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Abstract

We study a class of evolutionary partial differential systems with two components related to second order (in time) non-evolutionary equations of odd order in spatial variable. We develop the formal diagonalisation method in symbolic representation, which enables us to derive an explicit set of necessary conditions of existence of higher symmetries. Using these conditions we globally classify all such homogeneous integrable systems, i.e. systems which possess a hierarchy of infinitely many higher symmetries.
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1 Introduction.

Classification of integrable equations of a given family of nonlinear equations is an important topic in the field of soliton theory. There are many approaches to this problem, among which the symmetry approach has proved to be very efficient and powerful method. The symmetry approach has been used to classify large classes of integrable nonlinear partial differential equations and difference-differential equations such as scalar evolutionary equations, Volterra and Toda type equations, hyperbolic equations etc. We refer the reader to the recent review paper [1] and the references therein for details.

This paper is devoted to a problem of classification of systems of integrable evolutionary equations with two components. The first work in this direction had been carried out by Mikhailov, Shabat and Yamilov [2, 3, 4]. They classified integrable second order systems of the form

\[ u_t = A(u)u_{xx} + F(u, u_x), \quad \det(A(u)) \neq 0, \quad u = (u, v)^T, \]

where the \(2 \times 2\) matrix \(A(u)\) can be reduced to

\[ A(u) = \text{diag}(a, b), \quad a = -1, \quad b = 1, \]

if the system possesses higher order conservation laws. Later, Svinolupov [5] studied the Burgers type equations, i.e. the case \(a = b = 1\) (see also the review paper [1]). After these two special cases, attention was turned to the more general case: \(a \neq \pm b\) and \(ab \neq 0\) [7] and to the systems of higher order. In principle, the symmetry approach can be applied to classification of higher order systems. Although the method is algorithmic, the computation involved grows very rapidly with the order of the system, so further achievement in this direction had to wait for the development of the appropriate computer algebra.

Recently, several research groups have developed the computer programmes to carry out the task of classification of polynomial integrable evolutionary systems with two components. Foursov and Olver considered the symmetrically-coupled evolutionary equations of lower order [8]. After linear transformation, the leading linear part of the systems can always be diagonalised. Tsuchida and Wolf studied polynomial homogeneous integrable evolutionary systems of mixed scalar and vector dependent variables [9] of order 2 and 3, where the authors compared their comprehensive results with the existing ones. The linear parts of systems they considered are diagonal including zero eigenvalues. Their classification methods have led to interesting new integrable equations, but they could not claim that their lists are complete in the sense that there are no other integrable systems of the fixed order in certain classes since the methods they used were based on searching symmetries of specific orders and forms. The symbolic representation combined with applications of number theory [10] is the solution to this problem at least for subclass of homogeneous
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polynomial evolutionary systems. It enables us to translate the question of integrability into the problem of divisibility of certain symmetric polynomials, which can be solved by using number theoretical methods. A series papers have been published on it including the complete classification of second order two components evolutionary systems \([11]\) and Bakirov-type systems \([12, 13]\).

The ultimate goal of classification of integrable equations is to obtain the classification result for integrable equations of any order, i.e. the global classification result. So far the only global result has been obtained for scalar homogeneous evolutionary equations \([14, 15]\). In this paper, based on the symbolic representation in \([16]\), we develop the formal diagonalisation method so that we can globally classify homogeneous two-component evolutionary systems whose leading linear terms are not explicitly diagonal. We demonstrate our method classifying integrable systems of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_t &= \partial_x v, \\
  v_t &= \partial_x^{2n+1-r} u + F(u, u_x, u_{xx}, ..., \partial_x^{2n-r} u, v, v_x, v_{xx}, ..., \partial_x^{2n-r} v), \\
  n &= 1, 2, 3, ..., \quad r \in \{0, 1, ..., n\},
\end{align*}
\]

(1)
of arbitrary order (i.e. for any integer \(n > 0\)). Here \(F\) is a homogeneous differential polynomial in \(u, v\) and their \(x\)-derivatives. If function \(F\) does not depend on \(v, v_x, ..., \partial_x^{-1} v\) then we can exclude \(v\) from system (1) and obtain non-evolutionary equations of odd order

\[
 u_{tt} = \partial_x^{2n+1} u + K(u, u_x, u_{xx}, ..., \partial_x^{2n} u, u_t, u_{tx}, u_{xxx}, ..., \partial_x^{2n} u_t), \\
 K = D_x^n (F), \\
 n &= 1, 2, 3, ...
\]

