Citation for published version


DOI

https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.224504

Link to record in KAR

http://kar.kent.ac.uk/37614/

Document Version

Publisher pdf
Anomalous thermodynamic power laws near topological transitions in nodal superconductors
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Unconventional superconductors are most frequently identified by the observation of power-law behavior on low-temperature thermodynamic or transport properties, such as specific heat. Here, we show that, in addition to the usual point and line nodes, a much wider class of different nodal types can occur. These new types of nodes typically occur when there are transitions between different types of gap node topology, for example, when point or line nodes first appear as a function of some physical parameter. We identify anomalous, noninteger thermodynamic power laws associated with these new nodal types, and give physical examples of superconductors in which they might be observed experimentally, including the noncentrosymmetric superconductor Li2Pd3−xPtx.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.88.224504

PACS numbers(s): 74.25.Bt, 74.20.Rp, 74.25.Jb, 74.70.Tx

I. INTRODUCTION

A defining feature of many unconventional Fermi superfluids and superconductors is the existence of lines or points on the Fermi surface where the energy gap vanishes and so-called “nodal quasiparticles” can exist at arbitrarily low energies. In Fermi systems with such nodal quasiparticles, the low-temperature specific heat will show a particular power-law behavior as a function of temperature. The expected point and line node power-law dependencies were first derived in relation to the proposed low-temperature superfluid phases of liquid 3He.1 These were subsequently clarified further2 and are now widely used to identify pairing states in unconventional superconductors.3,5 Here, we show that gap nodes in superconductors can occur in a number of more general types than simply the usual line or point zeros and that each of these has a corresponding thermodynamic signature, typically in the form of noninteger power laws in low-temperature specific heat. We predict that these anomalous power laws generically occur at points in the phase diagram where there is a topological change in the line or point nodal structure on the Fermi surface, which we illustrate with a specific example: the noncentrosymmetric superconductors Li2Pd3−xPtx. In this case, the gap node topological changes are also associated with changes of bulk topological quantum numbers for the quasiparticles. The future experimental observation of such noninteger power laws could therefore be used to identify not only superconductors with highly unconventional pairing symmetries, but also topological superconducting and superfluid systems.5

Similar physics is realized in the high-temperature cobalt-doped pnictide materials Ba(Fe1−xTxF2)2As2 (T = Co, Ni, Pd).7,9

For the usual types of point and line nodes, the quasiparticle energy spectrum is linear in the vicinity of the gap node. A familiar example is the case of the 3He A phase with triplet pairing order parameter d0 ∝ (kx + ikz)τ, which has point nodes at the points k = (0, 0, ±kF) on the Fermi sphere, of radius kF. Near to these points, the quasiparticle energy spectrum, E0, obeys E0 = vF kF (k − kF)2 + |d0|2, where vF is the Fermi velocity, giving a linear dispersion relation as shown in Fig. 1(a). For this type of gap node, we expect a specific heat, Cν ∝ Tν, at temperatures T much lower than the critical temperature Tc. The case of line nodes in the superconducting gap is also well known, as, for example, found in d-wave superconductors such as YBa2Cu3O7. Assuming in this case that the Fermi surface is an open cylinder of radius kF, and the energy gap is of the form ∆k = ∆0(k2 − k2F), then there are four line nodes spaced around the Fermi surface at k = kF(±1, ±1)/√2. Again, the energy spectrum is linear near these gap nodes, as shown in Fig. 1(c), so in this case we expect Cν ∝ Tν.10

While the generic point and line nodes of this type are expected in the majority of unconventional superconductors, other types of nodal energy spectrum are possible. For example, it is possible that the system has a point node, but that the energy spectrum is quadratic not linear near the nodal point, see Fig. 1(b). The heat capacity at this critical point is a power law, with Cν ∝ T2 rather than the usual Cν ∝ T3 for point nodes.2

This aspect of nonlinear excitation spectra was identified by Leggett in his review of the superfluid phases of 3He,2 though at the time no examples were known. Later, a ground state with E2u symmetry having this feature was proposed for the heavy-fermion superconductor UPt3,11 and possible thermal transport signatures were discussed.12 Here, we show that this different type of point node behavior is not restricted to certain special symmetries but occurs generically when the gap structure is changing from having a point node to having no nodes, as a function of some parameter defining the pairing potential on the Fermi surface. We extend this notion to a much wider class of nodal types and node topology transitions.

