

# Kent Academic Repository

## Full text document (pdf)

### Citation for published version

Hone, Andrew N.W. and Irle, Michael V. (2009) On the non-integrability of the Popowicz peakon system. In: 7th AIMS conference on Dynamical Systems, Differential Equations and Applications, 18-21 May 2008, Arlington, Texas.

### DOI

### Link to record in KAR

<http://kar.kent.ac.uk/41490/>

### Document Version

UNSPECIFIED

#### Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

#### Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

#### Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

[researchsupport@kent.ac.uk](mailto:researchsupport@kent.ac.uk)

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

## ON THE NON-INTEGRABILITY OF THE POPOWICZ PEAKON SYSTEM

ANDREW N.W. HONE

MICHAEL V. IRLE

Institute of Mathematics, Statistics & Actuarial Science  
University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NF, UK

(Communicated by the associate editor name)

**ABSTRACT.** We consider a coupled system of Hamiltonian partial differential equations introduced by Popowicz, which has the appearance of a two-field coupling between the Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi equations. The latter equations are both known to be integrable, and admit peaked soliton (peakon) solutions with discontinuous derivatives at the peaks. A combination of a reciprocal transformation with Painlevé analysis provides strong evidence that the Popowicz system is non-integrable. Nevertheless, we are able to construct exact travelling wave solutions in terms of an elliptic integral, together with a degenerate travelling wave corresponding to a single peakon. We also describe the dynamics of  $N$ -peakon solutions, which is given in terms of a Hamiltonian system on a phase space of dimension  $3N$ .

**1. Introduction.** The members of a one-parameter family of partial differential equations, namely

$$m_t + um_x + bu_xm = 0, \quad m = u - u_{xx} \quad (1)$$

with parameter  $b$ , have been studied recently. The case  $b = 2$  is the Camassa-Holm equation [1], while  $b = 3$  is the Degasperis-Procesi equation [3], and it is known that (with the possible exception of  $b = 0$ ) these are the only integrable cases [13], while all of these equations (apart from  $b = -1$ ) arise as a shallow water approximation to the Euler equations [6]. All of the equations have at least one Hamiltonian structure [11], this being given by

$$m_t = \mathcal{B} \frac{\delta H}{\delta m}, \quad \mathcal{B} = -b^2 m^{1-1/b} \partial_x m^{1/b} \hat{G} m^{1/b} \partial_x m^{1-1/b}, \quad (2)$$

with  $\hat{G} = (\partial_x - \partial_x^3)^{-1}$  and the Hamiltonian  $H = (b-1)^{-1} \int m dx$  for  $b \neq 0, 1$  (and the latter special cases admit a similar expression).

One of the most interesting features of these equations is that their soliton solutions are not smooth, but rather the field  $u$  has a discontinuous derivative at one or more peaks (hence the name *peakons*), while the corresponding field  $m$  is measure valued. More precisely for the single peakon the solution has the form

$$u = c \exp(x - ct - x_0), \quad \text{with} \quad m = 2c \delta(x - ct - x_0)$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 37K05, 37K10; Secondary: 37J99.  
*Key words and phrases.* Camassa-Holm equation, Degasperis-Procesi equation, peakons.  
The second author is supported by an IMSAS studentship at the University of Kent.

(with  $x_0$  being an arbitrary constant), while  $N$ -peakon solutions are given by

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^N p_j(t) \exp(-|x - q_j(t)|), \quad m = 2 \sum_{j=1}^N p_j(t) \delta(x - q_j(t)), \quad (3)$$

where the amplitudes  $p_j(t)$  and peak positions  $q_j(t)$  satisfy a Hamiltonian dynamical system for any  $b$ . For  $b = 2$  the  $q_j$  and  $p_j$  are canonically conjugate position and momentum variables for an integrable geodesic flow with the co-metric  $g^{jk} = \exp(-|q_j - q_k|)$  [1], and for  $b = 3$  the peakon motion is again integrable, being described by Hamilton's equations for a different Poisson structure [4, 5], but for arbitrary  $b$  the  $N$ -peakon dynamics is unlikely to be integrable in general [10].

