵⁶ As a reduction in incidence of URTI symptoms during 12 weeks of moderate activity has been associated with an increase in SIgA⁵⁷, it is likely that this parameter plays an important role in the differential dose-response relationship of physical activity and infection risk. The underlying mechanisms of these changes are unclear but evidence from animal studies suggest that moderate activity may mediate an up-regulation of SIgA production by stimulating the expression of cytokines involved in the synthesis of the Ig.⁵⁸

Based on the available evidence, regular moderate (e.g. 3-5 times per week, 30-45 min of moderate intensity) aerobic activity should be promoted as a preventive strategy against URTI due to short-term acute changes after each bout and/or a summation effect over a longer period, with the exact temporal pattern being dependent on the investigated immune parameter and nature of the exercise. Inconsistencies in study design (e.g. lack of resting control group) make it difficult to identify the changes in immune measures that represent a clinically significant change and hence contribute to a reduction in rates of URTI. To what extent moderate exercise can exert an effect on immune function likely depends on the specific population. The addition of *in vivo* measures of immune function, which are considered more meaningful than *in vitro* measures, will increase understanding of the multicellular, whole system response to (antigenic or pathogenic) challenge.^{59,60} Further evaluation of both the acute and chronic response to moderate exercise will aid in maximising its potential in a public health context.

Resistance exercise has been studied less comprehensively in the context of its effects on immunity. The majority of research in this area has focused on the post exercise changes in leukocyte counts.⁶¹ Following an acute bout of resistance exercise, Natural Killer cells, and phagocytes (monocytes and neutrophils) increase in the circulation whereby the number of some of these subsets (monocytes, neutrophils) remain elevated for up to 2 h post-exercise.⁶² The duration and magnitude of the post exercise leukocytosis is diminished in the older population as a consequence of age-related decline in leukocytes.⁶¹ Differentiation of monocytes into macrophages and their infiltration into muscle tissue with neutrophils is essential for the regeneration and repair of muscle tissue after resistance exercise induced-damage.^{63,64} This leukocyte redistribution has been shown to be dependent on individual components of the resistance exercise (e.g. rest length, training load).^{65,66} The extent of this damage depends largely on the eccentric component of the exercise bout.⁶⁷ The infiltration of immune cells and release of chemokines and other inflammatory mediators associated with this muscle damage creates a localized pro-inflammatory environment.⁶⁸ Although focus of investigations with resistance exercise has not been on changes in infection risk *per se*, this heightened inflammatory environment has been proposed to be an effective adjuvant that may enhance responses to vaccination (⁶⁹) as discussed in the vaccination section below.

A convincing level of evidence has been developed in recent years showing that protocols of 3-7 repeated maximal “all out” cycling efforts (e.g. using the 30-second Wingate protocol) interspersed with short recovery intervals (typically 3-5 minutes) initiate physiological adaptations for both human health and performance.⁷⁰ However, immunoendocrine responses to this new phenomenon remain underexplored.⁷¹ Investigations, to date, have

only been completed in active adults but these studies have reported decrements in salivary SIgA, with similar protocols (e.g. 30-second all out efforts^{72,73} or 60-second all out efforts⁷⁴) with total exercise time as low as ~ 10 min. Despite such effects on mucosal immunity not being replicated in a more recent study with active males, decreases in neutrophil functional capacity (degranulation and oxidative burst) were observed following such activity.⁷¹ However, a more 'traditional' interval training session (20 efforts at 100% VO_{2max} with 2-minutes recovery intervals at 30%, similar to the type of session that is common for many endurance athletes) was previously shown to have little effect on salivary SIgA. The majority of these studies have also not measured URTI incidence and/or have lacked power to determine change in incidence with interval type exertion. Further research is warranted to confirm the longer term implications on markers of immunity and clinically relevant endpoints from this type of activity across the wider population. Despite the many general health benefits that can be gained from this type of training, given the short-term immune disturbances reported in many studies (or no effect in others) in active populations, the current evidence does not favor low volume high-intensity physical activity as an intervention to improve immunity.

***** please insert Table 1 near here *****

Ageing and immunosenescence

As discussed previously, ageing is associated with immunosenescence. It is possible that regular exercise can contribute to reducing, or delaying the onset of immunosenescence.¹⁵ Simpson (¹³) has suggested that exercise can increase the output of naïve T lymphocytes from the thymus and/or eliminate exhausted/senescent T lymphocytes, which can help to reverse the "overcrowding" of the so-called immune space, but this requires further

research. Many studies are cross-sectional,^{25,47,75-79} so it may be that exercise is more beneficial in preventing or limiting the decrease in the first place, which would suggest that the earlier in life the uptake of physical activity the better. Regardless, even if direct effects on immunosenescence are limited, there appears to be a number of other immune benefits that can be gained from previously sedentary individuals increasing their habitual physical activity levels, including reducing the risk of URTI or improving the response to vaccination (discussed further below).

Vaccination studies

Older adults are less responsive to vaccination, producing less hemagglutination-inhibition titers compared to their younger counterparts. This has been attributed to lifestyle factors and immunosenescence, particularly decreases in T lymphocyte function and the ratio of naïve:mature T lymphocytes.⁸⁰ As such, many studies have investigated the use of adjuvants (both endogenous and exogenous) to promote vaccine efficacy in these populations.

Long-term physical activity in “*at-risk*” populations (i.e. those demonstrating reduced antibody response to vaccination, which includes individuals over the age of 65 years) pre- and post-vaccination have shown promising results with improved antibody responses; greater hemagglutination-inhibition titers; and long-term immunogenicity to influenza, pneumococcus and meningococcus.⁸⁰⁻⁸⁶ Beneficial effects on vaccination responses have been shown in a number of studies, including investigation of the effects of physically active lifestyles^{80,82}; prescribed, moderate intensity aerobic exercise (e.g. 60-70% VO_{2max} 3-4 times per week, 25-60 minutes per session, for 10-12 months)⁸³⁻⁸⁵; aerobic and resistance

exercise⁸¹; prescribed low-moderate intensity activities (taiji and quigong, 3 x 1 hour sessions per week for 20 weeks)⁸⁶; although benefit was not found in one study with an overall lifestyle intervention that also included physical activity.⁸⁷ The non-significant effects observed in the latter study may be related to study design (including participants' age: typically ~ 10 years younger; and lack of control of the exercise intervention). Overall, regular moderate cardiovascular exercise (e.g. in line with current health guidelines) seems beneficial. A number of the above-mentioned vaccination studies, which prescribed long-term physical activity, also reported a greater perceived quality of life compared to control groups.^{80,88} This provides an additional mechanism by which exercise may enhance the response to vaccination as chronic psychological stress (e.g. also influencing quality of life measures) is also associated with decreased antibody response.⁸⁹ Therefore, there is an overall argument that long-term physical activity maintains both physical and psychological health leading to increased vaccine efficacy and blunting of age-related decline in immune function.

The effects of acute exercise on vaccine response have also been investigated. Exercise interventions include resistance exercise^{69,90,91}; cycling (incremental test followed by 25 minutes at 55% predicted maximum workload)^{92,93}; treadmill exercise (40 minutes at 55-65% maximal heart rate)⁹⁴; and walking (45 minutes at or above 55% maximal heart rate)⁹⁵. The evidence from these studies is less compelling than that of the above mentioned long-term exercise and physical activity studies. However, a greater proportion of these acute interventions have been undertaken in young adults where there is generally less scope for improvement than their older counterparts due to the lack of age-related decline in immunity. Indeed, there is a growing body of evidence advocating the application of

eccentric resistance exercise pre-vaccination in immunocompromised populations.^{91,96} This may be due to the local inflammatory response (with some suggestions that IL-6 is particularly important), activation of the innate immune system, and leukocyte trafficking responses that arise as a result of exercise, potentiating the immune response to the vaccine but the exact mechanisms, including age related differences, are still under investigation.^{69,92,94,97-99}

Nutritional Interventions

Nutrition has both direct and indirect effects on immune responses to challenges from foreign agents. Overnutrition, exemplified by obesity¹⁰⁰, is an area of particular concern. The number of obese individuals worldwide has doubled over the last two decades and prevalence is expected to continue to rise over the coming years.² Although the relationships of obesity with other health outcomes (e.g. heart disease, diabetes) are well documented, an area which has received limited attention is the influence of obesity on host defense and infection risk. Nevertheless, excess nutrient intake has been suggested to induce immune dysregulation, whereby obesity is associated with a state of chronic low-grade inflammation and a greater risk of developing infections, particularly those of the respiratory tract¹⁰¹, compared to non-obese healthy populations.¹⁰² Hence, increased physical activity may be beneficial in obese individuals due to improvements in weight and body composition and/or due to the anti-inflammatory effects of exercise, which may occur independent of weight loss.^{103,104}

Undernutrition/malnutrition is characterized by an insufficient intake of total energy and macronutrients and/or deficiencies in specific micronutrients.¹⁰⁵ Malnutrition is one of the leading causes of immunodeficiency in the developing world.¹⁰⁶ Although malnutrition is rare in developed countries, deficiencies or insufficiencies of certain nutrients may occur simply as a result of poor dietary choices. It would seem, therefore, that one of the most pragmatic 'interventions' (and possibly the simplest strategy in some respects) to benefit immunity and reduce infection risk is to consume a healthy and balanced diet. That is, avoiding the over consumption of any nutrient (or total energy intake and excessive weight gain) but providing sufficient energy and micronutrients to avoid any deficiencies. Although deficiency is more likely in some groups (e.g. those with eating disorders, smokers, the elderly),¹⁰⁷ it could be argued that a balanced diet, and the avoidance of deficiency, should be the 'normal' baseline for most individuals and so any nutritional strategies that may further enhance immunity are of particular interest.