II. GENERALIZED NODAL TYPES AND ANOMALOUS POWER-LAWS

The fact that it is possible to continuously remove a point node of the gap is surprising, since the usual linear spectrum point node behavior is usually thought to be topologically stable against perturbations.13–16

The shallow node behavior is not topologically protected, since it only occurs for specific parameter values in which accidental cancellations occur between different order parameter components. Nevertheless,
as we shall see, its influence extends throughout the finite- 

\[ g(E) = \frac{E^{0.8}}{E^{2.2}} \]

\( n = 1.8 \)

\( g_E = \frac{E^{0.8}}{E^{2.2}} \]

\( n = 1.4 \)

The final distinct type of gap node, which we shall discuss, is a crossing of shallow line nodes, as shown in Fig. 1(f). Again, this leads to a logarithmic term in the density of states, which dominates the low-temperature behavior compared to the shallow line node case in the absence of crossings. The corresponding specific heat capacity is approximately \( C_v \propto T^{1.4} \) for the shallow line node crossing, compared to \( C_v \propto T^{1.5} \) for the case without crossings. Interestingly, \( n = 1.4 \) is not so far from the value obtained for the ungapped Fermi surface of a normal (nonsuperconducting) Fermi liquid, \( n = 1 \).

Figure 2 shows the predicted exponents of the power-law temperature dependencies of specific heat for each of the different nodal types shown in Fig. 1. In all cases, the predicted power-law behavior \( C_v \propto T^n \) is accurate at very low temperatures, below \( 0.1T_c \), and in many cases the low-temperature power laws apply over a range of temperatures up to \( 0.3T_c \). The details of our calculations of specific heat leading onto the results in Figs. 1 and 2 are given in Appendix A.

Non-integer power laws, especially powers below 2, are a highly distinctive signature of the low-energy excitation spectrum, and their experimental observation would be a relatively clear and direct form of evidence for the existence of the corresponding type of gap node in a given material. In systems where the gap topology is changing as a function of some experimental parameter (e.g., doping or spin-orbit coupling), these anomalous powers will only be observed to
In doing so, Platinum doping (2) corresponds to the inset) discussed below, is more feasible as a function of doping at constant temperature.

![Graph showing the simulated exponent of temperature $T$ in the specific heat capacity as a function of temperature for each of the nodal characters. The value of $n$ in the power law $C_v \propto T^n$ is obtained by calculating $n = \frac{d\ln C_v}{d\ln T}$. These match analytics (dotted lines) in the limit $T \to 0$. The methodology employed to produce this plot is described in Appendix A.]

The lowest temperatures if the material is exactly at the critical parameter value for shallow nodes to occur. However, if the material is merely near to, not exactly at, the critical parameter value, then the shallow node power laws will hold over a wide temperature range, reverting to ordinary line, point, or nodeless behavior at the very lowest temperatures. Again, experimental observation of such a crossover between power laws would be a clear signature of the unusual gap nodal structure—we provide an explicit example of this below.

In practice, it is unlikely that the power laws shown in Fig. 2 can be distinguished from one another in a single measurement as a function of temperature, however, a transition of the type shown in Fig. 5 (inset), discussed below, is more feasible as a function of doping at constant temperature.