There is currently much interest in generalisations of the Camassa-Holm equations and its relatives. Qiao has found an integrable equation of this type with cubic nonlinearity [17], and another such example was discovered very recently by Vladimir Novikov [14]; one of the authors spoke at the AIMS meeting in Arlington on this topic (for more details see [12]). An important challenge is to understand the solutions of coupled equations with two or components, and in higher dimensions. For example the EPDiff equation can be used to describe fluids in two or more spatial dimensions, as well as appearing in computational anatomy [9]. Chen *et al.* found an integrable two-component analogue of the Camassa-Holm equation [2], which also admits multi-peakon solutions [7]. Popowicz has constructed another two-component Camassa-Holm equation using supersymmetry algebra [15].

The purpose of this short note is to summarise some preliminary results that we have obtained on the two-component system given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_t + m_x(2u + v) + 3m(2u_x + v_x) &= 0, \\ n_t + n_x(2u + v) + 2n(2u_x + v_x) &= 0, \\ m &= u - u_{xx}, \quad n = v - v_{xx}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This system can be considered as a coupling between the Camassa-Holm equation and the Degasperis-Procesi equation (corresponding to (1) for  $b = 2, 3$  respectively); it reduces to the former when  $u = 0$ , and to the latter when  $v = 0$ . The system (4) was obtained by Popowicz by taking a Dirac reduction of a three-field local Hamiltonian operator [16]. By construction, this system has a (nonlocal) Hamiltonian structure, and due to the existence of conservation laws it was conjectured that it should be integrable (although no second Hamiltonian structure was found).

After reviewing the Hamiltonian structure for it in the next section, in section 3 we perform a reciprocal transformation on the system (a nonlocal change of independent variables) which transforms it to a third order partial differential equation for a single scalar field. By applying Painlevé analysis of the singularities in solutions of the reciprocally transformed system, we find the presence of logarithmic branching, which is a strong indicator of non-integrability. Nevertheless, in section 4 we find that the system has exact travelling wave solutions given by an elliptic integral, as well as a degenerate travelling wave which is a peakon. In section 5 we present formulae for  $N$ -peakon solutions of (4), which are governed by Hamiltonian dynamics on a  $3N$ -dimensional phase space. The final section is devoted to some conclusions.

**2. Hamiltonian and Poisson structure.** Popowicz constructed the system (4) from the Hamiltonian operator

$$\mathcal{Z} = - \begin{pmatrix} 9m^{2/3}\partial_x m^{1/3}\hat{G}m^{1/3}\partial_x m^{2/3} & 6m^{2/3}\partial_x m^{1/3}\hat{G}n^{1/2}\partial_x n^{1/2} \\ 6m^{1/2}\partial_x n^{1/2}\hat{G}m^{1/3}\partial_x m^{2/3} & 4n^{1/2}\partial_x n^{1/2}\hat{G}n^{1/2}\partial_x n^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\hat{G} = (\partial_x - \partial_x^3)^{-1}$ . With the Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = \int (m + n) dx, \quad (6)$$

the system can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_t \\ n_t \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{Z} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H_0}{\delta m} \\ \frac{\delta H_0}{\delta n} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \{m, H_0\}.$$

For  $\mathcal{Z}$  as in (5), the Poisson bracket between two functionals  $A, B$  is given by the standard formula

$$\{A, B\} = \int \left( \frac{\delta A}{\delta m(z)} \quad \frac{\delta A}{\delta n(z)} \right) \mathcal{Z} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta B}{\delta m(z)} \\ \frac{\delta B}{\delta n(z)} \end{pmatrix} dz,$$

which is equivalent to specifying the local Poisson brackets between the fields  $m$  and  $n$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \{m(x), m(y)\} &= m_x(x)m_x(y)G(x-y) \\ &\quad + 3(m(x)m_x(y) - m_x(x)m(y))G'(x-y) \\ &\quad - 9m(x)m(y)G''(x-y), \\ \{m(x), n(y)\} &= m_x(x)n_x(y)G(x-y) \\ &\quad + (3m(x)n_x(y) - 2m_x(x)n(y))G'(x-y) \\ &\quad - 6m(x)n(y)G''(x-y), \\ \{n(x), n(y)\} &= n_x(x)n_x(y)G(x-y) \\ &\quad + 2(n(x)n_x(y) - n_x(x)n(y))G'(x-y) \\ &\quad - 4n(x)n(y)G''(x-y), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(x) \left(1 - e^{-|x|}\right) \quad (8)$$

is the Green's function of the operator  $\hat{G}$ . This  $G$  satisfies the functional equation

$$G'(\alpha) \left( G(\beta) + G(\gamma) \right) + \text{cyclic} = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0,$$

which is a sufficient condition for the operator (2) to satisfy the Jacobi identity; the general solution to the functional equation was found by Braden and Byatt-Smith in the appendix of [10].