(2)
The even-order non-evolutionary equations of form (2) have been studied using the perturbative symmetry approach in symbolic representation in \([16]\). The famous Boussinesq equation \([17]\)

\[
u_t = u_{xxx} + (u^2)_{xx}
\]

belongs to this class. As a result, integrable equations of orders 4 and 6 were classified as well as three new integrable equations of order 10 were found. The approach developed in \([16]\) is also suitable for odd order equations. However, there is a difficulty to formulate the explicit necessary conditions for this case. Besides, it can not be used for global classification. The special subclass of non-evolutionary equations was also considered in \([20]\), where a family of partially integrable equations with only one higher symmetry was constructed.

In this paper in the framework of the perturbative symmetry approach in the symbolic representation we derive an integrable equations with only one higher symmetry was constructed. The special subclass of non-evolutionary equations was also considered in \([20]\), where a family of partially integrable equations with only one higher symmetry was constructed.

In section 2 we remind basic definitions and notations of the symbolic representation \([16]\); in section 3 we develop the formal diagonalisation formalism for systems \([16]\); using the formal diagonalisation method we obtain explicit formulae for the (approximate) symmetries of system \([16]\) and derive necessary conditions for the existence of an infinite hierarchy of higher symmetries; in section 4 we apply these conditions to classify integrable homogeneous systems \([16]\) for all orders \(n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\) and for all possible representations \(r = 0, 1, \ldots, n\).

2 Symbolic representation over the ring of differential polynomials.

In this section we remind basic definitions and notations of the ring of differential polynomials and symbolic representation (for more details see e.g. \([11, 16, 19]\)).
Throughout the whole paper we assume that all functions, such as $F$ and $K$ in equation \( \text{1} \) and \( \text{2} \), are differential polynomials in variables $u, v, u_x, v_x, u_{xx}, \ldots$. We introduce an infinite sequence of dynamical variables \( \{u_0, v_0, u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, \ldots\} \) by the identification

\[
  u_0 = u, \ v_0 = v, \ u_n = \partial^0 u, \ v_n = \partial^0 v. \tag{3}
\]

We often omit the zero index and write $u$ and $v$ instead of $u_0$ and $v_0$.

We denote $\mathcal{R}$ the ring of polynomials over $\mathbb{C}$ of infinite number of dynamical variables. We also assume that $1 \notin \mathcal{R}$. Elements of the ring $\mathcal{R}$ are finite sums of monomials with complex coefficients and therefore each element depends on a finite number of the dynamical variables. The degree of a monomial is defined as a total power, i.e. the sum of all powers of dynamical variables that contribute to the monomial. Let $\mathcal{R}^n$ denote the set of polynomials in $\mathcal{R}$ of degree $n$. The ring $\mathcal{R}$ has a natural gradation

\[
  \mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{R}^n, \quad \mathcal{R}^n \cdot \mathcal{R}^m \subset \mathcal{R}^{n+m}.
\]

Elements of $\mathcal{R}^3$ are linear functions of the dynamical variables, $\mathcal{R}^2$ quadratic, etc. We suppose that $1 \notin \mathcal{R}$. It is convenient to define a “little-oh” order symbol $o(\mathcal{R}^n)$. We say that $f = o(\mathcal{R}^n)$ if $f \in \bigoplus_{k > n} \mathcal{R}^k$, i.e. the degree of every monomial of $f$ is bigger than $n$.

Let $\mu, \nu$ be two positive rational numbers\(^{1}\) which we call the weights of $u$ and $v$ respectively and denote $W(u) = \mu, W(v) = \nu$. We define the weights of dynamical variables \( \text{3} \) as $W(u^i) = \mu + i$ and $W(v^j) = \nu + j$. The weight of a monomial is the sum of the weights of dynamical variables that contribute to the monomial. We say that a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{R}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of weight $\lambda$ (and write $W(f) = \lambda$) if every its monomial is of weight $\lambda$. The weighted gradation of $\mathcal{R}$ is defined as