![Graph illustrating the transition between a nodal and nonnodal gap structure in any superconductor as a result of continuously admixed order parameters. The deep minima have no linear order term in the expansion.]
FIG. 4. (Color online) (Top) Fifteen gap topologies corresponding to different triplet admixtures in a cubic noncentrosymmetric superconductor. Within this set, the only point nodes are shallow point nodes, occurring in plots 1, 5, 10, and 15. Topologies 3, 6, 8, and 14 are all line reconnection transitions between distinct topologies and include crossings of linear line nodes. Topology 11 is a crossing of shallow line nodes. (Bottom) Phase diagram of gap node topology corresponding to triplet admixture parameters $A$ and $B$ in Eq. (3) on a spherical Fermi surface. Each line represents a phase boundary where gap nodes appear or disappear on the Fermi surface.

with a conventional (phonon-mediated) pairing mechanism. In spite of this, a large number of different gap nodal structures are obtained, as shown in Fig. 4 (top panel). As a function of the parameters $A, B$ we obtain the rich phase diagram of Fig. 4 (bottom panel). The corresponding specific heat exponents are illustrated in Fig. 5. Upon doping, the material explores the phase diagram along some unspecified path from small values of $A$ and $B$ ($x = 0$) to larger values ($x = 3$). Small values of $A$ and $B$ correspond to a fully gapped, singlet-dominated superconducting order parameter as believed to be realized in Li$_2$Pd$_3$B. Order parameters with a large triplet component and line nodes on the Fermi surface are thought to occur in Li$_2$Pt$_3$B and correspond to the case when either $A$ or $B$ (or both) are larger.

Inspection of the phase diagram shows that at some values of $A$ and $B$ we expect point nodes to appear, labeled by “1” in Fig. 4, before line nodes appear on the gap, labeled by “2” in the same figure. These point nodes are shallow point nodes, and so instead of the usual $T^3$ power-law dependence of the heat capacity for point nodes, we instead expect a $T^2$ power-law dependence. Upon further change of the $A$ and $B$ parameters, these shallow point nodes expand into rings of ordinary line nodes, as shown in Fig. 4, plot labeled “2.” Increasing the parameters yet again there is a level which causes a topological line reconnection, labeled “3,” where the different line nodes intersect before becoming rings again, now centered at different points on the Fermi surface, “4.” Note that the number of line nodes on the Fermi surface is always a multiple of two as predicted by the topological theory of gapless phases in time-reversal-invariant superconductors. That number jumps at the line reconnection transition, indicating its topologically nontrivial nature. The line reconnection transition has a distinct thermal signature in the low-$T$ heat capacity originating from the crossing of line nodes associated with such a line reconnection. As is evident from the figure, there are many other paths along the phase diagram with similar phase transitions. In our analysis of the cubic noncentrosymmetric superconductors, we also found a
single instance of crossing of shallow line nodes, labeled “11” in Fig. 4. These are realized when line nodes are spontaneously introduced on a nodeless Fermi surface without first appearing as points. The specific heat exponent of $n = 1.4$ for this case is clearly seen in Fig. 5.

Schnyder et al. 27,28 have shown that a number of these phases of cubic noncentrosymmetric superconductors possess nontrivial topological quantum numbers, 6,29 and have corresponding surface edge states of topological nature. In principle, these surface states can be probed experimentally by electron tunneling through an interface with a normal metal. 30 However, we propose that a more direct, bulk, measurement of this nontrivial gap topology can be obtained by measurements of the low-temperature heat capacity. If the anomalous power laws we have predicted can be observed, then it should be possible to detect the phase boundaries between different types of topological gap structures, and hence determine in a bulk measurement the topological quantum numbers associated with different doping regimes. Phases 1–5 in Fig. 4 correspond to those considered by Schnyder et al. 27,28

The anomalous power-laws due to changes of nodal topology are realized exactly at each transition. On the other hand, by being close to, but not exactly at one of those transitions one expects a crossover from conventional (fully gapped or normal power-law) behavior to anomalous behavior as the temperature is increased through a characteristic temperature scale $T^*$. A demonstration of this is provided for the case of Li$_2$Pd$_{3-x}$Pt$_x$B, see Fig. 5, specifically the curves corresponding to $A = 3$, $B = 3.5–4.0$ (top panel), and the density plot (bottom panel) showing how the temperature scale $T^*$ converges to zero at the transition. This may be used to detect topological transitions in superconductors and in fact shows that the influence of the topological transition extends over a wide region in the phase diagram, not just at a single point. The detection of the phase boundary itself is facilitated by its higher specific heat, at fixed low temperature, due to the enhanced phase space available to quasiparticles in that state. This is illustrated in the inset to Fig. 5. We emphasize, however, that it is in the anomalous power laws that we find the evidence of the special nature of quasiparticles at the phase boundary.