It was observed by Popowicz that, apart from the Hamiltonian  $H_0$ , the system (4) has additional conserved quantities that can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \int (nm^{-2/3})^\lambda m^{1/3} dx, \\ H_2 &= \int (-9n_x^2 n^{-2} m^{-1/3} + 12n_x m_x n^{-1} m^{-4/3} + -4m_x^2 m^{-7/3}) (nm^{-2/3})^\lambda dx, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where in each case the parameter  $\lambda$  is arbitrary. In [16] it is remarked that, having three conserved quantities, the system is likely to be integrable. The existence of a mere three (or a few) conservation laws does not guarantee integrability, and a more

precise requirement (or better, a definition of integrability) in infinite dimensions is that an integrable system should have infinitely many commuting symmetries [13]. In fact, since they contain an arbitrary parameter, each of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  provide infinitely many independent conservation laws for the system. However, a brief calculation shows that the gradient of each functional appearing in (9) is in the kernel of the Hamiltonian operator  $\mathcal{Z}$  for all  $\lambda$ , so that all of these conserved quantities are Casimirs for the associated Poisson bracket. Hence, regardless of the choice of  $\lambda$ , neither  $H_1$  nor  $H_2$  can generate a non-trivial flow that commutes with the time evolution  $\partial_t$ .

The fact that the combination  $w = nm^{-2/3}$  appears in the conserved functionals (9) suggests that it is worthwhile to eliminate either  $m$  or  $n$  and use this as a dependent variable. Also, as noted by Popowicz, the conservation laws corresponding to  $H_1$  are reminiscent of analogous ones for the Camassa-Holm/Degasperis-Procesi equations, which provide a reciprocal transformation to an equivalent system with different independent variables. We now make use of these observations.

**3. Reciprocal transformation and Painlevé analysis.** In order to eliminate  $n$  we can rewrite (4) as

$$\begin{aligned} (m^{1/3})_t &= -(m^{1/3}C)_x, \\ w_t &= -Cw_x, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with

$$C = 2u + v, \quad m = u - u_{xx}, \quad wm^{2/3} = v - v_{xx}. \quad (11)$$

The first equation is in conservation form, and previous experience with the Degasperis-Procesi equation [4] suggests taking the reciprocal transformation

$$\begin{aligned} dX &= p dx - C p dt, & p &= m^{1/3}, \\ dT &= dt, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

so that derivatives transform as  $\partial_x = p\partial_X$ ,  $\partial_t = \partial_T - Cp\partial_X$ .

In terms of the new independent variables  $X, T$  and the dependent variables  $p, w$ , the system (10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (p^{-1})_T &= C_X, \\ w_T &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and solving the latter two equations in (11) for  $u, v$  we can write

$$C = 2u + v = 2m + wm^{2/3} + (p\partial_X)^2(2u + v) = 2p^3 + wp^2 + p(pC_X)_X.$$

Substituting back for  $C_X$  from the first of (13) gives  $C = p^3 + wp^2 + p(p(p^{-1})_T)_X$ , and differentiating both sides of the latter with respect to  $X$  and substituting for  $C_X$  once more produces a single equation of third order for  $p$ , namely

$$p_{XXT} = \frac{p_X p_{XT}}{p} + \frac{(1 - p_X^2)p_T}{p^2} + 2wpp_X + (w_X + 6p_X)p^2. \quad (14)$$

From the second equation (13), the coefficient  $w = w(X)$  is an arbitrary  $T$ -independent function of  $X$ . It turns out that the presence of this arbitrary function provides an obstruction to integrability, from the point of view of the Painlevé analysis of the partial differential equation (14). It is also easy to calculate the images under this reciprocal transformation of the conserved densities corresponding to (9): the density for  $H_1$  is transformed to  $w^\lambda$ , and that for  $H_2$  becomes  $-9w^{\lambda-2}w_X^2$ , both of which are trivial (since  $w$  is no longer a dynamical variable).