A comprehensive evaluation of all areas of nutrition is beyond the scope of this review and the focus is on potential benefit from additional intake (i.e. beyond a normal, healthy and balanced diet). However, a noteworthy point is that a substantial proportion of the existing research in this area has utilised isolated (*in vitro* or *ex vivo*) markers of immune function (e.g. immune cell functions from peripheral blood samples). Whilst these are valuable to help identify potential mechanisms, it is important to bear in mind that such measures may lack clinical relevance (biological relevance). Hence, actual infection incidence (and the associated morbidity) and/or measures of *in vivo* immune function are considered more 'clinically relevant' as they provide information on the ability of the whole integrated system to mount a co-ordinated 'whole body' response and defend against infection.^{59,60} For this

reason we have focussed on a few nutritional interventions for which evidence is available on such high-value outcomes or clinically relevant endpoints. We also consider the effects of nutrition on immunity in exercising individuals, with specific consideration of the implications for *the neophyte* exerciser who may be at increased risk of URTI during the early stages of a new exercise regime (as discussed previously). However, we are not aware of any research that has specifically examined nutritional interventions in this (new exerciser) context and so we are limited to making such inferences based on the existing studies in active individuals undertaking either normal or intensive training.

Carbohydrate

Of the many nutritional interventions that have been investigated as potential countermeasures to exercise-induced immunodepression, supplementation with carbohydrate is often cited as the most efficacious and well-supported.^{9,98,108} There is little doubt that carbohydrate availability has a significant impact on exercise-induced immune changes. Indeed, individuals who consume less than the recommended amount of carbohydrate are at greater risk of immune disruption during exercise (extensively reviewed elsewhere, e.g. references^{9,98}). However, it could be argued that consuming sufficient carbohydrate in the diet falls within the remit of a balanced diet, and the avoidance of deficiency recommendation mentioned previously. Here we aim to consider interventions for which there is evidence of further benefit when dietary intake is already sufficient/not deficient. To this end, it is well established that the ingestion of carbohydrate (e.g. 30-60 g per h) acutely before and during immunodepressive exercise effectively blunts the stress response (e.g. increased cortisol, cytokine, and leukocyte redistribution responses) and perturbation in a host of immunological measures (e.g. stimulated neutrophil degranulation

and oxidative burst functions, lymphocyte proliferation and functions, and Natural Killer cell function).^{9,98,108,109} Despite the vast amount of research showing a beneficial effect of carbohydrate on a wide array of immune markers, however, there is currently a lack of evidence showing that this actually translates to a reduced risk of URTI (¹⁰⁹), meaning the clinical relevance remains to be determined fully. Further, the majority (of the small number) of studies that have assessed the high value (URTI risk) marker (^{59,60}) salivary SIgA have not found a benefit from carbohydrate supplementation.¹⁰⁹ On the other hand, animal models have demonstrated that increasing carbohydrate content of the diet reduces susceptibility to, and morbidity from, respiratory virus challenge (herpes simplex virus 1, HSV-1).¹¹⁰ Bishop et al. (¹¹¹) have also recently shown that carbohydrate ingestion blunts the post-exercise decrease in the *in vitro* migration of human T lymphocytes towards Human Rhinovirus infected airway epithelial cells. Given the vast amount of research showing beneficial effects of carbohydrate (albeit predominantly *in vitro/ex vivo* measures) it would be surprising if this does not translate to a benefit for clinically relevant endpoints and/or URTI incidence. However, at present such evidence is not available. Hence, more research is still required, on the effectiveness of carbohydrate supplementation, with clinically relevant outcomes (something we are currently working on in our laboratory).

Micronutrients

A deficiency of certain micronutrients (e.g. iron, zinc, Vitamin A) can have negative effects on immune function. This has led some to believe that additional intakes of these substances will further augment immunity. Immune function is usually restored to 'normal' once deficiency is corrected with additional intake above the normal (individual-specific)

requirement, for most micronutrients, providing no additional benefits. The effect of micronutrient deficiencies on immunity has been reviewed extensively elsewhere (e.g. ^{106,107}) and will not be discussed further here since the focus is on gaining additional benefit above what may be considered the 'normal' baseline (balanced diet). However, there is some evidence that additional intake of some micronutrients (i.e. more than current RDAs) has beneficial effects on the immune system and infection susceptibility in some situations (e.g. individuals exposed to extremes of physiological stress or exposed to environmental extremes, although this could simply reflect a higher than 'normal' requirement in these individuals, or under these circumstances) which will be considered below.

Antioxidants

Although, as a general rule for micronutrients, intakes in excess of normal requirements appear to offer little additional protection and, in some cases, might actually be detrimental, beneficial effects have been observed for some antioxidants (such as vitamin C and E). For example high doses of antioxidant vitamins (i.e. in the region of 10 × RDA) have been shown to reduce infection incidence in ultra-endurance athletes. This was originally thought to be mediated indirectly by the effects of antioxidants on minimising stress hormone and cytokine responses ¹¹² but this has more recently been called into question ^{113–115}. Whilst supplementing with high doses of antioxidants is capable of blunting the cortisol and IL-6 responses to a stressor ¹¹⁶ recent research has demonstrated that, in well-nourished healthy individuals, this has little effect on most aspects of immunity and URTI incidence ^{117,118} (although small effects of vitamin C on URTI duration have sometimes been observed ¹¹⁹). So whilst there may be some benefit for individuals exposed to extreme

physical and environmental stressors¹¹⁹ this is unlikely to be of significant benefit for the average individual undertaking regular physical activity to meet general health guidelines. Such nutritional practices may appear attractive for *the neophyte* who may be at increased risk of URTI as any interventions that can potentially enhance immunity (or reduce URTI risk) may be of benefit. We do however recommend an approach in which the potential benefits are 'weighed-up' against the relative risks, whereby the potential for negative or detrimental effects are also considered. It is sometimes suggested that vitamin C, as a water soluble vitamin, is safe and non-toxic with few side-effects and is one reason why high dose vitamin C is often advocated.¹¹⁹ However, we urge against high-dose intake of antioxidant supplements (particularly of single nutrients) as there is some strong evidence to show that they can actually interfere with normal physiological adaptations and blunt the normal training response (i.e. blunt the normal beneficial [healthy] changes that occur in response to physical activity and training, e.g. references¹²⁰⁻¹²²). Furthermore, high doses of single antioxidants (e.g. vitamin E) can potentially be pro-oxidant and pro-inflammatory.¹²³

Vitamin D

There has been a great deal of recent interest in the role of vitamin D in immunity and infection risk. Vitamin D is a secosteroid hormone that interacts with more than 200 genes via nuclear receptors, which are present in numerous tissues of the body. It therefore has been implicated to have a wide range of actions and physiological functions beyond the 'classical' role in bone health. There is now considerable evidence that sub-optimal vitamin D status is associated with compromised immunity and increased illness risk.¹²⁴⁻¹²⁶ Indeed, a large percentage of the population in many developed countries, including athletic

populations, are at risk of vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency (e.g. ^{127,128}). Vitamin D can be obtained from dietary sources, but it is primarily synthesised endogenously when the skin is exposed to solar ultraviolet B radiation. However, this synthesis is often minimal or negligible during winter months in some locations (e.g. from October to April at the latitude of 52°N and from November to February at the latitude of 42°N), due to the lower solar zenith angle, meaning deficiency is more likely.¹²⁹ It is worthy of note, however, that whilst some studies have observed associations between vitamin D status and URTI risk ¹³⁰ this is not a universal finding. Some of the discrepancies may be related to baseline status so it may be, as is the case for many micronutrients, that benefit is only likely in those who are deficient to begin with. Once deficiency is corrected there is generally no further benefit to be gained from additional intake. Hence, vitamin D supplementation may be unnecessary, and unlikely to be of benefit unless an individual has poor vitamin D status (which should be confirmed before recommending supplementation). It is also noteworthy that all studies to date have been cross-sectional or observational in nature rather than intervention studies (i.e. causality has not been determined). It is of course possible, therefore, that low vitamin D status is in fact a marker of compromised immune defence rather than the cause. Hence, further research is required, specifically supplementation trials ¹³¹, in this area.

Looking beyond single nutrients (mixed nutrients from supplemental or food sources)

Deficiency in a single nutrient is rare and combinations of nutrients (e.g. multi-vitamins and mineral supplements) may be particularly effective in those at risk of dietary deficiency.¹³²

An advantage to this approach is it may be considered lower risk (in terms of potential over intake of specific nutrients) than single nutrient supplements, which are often available in

much higher doses (and high doses of single nutrients may interfere with the absorption of others). Robson et al.¹¹⁵ observed an enhancement of neutrophil functional capacity in a group of 12 healthy young (mean age, 30 years) male and female athletes supplemented with a multi-vitamin and mineral supplement daily for 3 weeks. However, findings such as this may simply reflect the correction of mild deficiency or, be indicative of the increased requirement in athletic/training individuals meaning that the existing diet was sub-optimal and do not necessarily prove that 'additional' intakes are beneficial. Furthermore, a compelling argument can be made for obtaining nutrients from food rather than supplemental sources. For example, this may provide a natural mixture of nutrients meeting the aim of a multi-nutrient supplement. It may also provide nutrients that work together in synergy and have better bioavailability than isolated single nutrients or synthetic sources. In support of this Nieman et al.¹³³ observed a lower URTI incidence in individuals with a high intake of fruit (3 or more portions per day) compared to those who consumed 2 or less portions per day in their normal diet. It would seem, therefore, that a potentially beneficial strategy is to eat more fruit and vegetables as part of a healthy and balanced diet.

Immune-enhancing foods and supplements

The "immune" or "cold and flu" section of many health food shops are often littered with a vast array of supplements and products with claims that they enhance immunity. Unfortunately, the evidence for many of these is scant, if existent at all. Here, we have discussed some for which there is at least a reasonable body of evidence for general benefit (and in situations of additional physical strain) but this is not, however, intended to be an exhaustive list.