In recent years, it has become widely recognized that point or line nodes in the bulk spectrum of topological matter may evolve into topologically protected surface or vortex core states with zero dispersion. 31,32 In contrast, the shallow dispersions discussed here exist in the bulk excitation spectrum near topological transitions.

IV. CONCLUSION

To conclude, we have shown that a more general classification of nodal characters of superconductors yields anomalous, noninteger power laws for low-temperature thermodynamic quantities. Some such power laws can be used to detect line node crossings; others are expected at phase transitions where the nodal topology reconfigures itself. An example is provided by the topological transitions expected to occur as a function of doping in the noncentrosymmetric cubic superconductor Li$_2$Pd$_{3-x}$Pt$_x$B. It is important to stress that the observation of anomalous low-temperature power laws, just as the ordinary, integer ones, requires going to temperatures well below $T_c$. On the other hand, it is not necessary to sit exactly at the transition, as there is a crossover temperature separating conventional from anomalous power-law behavior that converges to zero there. In that sense, the influence of the topological transition extends throughout the bulk phase diagram in a manner similar to that of a quantum critical endpoint. 33,34
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APPENDIX A: CALCULATION OF SPECIFIC HEAT OF NODAL SUPERCONDUCTORS

To describe the energy $E_k$ of quasiparticles near any specific point on the Fermi surface, it is convenient to change coordinate systems to three mutually orthogonal $k$-vector components: one perpendicular to the Fermi surface, $k_\perp$, and two parallel to it, $k_\parallel$, $k_\parallel$. We then have $E_k^2 = v_F^2 k_\perp^2 + \Delta_k^2$, where $v_F$ is the Fermi velocity, $k_\perp = 0$ when $|k| = k_F$ (the Fermi vector), and $\Delta_k$ is a function determined by the superconducting gap function, which may be either singlet, triplet, or a combination (for noncentrosymmetric systems).

The gap energy spectra shown in Fig. 1 are described by an equation for the gap energy $\Delta_k$, which has the form $\Delta_k^2 = I_{\mu\nu} k_{\mu} k_{\nu} + \cdots$ near to a gap nodal point, where $I_{\mu\nu}$ is a positive tensor of rank 2, which is determined by the symmetry of the gap function around that nodal point. In general, $I_{\mu\nu}$ will have two principal axes, which we can choose as $k_{\parallel1}$ and $k_{\parallel2}$. If the two eigenvalues corresponding to these axes, $I_1$ and $I_2$, are both positive and nonzero, we have an ordinary point node with linear spectrum illustrated in Fig. 1(a) (with $I_1 = \sqrt{T \Delta_1}$). If one eigenvalue is positive and nonzero ($I_1$) and the other is zero we have an ordinary line node. In the case of two zero eigenvalues, it is necessary to continue the expansion to quartic or higher order, giving the various shallow nodes or crossings illustrated in Fig. 1. In principle, even higher powers may be possible, but we have not found any realistic examples of gap models where this could occur. In contrast, all the node types presented in Fig. 1 can be realized in the specific gap models presented in this paper.

Computation of the density of states integral $g(E) = \int \int d\varepsilon \delta(E_k - E) dk_\parallel dk_\perp$ for each of these gap energy spectra gives a unique expression. The specific heat capacity in the superconducting state is in turn given by

$$C_v = T \bigg( \frac{dS}{dT} \bigg)$$

$$= \sum_k \frac{1}{2} k_B \beta^2 \left[ E_k + \beta \left( \frac{dE_k}{d\beta} \right) \right] E_k \text{sech}^2 \left( \frac{\beta E_k}{2} \right), \quad (A1)$$