To analyse the singularities of the equation (14) we apply the Weiss-Tabor-Carnevale test. The details of the analysis are almost identical to that for the

equation obtained from (1) by an analogous reciprocal transformation, so we will only give a brief description of the results and refer the reader to [8] for details of a similar calculation. There are two types of local expansion around an arbitrary singular manifold  $\phi = \phi(X, T) = 0$  corresponding to singularities on the right hand side of equation (14). For simplicity we can take the Kruskal reduced ansatz  $\phi = X - f(T)$  with  $f$  an arbitrary function of  $T$ , and it is sufficient to take  $w$  to be a non-zero constant. For the first type of expansion,  $p$  is regular as  $\phi \rightarrow 0$ , and we have  $p = \pm\phi + \alpha_2\phi^2 + \alpha_3\phi^3 + \dots$ , where  $\alpha_1(T)$  and  $\alpha_3(T)$  are arbitrary. The resonances are at  $-1, 1, 2$ , corresponding to the arbitrariness of  $f, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  respectively, and all resonance conditions are satisfied, so that this defines the leading part of a local expansion that is analytic around  $\phi = X - f(T) = 0$ . However, for the other type of expansion, we have  $p = \sum_{j \geq -1} \beta_j \phi^j$  which gives resonances  $-1, 2, 3$ . The leading coefficient satisfies  $\beta_{-1}^2 = -f/2$ , while at the next order  $\beta_0 = -w/4$ . The resonance  $-1$  corresponds to  $f$ , and the resonance condition for the value 3 (corresponding to  $\beta_2$ ) is satisfied automatically, but the resonance 2 corresponding to  $\beta_1$  gives the additional condition

$$\dot{\beta}_{-1}w = -\frac{\ddot{f}w}{4\beta_{-1}} = 0 \quad (15)$$

which is not satisfied unless  $w \equiv 0$  (since  $f$  is arbitrary). When  $w \equiv 0$  the equation (14) corresponds to the integrable Degasperis-Procesi equation (see [4]; this is also clear from the fact that  $n \equiv 0$  in that case). The failure of the resonance condition for non-vanishing  $w$  means that the local expansion around a pole must be augmented with infinitely many terms in  $\log \phi$ , so that the Painlevé property does not hold. These logarithmic terms are an indicator that (14) is not integrable, and hence the system (4) cannot be.

**4. Travelling waves.** Travelling wave solutions of (4) arise by putting

$$u(x, t) = U(s), \quad v(x, t) = V(s), \quad \text{with } s = x - ct,$$

to get

$$M(2U + V - c)^3 = K_1, \quad N(2U + V - c)^2 = K_2, \quad (16)$$

with  $M = U - U''$ ,  $N = V - V''$ , where  $K_1, K_2$  are constants, and  $C(s) = 2U + V = c - kM^{-1/3}$  where  $k = -K_1^{1/3}$ . From this it is also apparent that  $w = NM^{-2/3} = K_2K_1^{-2/3} = \ell = \text{constant}$ . Comparing with (12) and (13) is clear that travelling waves of (4) are transformed to travelling waves  $p(X, T) = P(S)$  of (14) moving with speed  $k$ , with

$$P(S) = M^{1/3}(s), \quad S = X - kT, \quad dS = M^{1/3}(s) ds.$$

The ordinary differential equation for travelling waves of (14) (with constant  $w = \ell$ ) can be integrated twice to yield

$$\left(\frac{dP}{dS}\right)^2 = -\frac{2}{k}\left(P^4 + \ell P^3 + mP^2 + cP\right) + 1 \equiv Q(P),$$

for  $k \neq 0$ , where  $m$  is another integration constant. This reduces to an elliptic integral of the first kind,

$$S + \text{const} = \int \frac{dP}{\sqrt{Q(P)}},$$

so that  $P$  is an elliptic function of  $S$ . Note that these travelling waves provide meromorphic solutions of (14), but this does not contradict the Painlevé analysis in the previous section, because for travelling waves the singular manifold is of the form  $\phi = X - f(T) = S - S_0$  for constant  $S_0$ , so that  $f(T) = kT + S_0$ , implying  $\ddot{f} = 0$  which removes the obstruction to the Painlevé property in (15).