\[
  \mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{m,n,s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{R}_{m\mu+n\nu+s}, \quad \mathcal{R}_p \cdot \mathcal{R}_q \subset \mathcal{R}_{p+q},
\]

where $\mathcal{R}_p$ is the set of polynomials in $\mathcal{R}$ of weight $p$. In fact, we can define a degree-weighted gradation of the ring $\mathcal{R}$

\[
  \mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{m,n,s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{R}_{m\mu+n\nu+s}^r, \quad \mathcal{R}_p \cdot \mathcal{R}_q \subset \mathcal{R}_{p+q}^r,
\]

where $\mathcal{R}_p^r$ is the set of polynomials in $\mathcal{R}$ of degree $r$ and weight $p$. Note that the condition $\mu, \nu > 0$ makes each such subspace to be finitely generated. For example, if $\mu = 1, \nu = 2$ then

\[
  \mathcal{R}_2^1 = \text{span}\{uw_2, u_1v, uv_1, v^2\}
\]

The ring $\mathcal{R}$ is a differential ring with a derivation defined as

\[
  D_x = \sum_{k \geq 0} \left( u_{k+1} \partial_{u_k} + v_{k+1} \partial_{v_k} \right).
\]

Since $1 \notin \mathcal{R}$, the kernel of the linear map $D_x : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \text{Im} D_x \subset \mathcal{R}$ is empty and therefore $D_x^{-1}$ is defined uniquely on $\text{Im} D_x$. It is easy to verify that

\[
  D_x : \mathcal{R}_p^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_p^{n+1},
\]

To any element $g \in \mathcal{R}$ we associate differential operators $g_{*,u}$ and $g_{*,v}$ called Fréchet derivatives with respect to $u$ and $v$ and defined as

\[
  g_{*,u} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial g \partial_{u_k} D_x^k, \quad g_{*,v} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial g \partial_{v_k} D_x^k.
\]

Now we define the symbolic representation $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ of the ring $\mathcal{R}$. A symbolic representation of a monomial

\[
  u_0^n u_1^m \cdots u_p^{n_p} v_0^m_0 v_1^m_1 \cdots v_q^m_q, \ n_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_p = n, \ m_0 + m_1 + \cdots + m_q = m
\]

is defined as:

\[
  u_0^n u_1^{n_1} \cdots u_p^{n_p} v_0^{m_0} v_1^{m_1} \cdots v_q^{m_q} \rightarrow u^{n_0} v^{m_0} \zeta_1^{\xi_1} \cdots \zeta_{n_0+n_1+1}^{\xi_{n_0+n_1+1}} \cdots \zeta_1^{\xi_1} \cdots \zeta_{m_0}^{\xi_{m_0}} \zeta_{m_0+1}^{\xi_{m_0+1}} \cdots \zeta_{m_q}^{\xi_{m_q}}, \tag{5}\]

\(^{1}\)In principle, the weights could be any rational numbers including zero, but in this paper we consider only positive weights.
where triangular brackets \( \langle \xi \rangle \) and \( \langle \zeta \rangle \) denote the averaging over group \( \Sigma_n \) of permutations of \( n \) elements \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \), i.e.
\[
\langle c(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_m) \rangle = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} c(\sigma(\xi_1), \ldots, \sigma(\xi_n), \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_m)
\]
and group \( \Sigma_m \) of \( m \) elements \( \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_m \) respectively. Later we refer to this as symmetrisation operation. For example, linear monomials \( u_n, v_m \) are represented by
\[
u_n \to u_n^m, \quad v_m \to v_n^m
\]
and quadratic monomials \( u_n u_m, v_n v_m, u_n v_m \) have the following symbols
\[
u_n u_m \to \frac{\nu^2}{2} (\xi_1^m \xi_2^m + \xi_2^m \xi_1^m), \quad u_n v_m \to uv(\xi_1^m \xi_1^m), \quad v_n v_m \to \frac{\nu^2}{2} (\xi_1^m \xi_2^m + \xi_2^m \xi_1^m).
\]
To the sum of two elements of the ring corresponds the sum of their symbols. To the product of two elements \( f, g \in \mathbb{R} \) with symbols \( f, g \) corresponds:
\[
f \to u^v a(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m) \quad \text{and} \quad g \to u^v b(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m)
\]
where \( \mathbb{R} \) is the real numbers. The compatibility condition for system (9) can be written as
\[
D_f (a) + D_g (b) = D_f (a) + D_g (b),
\]
where evolutionary derivations \( D_f \) and \( D_g \) are
\[
D_f = \sum_{j \geq 0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} + D_f^j (u_{2n+1-r} + F) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} \right), \quad D_g = \sum_{j \geq 0} \left( D_f^j (G) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} + D_f^j (D_f (G)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} \right).
\]
Eliminating \( M \) from these equations, we obtain
\[
D_f (G) + D_g (G) = D_f (u_{2n+1-r} + F),
\]
Thus the symmetry of system (9) is completely determined by its first component \( G \in \mathbb{R} \).
Definition 2. We say that a differential polynomial \( G \in \mathcal{R} \) generates an approximate symmetry of degree \( p \) of system \( \mathcal{E} \) if \( G \) satisfies the equation:

\[
D_\tau^{-1}D_\tau^p(G) - D_\tau(u_{2n+1-r} + F) = o(R^p).
\]

Notice that any system \( \mathcal{E} \) has an infinite hierarchy of approximate symmetries of degree 1. These are simply the symmetries of its linear part \( u_1 = v_r, v_t = u_{2n+1-r} \). The requirement of the existence of approximate symmetries of degree 2 is very restrictive. Integrable equations by definition possess infinite hierarchies of approximate symmetries of any degree. We will prove later in this paper that the existence of infinite hierarchy of approximate symmetries of degree 2 and the existence of at least one exact symmetry for systems \( \mathcal{E} \) implies integrability just as in the case of scalar evolutionary equations [11].

We now derive the necessary and sufficient conditions of the existence of approximate symmetries of degree 2. It is convenient to do this in the symbolic representation.

System \( \mathcal{E} \) in the symbolic representation takes the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  u_t = v_1^r, \\
  v_t = u_1^{2n+1-r} + \sum_{k \geq 2} \sum_{i=0}^k u^i v^{k-i} a_{i,k-i}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{k-i}).
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Let us assume that the weight of variable \( u \), denoted by \( w \), is positive, i.e. \( W(u) = w > 0 \). Since the system is homogeneous, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  w + W(D_t) = W(v) + r \\
  W(v) + W(D_t) = 2n + 1 - r + w,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

which leads to \( W(D_t) = n + \frac{1}{2} \) and \( W(v) = w + n + \frac{1}{2} - r \).

Homogeneous polynomials \( a_{i,j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_j) \) are symmetric with respect to variables \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i \) and \( \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_j \). The degrees of \( a_{i,j} \) are given by

\[
\text{deg}(a_{i,j}) = 2n + 1 - r + w - iW(u) - jW(v) = (2 - j)n - (i + j - 1)w + (j - 1)r + \frac{2 - j}{2},
\]

(13)

The degree of \( a_{i,j} \) determined above must be a non-negative integer, otherwise, we put \( a_{i,j} = 0 \). The sum in (12) terminates due to the assumption \( W(u) = w > 0 \).

The symmetry of system \( \mathcal{E} \) always starts with linear terms. A homogeneous symmetry of \( \mathcal{E} \) in the symbolic representation starts either with \( u_1^m \) or with \( v_1^{m+r} \). Without loss of generality we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  u_t = G = u_1^m + \sum_{s \geq 2} \sum_{j=0}^s u^j v^{s-j} A_{j,s-j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_j, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{s-j}), & m > 1 \\
  u_t = G = v_1^{m+r} + \sum_{s \geq 2} \sum_{j=0}^s u^j v^{s-j} A_{j,s-j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_j, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{s-j}), & m > 0
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

(14) (15)

We call the symmetries of the form (14) as type I symmetries and those of the form (15) – type II symmetries. Without causing confusion, we call integer \( m \) in (14) or (15) the order of the corresponding symmetry. Functions \( A_{j,s-j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_j, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{j}) \) in (14) and (15) are homogeneous polynomials in their variables, symmetric with respect to arguments \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i \) and \( \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_j \). These functions can be explicitly determined in the terms of system \( \mathcal{E} \) from the compatibility conditions.