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$. The low-$T$ specific heat capacity can therefore be found analytically from $g(E)$ by evaluating the integral $\frac{1}{2} k_B \beta^2 \int_0^{\infty} dE g(E)E^2 \text{sech}^2(\beta E/2)$, giving the power-law dependence of a low-$T$ specific heat capacity.
measurement. We find the terms listed in Fig. 1 for point and line nodes with linear and nonlinear excitation spectra, where $L$ is the length of the line node from end to end. For cases where line nodes cross, we expect the terms listed in Fig. 1, where $L$ is now the length of the line node on the Fermi surface measured from the center to the corner of the crossing whose contribution is being calculated. The cases in Figs. 1(e) and 1(f) are not power laws, however, they approximate very closely to the noninteger power laws indicated, with very slight variations depending on the constant $L$. In the case of line reconnection transitions such as Fig. 4, plots 3, 8, and 14, where the Fermi surface includes regions where the gap energy spectrum expands as $\Delta_k^2 = \tilde{I}_1 k_{x1}^2 k_{y1}^2$, the contribution of the line crossing to the density of states is $\sim E^{0.2}$.

The low-$T$ specific heat capacity can also be calculated numerically using Eq. (A1) by summing over a sufficient number of integration points corresponding to different values of the gap in $k$ space near the Fermi surface for selected temperature ranges below $T_c$. The exponent of temperature, $n$, can then be obtained using the general formula $n = d \ln C_v / d \ln T$. When this quantity approaches a constant, we have $C_v \propto T^n$. This yields the curves in Figs. 2 and 4(c), where the temperature dependence of the gap is taken to be given by the expression from Ginzburg-Landau theory, $(T - T_c)^{1/2}$. This approximation, made for convenience, introduces a weak additional temperature dependence for $T < T_c$ compared to the BCS approximation and therefore provides an upper bound on the temperature dependence introduced by the gap. We find that the exponents are not affected by this: even in the case where the temperature dependence of the gap is assumed to be constant, the same power laws are obtained with flat temperature dependence.

Each contour in Fig. 4(a) corresponds to a line where the gap energy $\Delta_k$ derived from the gap function of Eqs. (2) and (3) vanishes. This gap energy is given by $|\Delta_k| = |\Delta_0 \pm d(k)| = 0$, where $d(k) = |d_k|$. The phase diagram in Fig. 4(b) shows the values of the parameters $A$ and $B$ where the nodal topology changes for this form of the gap energy. This form of $\Delta_k$ has also been used to compute the specific heat exponent in Fig. 4(c).

**APPENDIX B: SPECTRUM OF O-GROUP SUPERCONDUCTORS**

Here, we give the details of the derivation of the nodal topologies and phase diagram presented in Fig. 4(b). We use a single-band mean-field model with antisymmetric spin-orbit coupling to obtain the resulting gap node topologies on the Fermi surface, which we take to be spherical for simplicity. The Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian is

$$H(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{h}(k) & \hat{\Delta}(k) \\ \hat{\Delta}^\dagger(k) & -\hat{h}^T(-k) \end{pmatrix}.$$  

The noncentrosymmetric (NCS) gap function, which mixes singlet and triplet as a result of the parity violation in the crystal lattice structure, is given in Eq. (1), where $\sigma_{x,y,z}$ denotes the Pauli matrices and $\Delta_{0k}$ and $d_k$ denote the singlet and triplet components of the gap, respectively.

The normal state Hamiltonian $h(k)$ given in Ref. 27 for noninteracting electrons in a crystal without inversion center is

$$h(k) = \varepsilon_k \mathbf{l} + \gamma_k \cdot \sigma,$$  

where $\varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_{-k}$ is the nonrelativistic metallic dispersion energy. The second term represents the antisymmetric SOC where the coupling constant $\gamma_k = -\gamma_{-k}$. We will assume the $d$-vector $d_k$ to be parallel to $\gamma_k$. This ensures that SOC is not destructive to the triplet component of the NCS gap function.27,35

In a general Ginzburg-Landau theory, the possible symmetries of the superconducting instability depend on the symmetry of the normal state. In this case, the symmetry of the normal state is given by $G_N = O \times T \times U(1)$ where $O$ denotes the NCS cubic space group, $T$ the time reversal symmetry and $U(1)$ the gauge symmetry operation. In any superconducting transition, the $U(1)$ symmetry is broken, however, other symmetries of the normal state may be broken as well. For the purposes of our analysis, we will, following Ref. 27, look at the case where only the gauge symmetry and no further symmetries of the crystal lattice are broken, i.e., the superconducting instability has the symmetry of the $A_1$ irrep of the $O$ group. This means that the gap function, given, in general, by Eq. (1), takes the specific form of Eqs. (2) and (3).