Dairy-derived supplements or functional foods (Probiotics, bovine colostrum)

Bovine colostrum (“early milk”) is the initial milk produced by cows, usually obtained within the first 48 hours postpartum. Like ‘normal’ milk, it contains a rich source of nutrition, both in terms of macronutrients and micronutrients but is also abundant in bioactive components including immune, growth and antimicrobial factors.^{134,135} Bovine colostrum has received considerable attention within *Nutrition* and *Immunology* fields in recent years and has been suggested to confer immune and health benefits. *In vitro* studies in which colostrum or isolated fractions thereof are added to incubation media generally show an enhancement or priming of *ex vivo* immune cell functions. This includes stimulated neutrophil oxidative burst and degranulation functions^{136,137}, and neutrophil and peripheral blood mononuclear cell cytokine production.^{138,139} It has been suggested that such effects are attributable to the lowest molecular weight (MW) fractions (< 10 kDa). This may help to explain the *in vivo* benefits observed in human studies if the breakdown/digestion of whole colostrum results in these substances becoming bioavailable within the body (i.e. as metabolites) although this idea requires further investigation.¹³⁵ Although the precise mechanisms remain to be determined, numerous studies have shown beneficial effects on immune function and infection risk in humans. Jensen et al.¹⁴⁰ observed an increase in peripheral blood neutrophil phagocytic activity acutely (1 h and 2h) after the consumption of a low MW fraction from colostrum, compared to placebo. However, Jones et al.¹⁴¹ and Davison & Diment¹⁴² found no effect of colostrum supplementation for 12 weeks and 4 weeks, respectively, in resting neutrophil function in healthy, physically active males (although there was a trend for resting neutrophil degranulation, in response to bacterial

stimulant, to be higher with colostrum supplementation in the 4-week study¹⁴²). Likewise, some studies have observed increases in resting salivary SIgA concentration or output after colostrum supplementation whereas others have not.¹⁴¹⁻¹⁴⁷ Bovine colostrum supplementation has also been shown to reduce or blunt the increase in intestinal permeability induced by heat stress or the administration of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs, which are known to have detrimental effects on the gut).¹⁴⁸⁻¹⁵⁰

As previously mentioned, *in vitro* (and *ex vivo*) markers of immune function are valuable to help identify potential mechanisms of action but *in vivo* and clinically relevant markers are more valuable.^{59,60} In two comprehensive reviews on the assessment of immune functions in healthy human subjects (in nutrition and immunity studies) by Albers et al.^{59,60} a general consensus was that challenge-type tests, including vaccine-specific antibody production, are the most highly rated (and valuable) measures, considering biological relevance, sensitivity and feasibility. He et al.¹⁵¹ observed a trend for a greater production of specific IgA, with colostrum compared to placebo supplementation, in humans treated with an oral Salmonella vaccine. Cesarone et al.¹⁵² have also shown a reduced relative risk of influenza infection in healthy participants and high-risk cardiovascular patients with bovine colostrum supplementation. Numerous exercise studies have also shown beneficial effects on selected immune markers and/or URTI risk (e.g. ^{141,153}). In summary, there is evidence that daily bovine colostrum supplementation, for 2-12 weeks, can have beneficial effects on intestinal barrier integrity, immune function and reduce the chances of suffering upper respiratory tract infections or symptoms. Preliminary work suggests that the beneficial effects on immune function are attributable, at least in part, to small bioactive components that survive digestion (and/or become biologically available after consumption) but further work is required to fully determine the mechanisms.^{135,141} The available evidence to date suggests

that these benefits are more apparent in individuals with compromised immune status (e.g. patients) or when healthy individuals are subject to additional immunodepressive stressors (e.g. during intensified, or novel, training periods) and hence, could be of use in *the neophyte* context previously mentioned.

Probiotics are defined as live microorganisms that, when ingested in adequate quantities, reach the intestine in sufficient numbers (alive) to exert a health benefit to the host.^{154,155}

Before further discussion of probiotics, it is important to first note that many different strains of bacteria exist and may be in commercial products claiming to be probiotics. However, only certain strains are efficacious, and only if consumed in sufficient quantity will they have a beneficial effect on immunity and infection risk (discussion of the various strains and quantities needed is beyond the scope of this review, and although we refer to some specific strains when discussing studies below, this is by no means an exhaustive list of potentially efficacious strains). Supplementation with appropriate probiotics is able to modulate the intestinal microbial flora and improve microbial balance. As the gut microbial flora is able to interact with intestinal epithelial cells and a number of specific immune cells, this can exert beneficial effects on the skin and upper respiratory tract, modulating mucosal and systemic immunity and influencing intestinal permeability and barrier functions when subjected to stressors.¹⁵⁶⁻¹⁵⁸ It may also contribute to improving nutritional and microbial balance within the intestinal tract¹⁵⁸ and hence improve immune function. Probiotics are most commonly consumed via fermented foods such as fermented milk or dairy products (e.g. yogurt). There is good evidence for beneficial effects of probiotics supplementation in individuals with compromised immune status and thus increased infection risk, for example, reducing gastrointestinal infection risk (from some enteric pathogens) and antibiotic-

associated diarrhoea and improving outcome in at-risk populations (e.g. intensive care patients).¹⁵⁸ There are, however, few well conducted studies in otherwise healthy individuals. In one study with healthy adults, deVrese et al.¹⁵⁵ investigated the effect of daily probiotics supplementation (5×10^7 colony forming units (CFU), including *Lactobacillus gasseri* PA 16/8, *Bifidobacterium longum* SP 07/3, and *B. bifidum* MF 20/5) on respiratory tract infection incidence (common cold and influenza, although there were no influenza reports in either group during the study period) during two separate winter/spring periods (representing a total 8-month period). They observed increases in cytotoxic plus suppressor T lymphocyte (CD8+) counts after 14-days on probiotics, compared to placebo. There was no difference between probiotic and placebo groups in the incidence of URTIs (on average an incidence rate equivalent to approximately 2 episodes per year was observed, as expected) but, in those infected, the duration of infection (approximately 7 vs 9 days) and severity ratings (total symptom score approximately < 80 vs > 100) were significantly lower in the probiotics group. A relatively recent Cochrane review (¹⁵⁹) also concluded that probiotics significantly reduced URTI risk, whereas duration and severity ratings are unaffected. A number of recent studies have also examined the effect of probiotics supplementation on vaccination responses with some showing increased vaccine-specific serum IgA concentrations but the effects are not always consistent and clear, hence further work is recommended on other Ig subclasses and seroprotection/seroconversion.¹⁶⁰

In a recent study, Cox et al.¹⁶¹ compared self-reported URT illness symptoms in 20 male athletes during the winter months, taking either a daily probiotics supplement (1.3×10^{10} CFU *Lactobacillus fermentum*) or placebo. They reported a lower number of days with symptoms (30 vs. 72 days), and lower severity ratings, in the probiotics group compared to

the placebo group. However, Kekkonen et al.¹⁶² reported no significant differences in URT illness symptoms or gastrointestinal symptoms in 141 runners randomised into either placebo or probiotics ($1.0\text{-}4.0\times 10^{10}$ CFU *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG) groups whereby supplements were taken daily for 3 months prior to, and for 2 weeks after, a marathon race. However, they did observe a trend for the duration of symptoms, in those who were affected, to be shorter in the probiotics group. Theoretically, beneficial effects on the gut may be beneficial to host immune defenses in athletes, and the studies of Cox et al.¹⁶¹ and, to a lesser extent, Kekkonen et al.¹⁶² provide preliminary evidence for this. More recently, Gleeson et al.¹⁶³ studied a group of endurance athletes who were given a daily probiotics drink ($\geq 13\times 10^9$ CFU *Lactobacillus casei* Shirota) or placebo for 16 weeks during winter training. There were no effects on a range of systemic immune markers apart from salivary SIgA, which decreased in the placebo group but was maintained in the probiotics supplemented group. Furthermore, the proportion of subjects in the placebo group who experienced 1 or more weeks with URTI symptoms was significantly greater (36% higher) than the probiotics group. Gleeson et al.¹⁶³ also reported a significantly lower total number of URTI episodes, number of weeks with symptoms and gastrointestinal discomfort symptoms in the probiotics group. Although further research is required to fully determine the underlying mechanisms, the above benefits may have implications to *the neophyte*, as previously discussed. With promising potential benefits and little risk, if any, of adverse effects, probiotics may be recommended with relative confidence.

Plant-derived and herbal supplements

Echinacea

Echinacea is a general name for a genus of plant species (coneflower) indigenous to North America. There are a number of variations within the genus but the most commonly used (in immune intervention studies) is *Echinacea purpurea* (purple coneflower). A number of derivatives have been isolated for human consumption (such as pressed juice, plant extracts etc). Studies have suggested that supplementation with *Echinacea* can have some beneficial effects on the incidence (or duration) of URTI symptoms although there is still some disagreement in the literature. Shah et al.¹⁶⁴ conducted a meta-analysis concluding that *Echinacea* can reduce the incidence of common cold by over 50% and significantly reduce the duration of infection, in those that do become infected. However, a more recent meta-analysis reported an overall weak effect, with small but positive 'preventive' effects likely.¹⁶⁵ However, there was some variation suggesting that not all products are equally beneficial, highlighting the important fact that the quality, and efficacy, of different products may vary.

Surprisingly, given the mainstream and consumer popularity of *Echinacea*, there are relatively few studies in the exercise immunology literature. A study by Hall et al.⁷³ investigated the effects of a commercial *Echinacea* product taken daily for 28 days (compared to a placebo) on immune function following an acute bout of strenuous exercise (3 repeated Wingate Anaerobic [30-second maximal sprint] tests). *Echinacea* was shown to reduce the magnitude of the exercise-induced decreases of salivary SIgA concentration and secretion. The incidence of self-reported URTI episodes was not different between the *Echinacea* and placebo groups but the average duration that symptoms persisted was shorter in the *Echinacea* (~3 days) compared to the placebo (~9 days) group. The mechanisms for any beneficial effects, however, are unclear at present but may be related

to bioactive compounds of the plant, such as caffeic acids and derivatives, phenolic compounds, flavonoids, and alkaloids.¹⁶⁶

Polyphenols and other plant-derived substances

The consumption of other plant-derived compounds (e.g. polyphenols) is now becoming more popular. As well as being potent antioxidants, many of these substances are suggested to have immune-modulatory properties (separate from, and perhaps independent of, their antioxidant properties). Many also possess direct antimicrobial and antiviral properties *in vitro*. However, many of the benefits have been shown in animal studies and/or *in vitro* studies, usually with extremely high doses. There is limited research on the efficacy of oral supplementation in humans. The bioflavonoid quercetin has received a considerable amount of attention in the sport and exercise immunology field. This is a type of polyphenol found naturally in many foods including onions, some fruits and berries, green leafy vegetables and teas. Typical dietary intake is less than 50 mg per day but intakes of up to 300 mg are possible when the diet is abundant in such foods. Nieman et al.¹⁶⁷ showed daily quercetin supplementation (1000 mg per day) for 3 weeks before and 2 weeks after a 3-day period of intensified training reduced URTI incidence by a remarkable amount (5% incidence in quercetin vs. 45% in placebo) in the following 2-weeks. However, the mechanisms responsible for these effects are unclear as there were no differences from placebo in a comprehensive battery of immune function markers in response to the training (although when consumed with other polyphenols bioavailability, and immune functions, are seen to be improved). Quercetin does have potent antioxidant properties, but unlike some of the other antioxidants mentioned above, it does not seem to be associated with a blunting of

training responses and physiological adaptations. On the contrary, some evidence has suggested small, but potentially worthwhile, beneficial effects in enhancing and potentiating the training response in previously untrained individuals.¹⁶⁸ Taken together, this could suggest some benefit for *the neophyte* but, as there are only a few studies at present, further work is required before this can be recommended.