In the original variable  $s$ , we have a third kind differential

$$ds = \frac{dP}{P\sqrt{Q(P)}}$$

(so that  $M(s) = P^3(S)$  has algebraic branch points as a function of  $s$ ). For particular choices of constants, when the quartic  $Q$  has a double root, the elliptic integral reduces to an elementary one in terms of hyperbolic functions, corresponding to smooth solitary wave solutions with the characteristic soliton shape.

Soliton-type travelling wave solutions must have a constant non-zero background, since the requirement that  $U$  and  $V$  tend to zero as  $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$  implies  $K_1 = 0 = K_2$  in (16), hence  $k = 0$  and the above analysis does not apply. However, in this case we can have a weak solution of (16) which is the peakon solution

$$u(x, t) = a e^{-|x-ct|}, \quad v(x, t) = b e^{-|x-ct|},$$

and

$$m(x, t) = 2a\delta(x - ct), \quad n(x, t) = 2b\delta(x - ct),$$

where  $a$  is an arbitrary constant and  $c = 2a + b$  is the wave speed. In the next section we extend this to multi-peakon solutions.

**5. Hamiltonian dynamics of peakons.** The  $N$ -peakon solutions have the appearance of a simple sum of  $N$  single peakons but with both the amplitudes and positions of the peaks being time-dependent, like so:

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(t) e^{-|x-q_j(t)|}, \quad v(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^N b_j(t) e^{-|x-q_j(t)|}, \quad (17)$$

where  $a_j(t)$  and  $b_j(t)$  are the amplitudes of the waves and  $q_j(t)$  is the position of the peak of both waves. The main result is as follows.

**Theorem 5.1.** *The Popowicz system (4) admits  $N$ -peakon solutions of the form (17), where the amplitudes  $a_j$ ,  $b_j$  and positions  $q_j$  satisfy the dynamical system*

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a}_j &= 2a_j \sum_{k=1}^N (2a_k + b_k) \operatorname{sgn}(q_j - q_k) e^{-|q_j - q_k|}, \\ \dot{b}_j &= b_j \sum_{k=1}^N (2a_k + b_k) \operatorname{sgn}(q_j - q_k) e^{-|q_j - q_k|}, \\ \dot{q}_j &= \sum_{k=1}^N (2a_k + b_k) e^{-|q_j - q_k|} \end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ . These equations are an Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{a}_j = \{a_j, h\}, \quad \dot{b}_j = \{b_j, h\}, \quad \dot{q}_j = \{q_j, h\}$$

with the Hamiltonian  $h = 2 \sum_{j=1}^N (a_j + b_j)$ , and the Poisson bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_j, a_k\} &= 2a_j a_k \operatorname{sgn}(q_j - q_k) e^{-|q_j - q_k|}, \\ \{b_j, b_k\} &= \frac{1}{2} b_j b_k \operatorname{sgn}(q_j - q_k) e^{-|q_j - q_k|}, \\ \{q_j, q_k\} &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(q_j - q_k) \left(1 - e^{-|q_j - q_k|}\right), \\ \{q_j, a_k\} &= a_k e^{-|q_j - q_k|}, \\ \{q_j, b_k\} &= \frac{1}{2} b_k e^{-|q_j - q_k|}, \\ \{a_j, b_k\} &= a_j b_k \operatorname{sgn}(q_j - q_k) e^{-|q_j - q_k|}. \end{aligned}$$

This Poisson bracket has  $N$  Casimirs  $\mathcal{C}_j = a_j/b_j^2$  for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .

The proof of the above result, which will be presented elsewhere, is based on integration of the equations (4) and the brackets (7) against suitable test functions with support around each of the peaks. Here it is worth remarking that although the phase space has dimension  $3N$ , fixing the values of the  $N$  Casimirs reduces the motion onto  $2N$ -dimensional symplectic leaves. Once this has been done, one can eliminate the  $a_j$ , say, and solve  $2N$  equations for  $b_j, q_j$ .