With $d_k$ and $\gamma_k$ explicitly defined, the Bogoliubov de-Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonian can be diagonalized. The single-band BdG Hamiltonian with SOC, defined parallel to the $d(k)$ component of the gap,27,35 has the property that splitting of the Fermi surface occurs as a result of SOC, whereas zero-energy excitations occur as a result of the combination of singlet and triplet components of the gap function. In this case, the diagonalized BdG Hamiltonian has eigenvalues of the form

$$E = \pm \sqrt{(|\varepsilon_k - \mu| + |\gamma_k|)^2 + |\Delta_0 \pm |d_k||^2},$$  

where the $\pm$ signs inside the square root always take the same value, while the sign in the front varies independently. The SOC term $\gamma_k$ in this expression has the effect of splitting Fermi sheets, whereas the second term $|\Delta_0 \pm |d_k||$ determines the node topology of the superconducting gap on either of the Rashba-split Fermi sheets.

The zeros of the term $|\Delta_0 \pm |d_k||$ are the loci of zero-energy excitations on the Fermi surface. In order to ensure that the SOC parameter does not significantly warp the spherical Fermi surface and therefore change the node topology, we assume that $\varepsilon_k \gg \gamma_k \gg \Delta_0$. This hierarchy of energy scales is reasonable to assume as $\varepsilon_k$ is of the order of eV, $\Delta_0$ is of the order of meV, and the observed SOC-induced Fermi surface splittings are small relativistic corrections to $\varepsilon_k$.

The conditions for zeros in the gap energy spectrum for each Rashba-split Fermi sheet are $\Delta_0 - |d_k| = 0$ and $\Delta_0 + |d_k| = 0$. Thus, one of the Fermi surface sheets is always fully-gapped, while the other one may be nodal. The gap function in this latter case is best normalized with respect to the singlet gap energy:

$$\Delta_0^2 \left| 1 - \frac{|d_k|^2}{\Delta_0^2} \right| = \Delta_0^2 \left| 1 - \frac{|d_k|^2}{\Delta_0^2} \right|^2 = 0.$$  


The term $\frac{d_k}{\Delta_0}$ has here been replaced with the term $|d_k|$ whose coefficients are scaled by a factor of $\Delta_0$. This expression can be fully expanded and used to derive the topological phase boundaries by substituting in the vector $d(k) = \{AX - BX(Y^2 + Z^2), AY - BY(Z^2 + X^2), AZ - BZ(X^2 + Y^2)\}$. A coordinate transformation to spherical polar coordinates using $X = \cos(\phi) \sin(\theta)$, $Y = \sin(\phi) \sin(\theta)$, and $Z = \cos(\theta)$ allows us to select specific points on the Fermi surface and find solutions in A and B. The phase boundaries of Fig. 4(b) separate regions of constant gap topology, which were obtained for critical values of the polar and azimuthal angles corresponding to the points where nodes first appear on the gap or where line nodes reconnect at a topological transition.

Phases 1–5 in Fig. 4(a) of the main text correspond to those considered by Schnyder et al.\textsuperscript{27,28} The coordinates $\theta = \cos^{-1}(1/\sqrt{3}), \phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ correspond to one topologically distinct set of point nodes on the spherical Fermi surface shown in Fig. 4(a), plot 5. Substituting these into the equation $\Delta_0 - |d_k| = 0$ gives the relationship between coefficients $A$ and $B$: $A = \frac{1}{2}B \pm 1$. The line reconnection shown in Fig. 4(a), plot 3, corresponds to the coordinates $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \phi = 0$ and produces solutions $A = \frac{B+2}{2}$. The final phase boundary in Fig. 4(b) corresponds to point nodes at the coordinates $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \phi = 0$ shown in Fig. 4(a), plot 1, which produces the solutions $A = \pm 1$. 
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