It has been suggested that regular consumption of foods high in polyphenols confer immune and URTI risk reduction benefits. Zakay-Rones et al.¹⁶⁹ conducted a double-blind placebo controlled study with a commercial product containing black elderberry (*Sambucus nigra L.*) extract as the active ingredient. The black elderberry or placebo treatments were administered for 3 days to volunteers presenting with symptoms within the previous 24 h during an influenza B/Panama outbreak in Southern Israel (in 1993). They reported that 90% of the experimental group were symptom free within 2-3 days compared to at least 6 days required to achieve a similar percentage in controls. The supplemented group also demonstrated higher hemagglutination inhibition titers to influenza B, suggesting the beneficial effects resulted from an enhanced immune response to infection. A similar study was conducted in Norway during the 1999/2000 'cold and flu' season.¹⁷⁰ Subjects reporting with influenza-like symptoms (starting within the previous 48 h), and subsequently verified influenza infection, were recruited into this study. Volunteers received the elderberry product or placebo for 5 days. In line with the earlier study, they also observed a more rapid resolution of symptoms and lower symptom severity ratings in the *Sambucus* group. Schütz et al.¹⁷¹ showed similar findings with a polyphenol-rich beverage taken daily (twice per day) for 10 days. Scherr et al.¹⁷² showed that daily consumption of non-alcoholic beer (i.e. high polyphenol content) was associated with reduced post-marathon URTI symptom incidence.

However, other studies have found no effects on immune markers when another polyphenol-rich food source (dark chocolate) was consumed acutely (¹⁷³) and longer term (2-weeks¹⁷⁴), despite increased antioxidant capacity and blunting of some oxidative stress markers, although this could be related to a lower total polyphenol intake compared to the studies that have observed benefits. In summary, evidence is clearly emerging for a potential beneficial effect of polyphenols on URTI risk and/or infection clearance. However, the mechanisms still remain to be fully elucidated and more research is required to determine whether polyphenols should be recommended for immune support.

Nutritional interventions in ageing and immunosenescence

Although age-related immune modulation is controlled by genetic factors, it is amenable to influence through lifestyle choices such as nutrition.¹⁷⁵ The suboptimal status of macronutrients and micronutrients often found in the older population is suggested to exacerbate immunosenescence.¹⁷⁶⁻¹⁷⁸ The majority of nutritional interventions to date have focused on use of dietary lipids (n-3, n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids) and micronutrients such as vitamin E, carotenoids, and zinc, all of which are essential in mounting immune responses.¹⁷⁸⁻¹⁸⁰ However, evidence for the effects of these nutritional supplementation strategies in impacting on immunosenescence is equivocal.¹⁸¹ The inconsistent effects in the literature with micronutrient supplementation are most likely due to variance in dosage between studies and/or the initial nutritional status of individuals.¹⁷⁷ Although some studies have shown single and/or multivitamin or mineral supplementation can reverse age related declines in immune parameters, the risks associated with over-supplementation must be recognized whereby an approach to “right dose” rather than “megadose” is required.¹⁸² As

highlighted for the younger adult population, it is unlikely that micronutrient supplementation beyond the level required to correct for dietary insufficiency will provide any further benefit. Furthermore, the potential benefits must also be weighed against potential detrimental effects. For example, the older population is considered to be more sensitive to the immunological effects of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, which are advantageous in ameliorating inflammation but the down-regulation of innate and adaptive immunity may increase susceptibility to infection in this group.¹⁷⁵ For these reasons, the best recommendation may be to ensure the diet is adequate in order to avoid deficiencies whereby, a whole diet approach is likely to be feasible and a more efficacious strategy. The research of other (advanced) nutritional supplements (e.g. probiotics) within the older population is continually developing. The use of certain strains of probiotics (e.g. *Bifidobacterium Lactis*: [with both 5×10^9 and 5×10^{10} CFU per day doses showing equal effectiveness in this study¹⁸³]; *Bifidobacterium Lactis*: 1.5×10^{11} CFU/day¹⁸⁴; *Lactobacillus casei* Shirota 1.3×10^{10} CFU/day¹⁸⁵) for 4-6 weeks have been demonstrated to increase phagocytic activity of neutrophils and Natural Killer cell activity. It has also been suggested that probiotics may enhance vaccine efficacy in elderly populations but current evidence is limited and benefits may not be evident in otherwise healthy individuals.¹⁶⁰ However, as mentioned above for younger adults, there is low risk of adverse effects so probiotics may be of use, although further research is required. Based on the available evidence, there is no nutritional intervention that could be generalised across the older population. Immunosenescence, characterised by a heterogenic decline in immunity and low-grade inflammation, will likely require a multi-modal strategy. Given that immune changes can occur with 'healthy ageing' (i.e. not necessarily immunocompromised), a major challenge is

to identify those who need such interventions. Further investigations are required to clarify the effect of proposed nutritional interventions on clinically relevant markers or endpoints.

***** please insert Table 2 near here *****

Summary

There are many lifestyle factors that can influence immunity and the risk of infection. Exercise and nutritional habits are important modifiable factors that can have a significant impact on how well the immune system responds to challenge and hence the risk of infection. In this review we have highlighted four main key points (take home messages) on interventions to improve immunity and reduce infection risk as follows:

- **Key Point 1:** The available evidence suggests that regular moderate activity is particularly beneficial for immune enhancement and reducing the risk of infection, especially URTI, in the general populations.
- **Key Point 2:** Although the extent of the effects of resistance exercise on immune function remains unclear, the available evidence does suggest that it may act as an effective adjuvant to vaccination.
- **Key Point 3:** Some specific foods or supplements may further increase immunity (or prevent decreases associated with certain populations or stressors) and consequently reduce URTI risk. However, the evidence for many is scarce. There is emerging evidence for some supplements (including bovine colostrum, probiotics, *Echinacea* and some polyphenols) but only in specific situations and contexts. Therefore, individuals are urged to consider their personal needs and other lifestyle factors and should use caution and avoid the indiscriminate use of supplements,

considering a risk:benefit approach (with the help of an appropriate healthcare professional if necessary).

- **Key Point 4:** The most pragmatic nutritional recommendation may be to ensure the diet is healthy with a good variety of fruits and vegetables to ensure adequate intake of all essential nutrients whilst being unlikely to over consume any single nutrient.

In summary, both exercise and nutrition can influence immunity and resistance to infection across a wide spectrum of the population. As a result of immunosenescence, the older population (e.g. aged over 65 years) are one group for whom interventions to improve immunity are particularly worthwhile. Both physical activity and good nutrition may help to minimise the negative consequences of this age-related immune dysfunction although this evidence remains preliminary at present (apart from improved vaccine efficacy, which seems most promising in these 'immune compromised' populations).

Acknowledgments

GD was PhD supervisor/advisor to AWJ (from 2010-14) whose PhD was supported by a Knowledge Economy Skills Scholarship, which was a collaborative project with an external partner, The Golden Dairy Ltd. (supplier of bovine colostrum for Neovite/Colostrum UK Ltd). This project was part-funded by the European Social Fund through the European Union's Convergence Programme (West Wales and the Valleys) and administered by the Welsh Government. Some of the work discussed in this review (Jones et al.¹⁴¹) in the dairy section came from work undertaken by AWJ during his PhD. GD has also received research funding from Neovite/Colostrum UK Ltd. for some of the work mentioned in the same section (Davison & Diment¹⁴²). GD has also received research funding, from the Nestec Research Centre, Switzerland, for some of the work mentioned in the polyphenols section (Davison et al.¹⁷³). All authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Mountjoy M, Andersen LB, Armstrong N, et al. International Olympic Committee consensus statement on the health and fitness of young people through physical activity and sport. *Br J Sports Med*. 2011;45(11):839-848. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2011-090228.
2. World Health Organization. Global Status Report on Noncommunicable Diseases 2010. Description of the Global Burden of NCDs, Their Risk Factors and Determinants. 2011.
3. Samitz G, Egger M, Zwahlen M. Domains of physical activity and all-cause mortality: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2011;40(5):1382-400. doi:10.1093/ije/dyr112.
4. Street G, James R, Cutt H. The relationship between organised physical recreation and mental health. *Health Promot J Austr*. 2007;18(3):236-9.
5. Graham NM. The epidemiology of acute respiratory infections in children and adults: a global perspective. *Epidemiol Rev*. 1990;12:149-78.
6. Gulliford M, Latinovic R, Charlton J, Little P, van Staa T, Ashworth M. Selective decrease in consultations and antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory tract infections in UK primary care up to 2006. *J Public Health (Oxf)*. 2009;31(4):512-20. doi:10.1093/pubmed/fdp081.
7. Hashem M, Hall CB. Respiratory syncytial virus in healthy adults: the cost of a cold. *J Clin Virol*. 2003;27(1):14-21.
8. Eccles R. Understanding the symptoms of the common cold and influenza. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2005;5(11):718-25. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(05)70270-X.
9. Gleeson M. Can nutrition limit exercise-induced immunodepression? *Nutr Rev*. 2006;64(3):119-31. doi:10.1301/nr.2006.mar.119.
10. Davison G, Simpson RJ. In Lanham-New SA, Stear SJ, Shirreffs SM, Collins AL, (eds.). *Sport and Exercise Nutrition*, Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK; 2011: pp. 281-303. doi:10.1002/9781444344905.
11. Walsh NP, Gleeson M, Shephard RJ, et al. Position statement. Part one: Immune function and exercise. *Exerc Immunol Rev*. 2011;17:6-63.
12. McDade TW. Life history, maintenance, and the early origins of immune function. *Am J Hum Biol*. 17(1):81-94. doi:10.1002/ajhb.20095.