**6. Concluding remarks.** The evidence from Painlevé analysis suggests very strongly that the system (4) is not integrable. This raises the question of whether the  $N$ -peakon system can be integrable for  $N > 1$ . The Liouville-Arnold theorem requires the existence of a further  $N - 1$  independent conserved quantities in involution (in addition to  $h$  and the Casimirs  $\mathcal{C}_j$  which satisfy  $\{\mathcal{C}_j, F\} = 0$  for any function  $F$  on phase space). The first interesting case is the 2-peakon problem, which requires just one additional conserved quantity. In fact, a direct calculation shows that the independent quantity

$$J = b_1 b_2 \left(1 - \exp(-|q_1 - q_2|)\right)$$

is in involution with the Hamiltonian,  $\{J, h\} = 0$ , so that the  $N = 2$  peakon system is completely integrable.

There is also the question of whether these peakons are stable solutions. We propose to address these issues in future work.

**Acknowledgements.** AH is grateful to Jing Ping Wang for useful discussions, and thanks the organisers of the special session on integrable systems for inviting him to speak at the AIMS meeting in Arlington, Texas.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Camassa and D.D. Holm, *An integrable shallow water wave equation with peaked solitons*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **71** (1993), 1661–1664.
- [2] M. Chen, S.-Q. Liu and Y. Zhang, A 2-component generalization of the Camassa-Holm equation and its solutions, Lett. Math. Phys. **75**, (2006), 115.
- [3] A. Degasperis and M. Procesi, *Asymptotic integrability*, Symmetry and Perturbation Theory (Rome) ed A. Degasperis and G. Gaeta (River Edge, NJ: World Scientific) (1998), 22–37.
- [4] A. Degasperis, D.D. Holm and A.N.W. Hone, *A new integrable equation with peakon solutions*, Theor. Math. Phys. **133** (2002), 1461–72
- [5] A. Degasperis, D.D. Holm and A.N.W. Hone, *Integrable and non-integrable equations with peakons*, in “Nonlinear Physics: Theory and Experiment. II” (eds. M.J. Ablowitz, M. Boiti, F. Pempinelli and B. Prinari), World Scientific, (2003), 37–43.
- [6] H.R. Dullin, G.A. Gottwald and D.D. Holm, Physica D **190** (2004), 1–14.



- [7] G. Falqui, *On a Camassa-Holm type equation with two dependent variables*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39** (2006), 327–342.
- [8] A.N.W. Hone, *Painlevé tests, singularity structure and integrability*, in “Integrability” (ed. A.V. Mikhailov), Springer Lecture Notes in Physics, 35 pages, Springer (2008) at press.
- [9] D.D. Holm, J.T. Ratnanather, A. Trounev and L. Younes, *Soliton dynamics in computational anatomy*, NeuroImage **23** (2004), S170-S178.
- [10] D.D. Holm and A.N.W. Hone, *A class of equations with peakon and pulson solutions (with an appendix by Harry Braden and John Byatt-Smith)*, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, **12** (2005), 380–394.
- [11] A.N.W. Hone and J.P. Wang, *Prolongation algebras and Hamiltonian operators for peakon equations* Inverse Problems, **19** (2003), 129–145.
- [12] A.N.W. Hone and J.P. Wang, *Integrable peakon equations with cubic nonlinearity*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., **41** (2008), 372002.
- [13] A.V. Mikhailov and V.S. Novikov, *Perturbative symmetry approach*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35** (2002), 4775–4790.
- [14] V.S. Novikov, *Generalisations of the Camassa-Holm equation*, (2008), in preparation.
- [15] Z. Popowicz, *A 2-component or  $N=2$  supersymmetric Camassa-Holm equation*, Phys. Lett. A **354** (2006), 110–114.
- [16] Z. Popowicz, *A two-component generalization of the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39** (2006), 13717–13726.
- [17] Z. Qiao, *A new integrable equation with cuspons and  $W/M$ -shape-peaks solitons*, J. Math. Phys **47**, (2006), 112701-9.

Received September 2006; revised February 2007.

*E-mail address:* `anwh@kent.ac.uk`

*E-mail address:* `mvi3@kent.ac.uk`