13. Simpson RJ. Aging, persistent viral infections, and immunosenescence: can exercise “make space”? *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*. 2011;39(1):23-33. doi:10.1097/JES.0b013e318201f39d.
14. Delves, P. J., Martin, S. J., Burton, D. R., & Roitt IM. *Roitt's Essential Immunology*. 12th ed. Wiley-Blackwell; 2011.
15. Simpson RJ, Lowder TW, Spielmann G, Bigley AB, LaVoy EC, Kunz H. Exercise and the aging immune system. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2012;11(3):404-20. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2012.03.003.
16. Maij  M, Clements SJ, Ivory K, Nicoletti C, Carding SR. Nutrition, diet and immunosenescence. *Mech Ageing Dev*. 2013;1-13. doi:10.1016/j.mad.2013.12.003.
17. Gayoso I, Sanchez-Correa B, Campos C, et al. Immunosenescence of human natural killer cells. *J Innate Immun*. 2011;3(4):337-43. doi:10.1159/000328005.
18. Shaw AC, Joshi S, Greenwood H, Panda A, Lord JM. Aging of the innate immune system. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2010;22(4):507-13. doi:10.1016/j.coi.2010.05.003.
19. Simpson RJ, Cosgrove C, Chee MM, et al. Senescent phenotypes and telomere lengths of peripheral blood T-cells mobilized by acute exercise in humans. *Exerc Immunol Rev*. 2010;16:40-55.
20. Franceschi C, Bonaf  M, Valensin S, et al. Inflamm-aging. An evolutionary perspective on immunosenescence. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2000;908:244-54.
21. Nieman DC. Exercise, infection, and immunity. *Int J Sports Med*. 1994;15 Suppl 3:S131-41. doi:10.1055/s-2007-1021128.
22. Nieman DC. Exercise, upper respiratory tract infection, and the immune system. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1994;26(2):128-39.
23. Lowder T, Padgett DA, Woods JA. Moderate exercise protects mice from death due to influenza virus. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2005;19(5):377-80. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2005.04.002.
24. Davis JM, Kohut ML, Colbert LH, Jackson DA, Ghaffar A, Mayer EP. Exercise, alveolar macrophage function, and susceptibility to respiratory infection. *J Appl Physiol*. 1997;83(5):1461-6.
25. Nieman DC, Henson DA, Gusewitch G, et al. Physical activity and immune function in elderly women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1993;25(7):823-31.
26. Nieman DC, Nehlsen-Cannarella SL, Henson DA, et al. Immune response to exercise training and/or energy restriction in obese women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1998;30(5):679-86.

27. Nieman DC, Nehlsen-Cannarella SL, Markoff PA, et al. The effects of moderate exercise training on natural killer cells and acute upper respiratory tract infections. *Int J Sports Med.* 1990;11(6):467-73. doi:10.1055/s-2007-1024839.
28. Ciloğlu F. The effect of exercise on salivary IgA levels and the incidence of upper respiratory tract infections in postmenopausal women. *Kulak Burun Bogaz Ihtis Derg.* 2005;15(5-6):112-6.
29. Fondell E, Lagerros YT, Sundberg CJ, et al. Physical activity, stress, and self-reported upper respiratory tract infection. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2011;43(2):272-9. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181edf108.
30. Kostka T, Berthouze SE, Lacour J, Bonnefoy M. The symptomatology of upper respiratory tract infections and exercise in elderly people. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2000;32(1):46-51.
31. Kostka T, Praczko K. Interrelationship between physical activity, symptomatology of upper respiratory tract infections, and depression in elderly people. *Gerontology.* 2007;53(4):187-93. doi:10.1159/000100017.
32. Kostka T, Drygas W, Jegier A, Praczko K. Physical activity and upper respiratory tract infections. *Int J Sports Med.* 2008;29(2):158-62. doi:10.1055/s-2007-965806.
33. Matthews CE, Ockene IS, Freedson PS, Rosal MC, Merriam PA, Hebert JR. Moderate to vigorous physical activity and risk of upper-respiratory tract infection. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2002;34(8):1242-8.
34. Barrett B, Hayney MS, Muller D, et al. Meditation or exercise for preventing acute respiratory infection: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Fam Med.* 10(4):337-46. doi:10.1370/afm.1376.
35. Spence L, Brown WJ, Pyne DB, et al. Incidence, etiology, and symptomatology of upper respiratory illness in elite athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2007;39(4):577-86. doi:10.1249/mss.0b013e31802e851a.
36. Gleeson M. Immune function in sport and exercise. *J Appl Physiol.* 2007;103(2):693-9. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00008.2007.
37. Woods JA, Davis JM, Smith JA, Nieman DC. Exercise and cellular innate immune function. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1999;31(1):57-66.
38. Smith JA, Gray AB, Pyne DB, Baker MS, Telford RD, Weidemann MJ. Moderate exercise triggers both priming and activation of neutrophil subpopulations. *Am J Physiol.* 1996;270(4 Pt 2):R838-45.
39. Dhabhar FS. Stress-induced augmentation of immune function--the role of stress hormones, leukocyte trafficking, and cytokines. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2002;16(6):785-98.

40. Nieman DC, Henson DA, Austin MD, Brown VA. Immune response to a 30-minute walk. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2005;37(1):57-62.
41. McFarlin BK, Flynn MG, Campbell WW, et al. Physical activity status, but not age, influences inflammatory biomarkers and toll-like receptor 4. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2006;61(4):388-93.
42. Seder RA. Acquisition of lymphokine-producing phenotype by CD4+ T cells. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 1994;94(6 Pt 2):1195-202.
43. Zhao G, Zhou S, Davie A, Su Q. Effects of moderate and high intensity exercise on T1/T2 balance. *Exerc Immunol Rev.* 2012;18:98-114.
44. Fabbri M, Smart C, Pardi R. T lymphocytes. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2003;35(7):1004-8.
45. Steensberg A, Toft AD, Bruunsgaard H, Sandmand M, Halkjaer-Kristensen J, Pedersen BK. Strenuous exercise decreases the percentage of type 1 T cells in the circulation. *J Appl Physiol.* 2001;91(4):1708-12.
46. Baum M, Müller-Steinhardt M, Liesen H, Kirchner H. Moderate and exhaustive endurance exercise influences the interferon-gamma levels in whole-blood culture supernatants. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol.* 1997;76(2):165-9.
47. Ogawa K, Oka J, Yamakawa J, Higuchi M. Habitual exercise did not affect the balance of type 1 and type 2 cytokines in elderly people. *Mech Ageing Dev.* 2003;124(8-9):951-6.
48. Shimizu K, Kimura F, Akimoto T, et al. Effect of moderate exercise training on T-helper cell subpopulations in elderly people. *Exerc Immunol Rev.* 2008;14:24-37.
49. Van Reeth K. Cytokines in the pathogenesis of influenza. *Vet Microbiol.* 2000;74(1-2):109-16.
50. Martin SA, Pence BD, Woods JA. Exercise and respiratory tract viral infections. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 2009;37(4):157-64. doi:10.1097/JES.0b013e3181b7b57b.
51. Akimoto T, Kumai Y, Akama T, et al. Effects of 12 months of exercise training on salivary secretory IgA levels in elderly subjects. *Br J Sports Med.* 2003;37(1):76-9.
52. Klentrou P, Cieslak T, MacNeil M, Vintinner A, Plyley M. Effect of moderate exercise on salivary immunoglobulin A and infection risk in humans. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2002;87(2):153-8. doi:10.1007/s00421-002-0609-1.
53. Martins RA, Cunha MR, Neves AP, Martins M, Teixeira-Veríssimo M, Teixeira AM. Effects of aerobic conditioning on salivary IgA and plasma IgA, IgG and IgM in older men and women. *Int J Sports Med.* 2009;30(12):906-12. doi:10.1055/s-0029-1237389.

54. Nehlsen-Cannarella SL, Nieman DC, Jessen J, et al. The effects of acute moderate exercise on lymphocyte function and serum immunoglobulin levels. *Int J Sports Med.* 1991;12(4):391-8. doi:10.1055/s-2007-1024700.
55. Sloan CA, Engels HJ, Fahlman MM, Yarandi HE, Davis JE. Effects of exercise on S-IGA and URS in postmenopausal women. *Int J Sports Med.* 2013;34(1):81-6. doi:10.1055/s-0032-1314817.
56. Nieman DC, Dumke CI, Henson DA, et al. Immune and oxidative changes during and following the Western States Endurance Run. *Int J Sports Med.* 2003;24(7):541-7. doi:10.1055/s-2003-42018.
57. Klentrou P, Cieslak T, MacNeil M, Vintinner A, Plyley M. Effect of moderate exercise on salivary immunoglobulin A and infection risk in humans. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2002;87(2):153-8. doi:10.1007/s00421-002-0609-1.
58. Drago-Serrano M-E, Godínez-Victoria M, Lara-Padilla E, et al. Moderate exercise enhances expression of SIgA in mouse ileum. *Int J Sports Med.* 2012;33(12):1020-5. doi:10.1055/s-0032-1312607.
59. Albers R, Bourdet-Sicard R, Braun D, et al. Monitoring immune modulation by nutrition in the general population: identifying and substantiating effects on human health. *Br J Nutr.* 2013;110 Suppl:S1-30. doi:10.1017/S0007114513001505.
60. Albers R, Antoine J-M, Bourdet-Sicard R, et al. Markers to measure immunomodulation in human nutrition intervention studies. *Br J Nutr.* 2005;94(03):452-81.
61. Freidenreich DJ, Volek JS. Immune responses to resistance exercise. *Exerc Immunol Rev.* 2012;18:8-41.
62. Ramel A, Wagner K-H, Elmadfa I. Acute impact of submaximal resistance exercise on immunological and hormonal parameters in young men. *J Sports Sci.* 2003;21(12):1001-8. doi:10.1080/02640410310001641395.
63. Arnold L, Henry A, Poron F, et al. Inflammatory monocytes recruited after skeletal muscle injury switch into antiinflammatory macrophages to support myogenesis. *J Exp Med.* 2007;204(5):1057-69. doi:10.1084/jem.20070075.
64. Tidball JG, Villalta SA. Regulatory interactions between muscle and the immune system during muscle regeneration. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* 2010;298(5):R1173-87. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00735.2009.
65. Carlson LA, Headley S, DeBruin J, Tuckow AT, Koch AJ, Kenefick RW. Carbohydrate supplementation and immune responses after acute exhaustive resistance exercise. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2008;18(3):247-59.

66. Mayhew DL, Thyfault JP, Koch AJ. Rest-interval length affects leukocyte levels during heavy resistance exercise. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2005;19(1):16-22. doi:10.1519/R-14113.1.
67. Sorichter S, Martin M, Julius P, et al. Effects of unaccustomed and accustomed exercise on the immune response in runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2006;38(10):1739-45. doi:10.1249/01.mss.0000230213.62743.fb.
68. Edwards KM, Burns VE, Allen LM, et al. Eccentric exercise as an adjuvant to influenza vaccination in humans. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2007;21(2):209-17. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2006.04.158.
69. Edwards KM, Campbell JP, Ring C, et al. Exercise intensity does not influence the efficacy of eccentric exercise as a behavioural adjuvant to vaccination. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2010;24(4):623-30. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2010.01.009.
70. Gibala MJ, Little JP, Macdonald MJ, Hawley JA. Physiological adaptations to low-volume, high-intensity interval training in health and disease. *J Physiol*. 2012;590(Pt 5):1077-84. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2011.224725.
71. Davison G. Innate immune responses to a single session of sprint interval training. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*. 2011;36(3):395-404. doi:10.1139/h11-033.
72. Fahlman MM, Engels HJ, Morgan AL, Kolokouri I. Mucosal IgA response to repeated wingate tests in females. *Int J Sports Med*. 2001;22(2):127-31.
73. Hall H, Fahlman MM, Engels HJ. Echinacea purpurea and mucosal immunity. *Int J Sports Med*. 2007;28(9):792-7. doi:10.1055/s-2007-964895.
74. MacKinnon LT, Jenkins DG. Decreased salivary immunoglobulins after intense interval exercise before and after training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1993;25(6):678-83.
75. Colbert LH, Visser M, Simonsick EM, et al. Physical activity, exercise, and inflammatory markers in older adults: findings from the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2004;52(7):1098-104. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52307.x.
76. Ludlow AT, Zimmerman JB, Witkowski S, Hearn JW, Hatfield BD, Roth SM. Relationship between physical activity level, telomere length, and telomerase activity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2008;40(10):1764-71. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31817c92aa.
77. Spielmann G, McFarlin BK, O'Connor DP, Smith PJW, Pircher H, Simpson RJ. Aerobic fitness is associated with lower proportions of senescent blood T-cells in man. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2011;25(8):1521-9. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2011.07.226.
78. Yan H, Kuroiwa A, Tanaka H, Shindo M, Kiyonaga A, Nagayama A. Effect of moderate exercise on immune senescence in men. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2001;86(2):105-11.

79. Shinkai S, Kohno H, Kimura K, et al. Physical activity and immune senescence in men. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1995;27(11):1516-26.
80. Kohut ML, Cooper MM, Nickolaus MS, Russell DR, Cunnick JE. Exercise and psychosocial factors modulate immunity to influenza vaccine in elderly individuals. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2002;57(9):M557-62.
81. Bachi ALL, Suguri VM, Ramos LR, Mariano M, Vaisberg M, Lopes JD. Increased production of autoantibodies and specific antibodies in response to influenza virus vaccination in physically active older individuals. *Results Immunol.* 2013;3:10-6. doi:10.1016/j.rinim.2013.01.001.
82. Keylock KT, Lowder T, Leifheit KA, et al. Higher antibody, but not cell-mediated, responses to vaccination in high physically fit elderly. *J Appl Physiol.* 2007;102(3):1090-8. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00790.2006.
83. Kohut ML, Arntson BA, Lee W, et al. Moderate exercise improves antibody response to influenza immunization in older adults. *Vaccine.* 2004;22(17-18):2298-306. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2003.11.023.
84. Grant RW, Mariani RA, Vieira VJ, et al. Cardiovascular exercise intervention improves the primary antibody response to keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) in previously sedentary older adults. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2008;22(6):923-32. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2008.01.006.
85. Woods JA, Keylock KT, Lowder T, et al. Cardiovascular exercise training extends influenza vaccine seroprotection in sedentary older adults: the immune function intervention trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2009;57(12):2183-91. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2009.02563.x.
86. Yang Y, Verkuilen J, Rosengren KS, et al. Effects of a Taiji and Qigong intervention on the antibody response to influenza vaccine in older adults. *Am J Chin Med.* 2007;35(4):597-607. doi:10.1142/S0192415X07005090.
87. Long JE, Ring C, Bosch J a, et al. A life-style physical activity intervention and the antibody response to pneumococcal vaccination in women. *Psychosom Med.* 2013;75(8):774-82. doi:10.1097/PSY.0b013e3182a0b664.
88. Long JE, Ring C, Bosch JA, et al. A life-style physical activity intervention and the antibody response to pneumococcal vaccination in women. *Psychosom Med.* 2013;75(8):774-82. doi:10.1097/PSY.0b013e3182a0b664.
89. Burns VE, Carroll D, Drayson M, Whitham M, Ring C. Life events, perceived stress and antibody response to influenza vaccination in young, healthy adults. *J Psychosom Res.* 2003;55(6):569-72.
90. Campbell JP, Edwards KM, Ring C, et al. The effects of vaccine timing on the efficacy of an acute eccentric exercise intervention on the immune response to an influenza

- vaccine in young adults. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2010;24(2):236-42. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2009.10.001.
91. Edwards KM, Pung MA, Tomfohr LM, et al. Acute exercise enhancement of pneumococcal vaccination response: a randomised controlled trial of weaker and stronger immune response. *Vaccine*. 2012;30(45):6389-95. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.08.022.
 92. Edwards KM, Burns VE, Reynolds T, Carroll D, Drayson M, Ring C. Acute stress exposure prior to influenza vaccination enhances antibody response in women. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2006;20(2):159-68. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2005.07.001.
 93. Edwards KM, Burns VE, Adkins AE, Carroll D, Drayson M, Ring C. Meningococcal A vaccination response is enhanced by acute stress in men. *Psychosom Med*. 2008;70(2):147-51. doi:10.1097/PSY.0b013e318164232e.
 94. Ranadive SM, Cook M, Kappus RM, et al. Effect of acute aerobic exercise on vaccine efficacy in older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2014;46(3):455-61. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182a75ff2.
 95. Long JE, Ring C, Drayson M, et al. Vaccination response following aerobic exercise: can a brisk walk enhance antibody response to pneumococcal and influenza vaccinations? *Brain Behav Immun*. 2012;26(4):680-7. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2012.02.004.
 96. Edwards KM, Campbell JP, Ring C, et al. Exercise intensity does not influence the efficacy of eccentric exercise as a behavioural adjuvant to vaccination. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2010;24(4):623-30. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2010.01.009.
 97. Krakauer T. Levels of interleukin 6 and tumor necrosis factor in serum from humans vaccinated with live, attenuated *Francisella tularensis*. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*. 1995;2(4):487-8.
 98. Walsh NP, Gleeson M, Pyne DB, et al. Position statement. Part two: Maintaining immune health. *Exerc Immunol Rev*. 2011;17:64-103.
 99. Edwards KM, Burns VE, Carroll D, Drayson M, Ring C. The acute stress-induced immunoenhancement hypothesis. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*. 2007;35(3):150-5. doi:10.1097/JES.0b013e3180a031bd.
 100. Samartín S, Chandra RK. Obesity, overnutrition and the immune system. *Nutr Res*. 2001;21(1-2):243-262. doi:10.1016/S0271-5317(00)00255-4.
 101. Sobha Kumari B, Chandra RK. Overnutrition and immune responses. *Nutr Res*. 1993;13:S3-S18. doi:10.1016/S0271-5317(05)80281-7.
 102. Otero M, Lago R, Gomez R, et al. Towards a pro-inflammatory and immunomodulatory emerging role of leptin. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2006;45(8):944-50. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kel157.

103. Gleeson M, Bishop NC, Stensel DJ, Lindley MR, Mastana SS, Nimmo MA. The anti-inflammatory effects of exercise: mechanisms and implications for the prevention and treatment of disease. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2011;11(9):607-15. doi:10.1038/nri3041.
104. Nieman DC. Clinical implications of exercise immunology. *J Sport Heal Sci*. 2012;1(1):12-17. doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2012.04.004.
105. Marcos A, Nova E, Montero A. Changes in the immune system are conditioned by nutrition. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2003;57 Suppl 1:S66-9. doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601819.
106. Calder PC, Jackson AA. Undernutrition, infection and immune function. *Nutr Res Rev*. 2000;13(1):3-29. doi:10.1079/095442200108728981.
107. Maggini S, Wintergerst ES, Beveridge S, Hornig DH. Selected vitamins and trace elements support immune function by strengthening epithelial barriers and cellular and humoral immune responses. *Br J Nutr*. 2007;98 Suppl 1:S29-35. doi:10.1017/S0007114507832971.
108. Gunzer W, Konrad M, Pail E. Exercise-induced immunodepression in endurance athletes and nutritional intervention with carbohydrate, protein and fat-what is possible, what is not? *Nutrients*. 2012;4(9):1187-212. doi:10.3390/nu4091187.
109. Moreira a, Kekkonen R a, Delgado L, Fonseca J, Korpela R, Haahtela T. Nutritional modulation of exercise-induced immunodepression in athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2007;61(4):443-60. doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602549.
110. Murphy EA, Davis JM, Carmichael MD, Mayer EP, Ghaffar A. Benefits of oat beta-glucan and sucrose feedings on infection and macrophage antiviral resistance following exercise stress. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2009;297(4):R1188-94. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00396.2009.
111. Bishop NC, Walker GJ, Gleeson M, Wallace F a, Hewitt CR a. Human T lymphocyte migration towards the supernatants of human rhinovirus infected airway epithelial cells: influence of exercise and carbohydrate intake. *Exerc Immunol Rev*. 2009;15:127-44.
112. Peters EM, Anderson R, Nieman DC, Fickl H, Jogessar V. Vitamin C supplementation attenuates the increases in circulating cortisol, adrenaline and anti-inflammatory polypeptides following ultramarathon running. *Int J Sports Med*. 2001;22(7):537-43. doi:10.1055/s-2001-17610.
113. Davison G, Gleeson M. The effects of acute vitamin C supplementation on cortisol, interleukin-6, and neutrophil responses to prolonged cycling exercise. *Eur J Sport Sci*. 2007;7(1):15-25. doi:10.1080/17461390701197734.
114. Davison G, Gleeson M. The effect of 2 weeks vitamin C supplementation on immunoendocrine responses to 2.5 h cycling exercise in man. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2006;97(4):454-61. doi:10.1007/s00421-006-0196-7.

115. Robson PJ, Bouic PJD, Myburgh KH. Antioxidant supplementation enhances neutrophil oxidative burst in trained runners following prolonged exercise. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2003;13(3):369-81.
116. Fischer CP, Hiscock NJ, Penkowa M, et al. Supplementation with vitamins C and E inhibits the release of interleukin-6 from contracting human skeletal muscle. *J Physiol.* 2004;558(Pt 2):633-45. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2004.066779.
117. Nieman DC, Henson DA, McAnulty SR, et al. Influence of vitamin C supplementation on oxidative and immune changes after an ultramarathon. *J Appl Physiol.* 2002;92(5):1970-7. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00961.2001.
118. Palmer FM, Nieman DC, Henson D a, et al. Influence of vitamin C supplementation on oxidative and salivary IgA changes following an ultramarathon. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2003;89(1):100-7. doi:10.1007/s00421-002-0756-4.
119. Hemilä H, Chalker E. Vitamin C for preventing and treating the common cold. *Cochrane database Syst Rev.* 2013;1(1):CD000980. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000980.pub4.
120. Ristow M, Zarse K, Oberbach A, et al. Antioxidants prevent health-promoting effects of physical exercise in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009;106(21):8665-70. doi:10.1073/pnas.0903485106.
121. Gliemann L, Schmidt JF, Olesen J, et al. Resveratrol blunts the positive effects of exercise training on cardiovascular health in aged men. *J Physiol.* 2013;591(Pt 20):5047-59. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2013.258061.
122. Paulsen G, Cumming KT, Holden G, et al. Vitamin C and E supplementation hampers cellular adaptation to endurance training in humans: a double-blind, randomised, controlled trial. *J Physiol.* 2014;592(Pt 8):1887-901. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2013.267419.
123. Nieman DC, Henson D a., Mcanulty SR, et al. Vitamin E and Immunity after the Kona Triathlon World Championship. *Med Sci Sport Exerc.* 2004;36(8):1328-1335. doi:10.1249/01.MSS.0000135778.57355.CA.
124. Laaksi I, Ruohola J-P, Tuohimaa P, et al. An association of serum vitamin D concentrations < 40 nmol/L with acute respiratory tract infection in young Finnish men. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2007;86(3):714-7.
125. Maloney CDRSR, Jensen S, Gil-Rivas V, Goolkasian P. Latent viral immune inflammatory response model for chronic multisymptom illness. *Med Hypotheses.* 2013;80(3):220-9. doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2012.11.024.
126. He C-S, Handzlik M, Fraser WD, et al. Influence of vitamin D status on respiratory infection incidence and immune function during 4 months of winter training in endurance sport athletes. *Exerc Immunol Rev.* 2013;19:86-101.

127. Prentice A. Vitamin D deficiency: a global perspective. *Nutr Rev.* 2008;66(10 Suppl 2):S153-64. doi:10.1111/j.1753-4887.2008.00100.x.
128. Close GL, Russell J, Cobley JN, et al. Assessment of vitamin D concentration in non-supplemented professional athletes and healthy adults during the winter months in the UK: implications for skeletal muscle function. *J Sports Sci.* 2013;31(4):344-53. doi:10.1080/02640414.2012.733822.
129. Zittermann A. Vitamin D in preventive medicine: are we ignoring the evidence? *Br J Nutr.* 2003;89(5):552-72. doi:10.1079/BJN2003837.
130. Ginde AA, Mansbach JM, Camargo CA. Association between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level and upper respiratory tract infection in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Arch Intern Med.* 2009;169(4):384-90. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2008.560.
131. Gunville CF, Mourani PM, Ginde A a. The role of vitamin D in prevention and treatment of infection. *Inflamm Allergy Drug Targets.* 2013;12(4):239-45.
132. Stephen AI, Avenell A. A systematic review of multivitamin and multiminerall supplementation for infection. *J Hum Nutr Diet.* 2006;19(3):179-90. doi:10.1111/j.1365-277X.2006.00694.x.
133. Nieman DC, Henson DA, Austin MD, Sha W. Upper respiratory tract infection is reduced in physically fit and active adults. *Br J Sports Med.* 2011;45(12):987-92. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2010.077875.
134. Kelly GS. Bovine colostrums: a review of clinical uses. *Altern Med Rev.* 2003;8(4):378-94.
135. Davison G. Bovine colostrum and immune function after exercise. *Med Sport Sci.* 2012;59:62-9. doi:10.1159/000341966.
136. Benson KF, Carter SG, Patterson KM, Patel D, Jensen GS. A novel extract from bovine colostrum whey supports anti-bacterial and anti-viral innate immune functions in vitro and in vivo: I. Enhanced immune activity in vitro translates to improved microbial clearance in animal infection models. *Prev Med (Baltim).* 2012;54 Suppl:S116-23. doi:10.1016/j.yjpm.2011.12.023.
137. Sugisawa H, Itou T, Saito M, Moritomo T, Miura Y, Sakai T. A low-molecular-weight fraction of bovine colostrum and milk enhances the oxidative burst activity of polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Vet Res Commun.* 2003;27(6):453-61.
138. Rusu D, Drouin R, Pouliot Y, Gauthier S, Poubelle PE. A bovine whey protein extract stimulates human neutrophils to generate bioactive IL-1Ra through a NF-kappaB- and MAPK-dependent mechanism. *J Nutr.* 2010;140(2):382-91. doi:10.3945/jn.109.109645.

139. Shing CM, Peake JM, Suzuki K, Jenkins DG, Coombes JS. Bovine colostrum modulates cytokine production in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells stimulated with lipopolysaccharide and phytohemagglutinin. *J Interferon Cytokine Res.* 2009;29(1):37-44. doi:10.1089/jir.2008.0015.
140. Jensen GS, Patel D, Benson KF. A novel extract from bovine colostrum whey supports innate immune functions. II. Rapid changes in cellular immune function in humans. *Prev Med (Baltim).* 2012;54 Suppl:S124-9. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2012.01.004.
141. Jones AW, Cameron SJS, Thatcher R, Beecroft MS, Mur LAJ, Davison G. Effects of bovine colostrum supplementation on upper respiratory illness in active males. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2013. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2013.10.032.
142. Davison G, Diment BC. Bovine colostrum supplementation attenuates the decrease of salivary lysozyme and enhances the recovery of neutrophil function after prolonged exercise. *Br J Nutr.* 2010;103(10):1425-32. doi:10.1017/S0007114509993503.
143. Crooks C V, Wall CR, Cross ML, Rutherford-Markwick KJ. The effect of bovine colostrum supplementation on salivary IgA in distance runners. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2006;16(1):47-64.
144. Crooks C, Cross ML, Wall C, Ali A. Effect of bovine colostrum supplementation on respiratory tract mucosal defenses in swimmers. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2010;20(3):224-35.
145. Patiroğlu T, Kondolot M. The effect of bovine colostrum on viral upper respiratory tract infections in children with immunoglobulin A deficiency. *Clin Respir J.* 2013;7(1):21-6. doi:10.1111/j.1752-699X.2011.00268.x.
146. Shing CM, Peake J, Suzuki K, et al. Effects of bovine colostrum supplementation on immune variables in highly trained cyclists. *J Appl Physiol.* 2007;102(3):1113-22. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00553.2006.
147. Shing CM, Peake JM, Suzuki K, Jenkins DG, Coombes JS. A pilot study: bovine colostrum supplementation and hormonal and autonomic responses to competitive cycling. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness.* 2013;53(5):490-501.
148. Playford RJ, MacDonald CE, Calnan DP, et al. Co-administration of the health food supplement, bovine colostrum, reduces the acute non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug-induced increase in intestinal permeability. *Clin Sci (Lond).* 2001;100(6):627-33.
149. Playford RJ, Floyd DN, Macdonald CE, et al. Bovine colostrum is a health food supplement which prevents NSAID induced gut damage. *Gut.* 1999;44(5):653-8.
150. Prosser C, Stelwagen K, Cummins R, Guerin P, Gill N, Milne C. Reduction in heat-induced gastrointestinal hyperpermeability in rats by bovine colostrum and goat milk powders. *J Appl Physiol.* 2004;96(2):650-4. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00295.2003.

151. He F, Tuomola E, Arvilommi H, Salminen S. Modulation of human humoral immune response through orally administered bovine colostrum. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol.* 2001;31(2):93-6.
152. Cesarone MR, Belcaro G, Di Renzo A, et al. Prevention of influenza episodes with colostrum compared with vaccination in healthy and high-risk cardiovascular subjects: the epidemiologic study in San Valentino. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost.* 2007;13(2):130-6. doi:10.1177/1076029606295957.
153. Brinkworth GD, Buckley JD. Concentrated bovine colostrum protein supplementation reduces the incidence of self-reported symptoms of upper respiratory tract infection in adult males. *Eur J Nutr.* 2003;42(4):228-32. doi:10.1007/s00394-003-0410-x.
154. Organization WH. Health and Nutritional Properties of Probiotics in Food including Powder Milk with Live Lactic Acid Bacteria. 2001;(October):1-34.
155. De Vrese M, Winkler P, Rautenberg P, et al. Probiotic bacteria reduced duration and severity but not the incidence of common cold episodes in a double blind, randomized, controlled trial. *Vaccine.* 2006;24(44-46):6670-6674. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.05.048.
156. West NP, Pyne DB, Peake JM, Cripps AW. Probiotics, immunity and exercise: a review. *Exerc Immunol Rev.* 2009;15:107-26.
157. Shing CM, Peake JM, Lim CL, et al. Effects of probiotics supplementation on gastrointestinal permeability, inflammation and exercise performance in the heat. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2014;114(1):93-103. doi:10.1007/s00421-013-2748-y.
158. Kotzampassi K, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ. Probiotics for infectious diseases: more drugs, less dietary supplementation. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2012;40(4):288-96. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2012.06.006.
159. Hao Q, Lu Z, Dong BR, Huang CQ, Wu T. Probiotics for preventing acute upper respiratory tract infections. *Cochrane database Syst Rev.* 2011;(9):CD006895. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD006895.pub2.
160. Maidens C, Childs C, Przemska A, Dayel I Bin, Yaqoob P. Modulation of vaccine response by concomitant probiotic administration. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2013;75(3):663-70. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2012.04404.x.
161. Cox AJ, Pyne DB, Saunders PU, Fricker PA. Oral administration of the probiotic *Lactobacillus fermentum* VRI-003 and mucosal immunity in endurance athletes. *Br J Sports Med.* 2010;44(4):222-6. doi:10.1136/bjism.2007.044628.
162. Kekkonen RA, Vasankari TJ, Vuorimaa T, Haahtela T, Julkunen I, Korpela R. The effect of probiotics on respiratory infections and gastrointestinal symptoms during training in marathon runners. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2007;17(4):352-63.

163. Gleeson M, Bishop NC, Oliveira M, Tauler P. Daily probiotic's (Lactobacillus casei Shirota) reduction of infection incidence in athletes. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2011;21(1):55-64.
164. Shah SA, Sander S, White CM, Rinaldi M, Coleman CI. Evaluation of echinacea for the prevention and treatment of the common cold: a meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2007;7(7):473-80. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(07)70160-3.
165. Karsch-Völk M, Barrett B, Kiefer D, Bauer R, Ardjomand-Woelkart K, Linde K. Echinacea for preventing and treating the common cold. *Cochrane database Syst Rev.* 2014;2(2):CD000530. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000530.pub3.
166. Senchina DS, Shah NB, Doty DM, Sanderson CR, Hallam JE. Herbal supplements and athlete immune function--what's proven, disproven, and unproven? *Exerc Immunol Rev.* 2009;15:66-106.
167. Nieman DC, Henson DA, Gross SJ, et al. Quercetin reduces illness but not immune perturbations after intensive exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2007;39(9):1561-9. doi:10.1249/mss.0b013e318076b566.
168. Pelletier DM, Lacerte G, Goulet EDB. Effects of quercetin supplementation on endurance performance and maximal oxygen consumption: a meta-analysis. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2013;23(1):73-82.
169. Zakay-Rones Z, Varsano N, Zlotnik M, et al. Inhibition of several strains of influenza virus in vitro and reduction of symptoms by an elderberry extract (Sambucus nigra L.) during an outbreak of influenza B Panama. *J Altern Complement Med.* 1995;1(4):361-9.
170. Zakay-Rones Z, Thom E, Wollan T, Wadstein J. Randomized study of the efficacy and safety of oral elderberry extract in the treatment of influenza A and B virus infections. *J Int Med Res.* 2004;32(2):132-40. doi:10.1177/147323000403200205.
171. Schütz K, Sass M, de With A, Graubaum H-J, Grünwald J. Immune-modulating efficacy of a polyphenol-rich beverage on symptoms associated with the common cold: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, multi-centric clinical study. *Br J Nutr.* 2010;104(8):1156-64. doi:10.1017/S0007114510002047.
172. Scherr J, Nieman DC, Schuster T, et al. Nonalcoholic beer reduces inflammation and incidence of respiratory tract illness. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2012;44(1):18-26. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182250dda.
173. Davison G, Callister R, Williamson G, Cooper KA, Gleeson M. The effect of acute pre-exercise dark chocolate consumption on plasma antioxidant status, oxidative stress and immunoendocrine responses to prolonged exercise. *Eur J Nutr.* 2012;51(1):69-79. doi:10.1007/s00394-011-0193-4.

174. Allgrove J, Farrell E, Gleeson M, Williamson G, Cooper K. Regular dark chocolate consumption's reduction of oxidative stress and increase of free-fatty-acid mobilization in response to prolonged cycling. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2011;21(2):113-23.
175. Maijón M, Clements SJ, Ivory K, Nicoletti C, Carding SR. Nutrition, diet and immunosenescence. *Mech Ageing Dev.* 2013. doi:10.1016/j.mad.2013.12.003.
176. Ames BN. Low micronutrient intake may accelerate the degenerative diseases of aging through allocation of scarce micronutrients by triage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006;103(47):17589-94. doi:10.1073/pnas.0608757103.
177. Mocchegiani E, Malavolta M, Costarelli L, et al. Zinc, metallothioneins and immunosenescence. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2010;69(3):290-9. doi:10.1017/S0029665110001862.
178. Pae M, Meydani SN, Wu D. The role of nutrition in enhancing immunity in aging. *Ageing Dis.* 2012;3(1):91-129.
179. Lesourd B. Nutritional factors and immunological ageing. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2006;65(3):319-25.
180. Enos RT, Davis JM, Velázquez KT, et al. Influence of dietary saturated fat content on adiposity, macrophage behavior, inflammation, and metabolism: composition matters. *J Lipid Res.* 2013;54(1):152-63. doi:10.1194/jlr.M030700.
181. Romeo J, Wärnberg J, Pozo T, Marcos A. Physical activity, immunity and infection. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2010;69(3):390-9. doi:10.1017/S0029665110001795.
182. High KP. Micronutrient supplementation and immune function in the elderly. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1999;28(4):717-22. doi:10.1086/515208.
183. Gill HS, Rutherford KJ, Cross ML, Gopal PK. Enhancement of immunity in the elderly by dietary supplementation with the probiotic *Bifidobacterium lactis* HN019. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2001;74(6):833-9.
184. Arunachalam K, Gill HS, Chandra RK. Enhancement of natural immune function by dietary consumption of *Bifidobacterium lactis* (HN019). *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2000;54(3):263-7.
185. Dong H, Rowland I, Thomas L V, Yaqoob P. Immunomodulatory effects of a probiotic drink containing *Lactobacillus casei* Shirota in healthy older volunteers. *Eur J Nutr.* 2013;52(8):1853-63. doi:10.1007/s00394-012-0487-1.

Table 1. Overview of evidence for likely benefit from physical activity interventions

Intervention	Context	Immune markers (<i>in vitro/ex vivo</i>)	<i>In vivo</i> markers, URTI, or other clinically relevant endpoint
Aerobic (continuous) exercise*	Active adults	☑☑☐	☑☑☑
	Sedentary adults	☑☑☐	☑☑☑
	Elderly	☑☑☑	☑☑☑
Interval exercise	Active adults	☐☐☐	☐☐☐
	Sedentary adults	No studies at present	No studies at present
	Elderly	No studies at present	No studies at present
Resistance exercise	Active adults	☐☐☐	☑☑☐ Most effective as adjuvant in those with poor immune responses to vaccine
	Sedentary adults	☐☐☐	☑☑☐ Most effective as adjuvant in those with poor immune responses to vaccine
	Elderly	☑☐☐	☑☐☐

Active adults: 18-65 years. Sedentary adults: 18-65 years. Elderly: > 65 years. *Aerobic (continuous) exercise: e.g. in line with current physical activity guidelines.

☐☐☐: No evidence of benefit; ☑☐☐: Limited evidence of benefit; ☑☑☐: Reasonable-good evidence of benefit; ☑☑☑ Strong evidence of benefit.

Table 2. Overview of evidence for likely benefit from nutritional interventions

		Benefit when consumed/supplemented? <i>i.e. in those not already deficient/with an adequate diet or nutrient status</i>	
Intervention	Context	Immune markers (<i>in vitro/ex vivo</i>)	<i>In vivo</i> markers, URTI, or other clinically relevant endpoint
Carbohydrate	Healthy adults	□□□	□□□
	Elderly	□□□	□□□
	Physiological stress	☑☑☑	No studies at present.
Antioxidants	Healthy adults	□□□	☑□□ Small effects of vitamin C, on URTI duration, observed in some cases.
	Elderly	□□□	□□□
	Physiological stress	□□□	☑□□ Some potential benefit of vitamin C in individuals exposed to extreme physical and environmental stressors (unlikely of benefit for the vast majority of the normal population). ☑□□ Some potential benefits but limited to cross-sectional studies at present.
Vitamin D	Healthy adults	□□□	
	Elderly	□□□	☑□□
	Physiological stress	□□□	□□□
Multi-nutrient (e.g. multi-vitamin/mineral)	Healthy adults	□□□	□□□
	Elderly	□□□	□□□
	Physiological stress	☑□□ Potential benefit but not known if simply reflects increased 'baseline' requirement in this population.	□□□
Probiotics	Healthy adults	☑☑□	☑☑□
	Elderly	☑☑□	☑□□
	Physiological stress	☑☑□	☑☑☑
Bovine colostrum	Healthy adults	☑☑□	☑☑☑
	Elderly	☑□□	☑□□
	Physiological stress	☑☑□	☑☑☑
Echinacea	Healthy adults	☑□□	☑☑□
	Elderly	☑□□	☑□□
	Physiological stress	☑□□	☑□□
Polyphenols	Healthy adults	Range: □□□ to ☑☑□ (depending on substance)	Range: □□□ to ☑☑□ (depending on substance)
	Elderly	☑□□	☑□□
	Physiological stress	Range: □□□ to ☑☑□ (depending on substance)	Range: □□□ to ☑☑□ (depending on substance)

Healthy adults: 18-65 years. Elderly: > 65 years. Physical stressor, e.g. exercise training, intensified training period, novel exercise (neophyte context).

□□□: No evidence of benefit; ☑□□: Limited evidence of benefit; ☑☑□: Reasonable-good evidence of benefit; ☑☑☑ Strong evidence of benefit.