3.1 Formal diagonalisation.

Let us first concentrate on how to compute the Lie bracket defined as (10) between the linear part of system (12) denoted by \( K^1 \), i.e.

\[
K^1 = \left( \begin{array}{c}
u_1^r \\
u_1^{2n+1-r}
\end{array} \right) = L \left( \begin{array}{c}u \\
v
\end{array} \right), \quad L = \left( \begin{array}{cc}0 & \eta^r \\
\eta^{2n+1-r} & 0
\end{array} \right)
\]

(16)

and any pair of differential polynomials. We know its symbolic representation takes simple and elegant form if matrix \( L \) is diagonal [11]. Inspired by this, we shall formally diagonalise matrix \( L \), produce the required formula in new variables and then transform back to the original variables.
In the same manner, we can work out how a polynomial in \( \hat{j} \) and similarly we can prove the formula is valid for any permutations of arguments \( \xi \).

For the symbolic representation of the ring generated by \( \hat{j} \), \( v \xi \), and \( v \zeta \) on \( \hat{j} \), \( v \xi \), and \( v \zeta \) for the higher degree terms, we apply this procedure for every element in the arguments. Assume formula (17) without symmetrisation is the same symbols where exponents of symbols can be half-integer, which corresponds to half-differentiation in \( x \)-space.

Before we work out the exact form of system (12) in variables \( \hat{u} \) and \( \hat{v} \), we prove two useful propositions.

**Proposition 1.** Under the transformation \( T \), an arbitrary polynomial \( u^j v^j b_{i,j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_j) \) takes the form

\[
\begin{align*}
T^{-1}LT &= \text{diag}(\eta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, -\eta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \text{ such that } T^{-1}LT = \text{diag}(\eta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, -\eta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}).
\end{align*}
\]

Let us introduce new variables \( \hat{u} \) and \( \hat{v} \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ v \end{array} \right) &= \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{u} \\ \hat{v} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{u} \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \hat{v} \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \end{array} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

Equally, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{u} \\ \hat{v} \end{array} \right) &= T^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ v \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} u + \eta^{n-\frac{1}{2}+r}(v) \\ u - \eta^{n-\frac{1}{2}+r}(v) \end{array} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

The new variables \( \hat{u} \) and \( \hat{v} \) have the same weights, i.e. \( W(\hat{u}) = W(\hat{v}) = W(u) \). Without causing confusion we assign the same symbols \( \xi \) and \( \zeta \) for the symbolic representation of the ring generated by \( \hat{u} \), \( \hat{v} \) and their derivatives. The exponents of symbols can be half-integer, which corresponds to half-differentiation in \( x \)-space.

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on both \( i \) and \( j \). It is easy to see that linear terms \( u \xi_1^1 \) and \( v \xi_1^1 \) transform as

\[
\begin{align*}
u \xi_1^1 &= \eta \left( \hat{u} + \hat{v} \right) = \hat{u} \xi_1^1 + \hat{v} \xi_1^1, \\
v \xi_1^1 &= \eta \left( \hat{u} \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}+q} - \hat{v} \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}+q} \right) = \hat{u} \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}+q} - \hat{v} \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}+q}.
\end{align*}
\]

The procedure is: we first substitute \( \xi_1 \) and \( \zeta_1 \) by \( u \) and \( v \) by \( \hat{u} + \hat{v} \) and \( \hat{u} \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}+r} - \hat{v} \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}+r} \); then we compute the action of \( \eta \) on \( \hat{u} + \hat{v} \) and \( \hat{u} \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}+r} - \hat{v} \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}+r} \) for the higher degree terms, we apply this procedure for every element in the arguments. Assume formula (17) without symmetrisation is true for arbitrary \( i \) and \( j \). We have

\[
\begin{align*}
&u^{i+1} v^{j} b_{i+1,j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_j) \\
&= \sum_{p=0}^{i} \sum_{q=0}^{j} \hat{u}^{p+q} \xi_1^{i+j-p-q} C_p^p C_j^j (-1)^{j-q} (\xi_{p+1} \cdots \xi_{p+q} \xi_{i-p+1} \cdots \xi_{i-j+1} + \xi_{i-j+1} + \cdots \xi_{i-j+1-q}) (\hat{u} + \hat{v}) \\
&= \sum_{p=0}^{i} \sum_{q=0}^{j} \hat{u}^{p+q+1} \xi_1^{i+j-p-q} C_p^p C_j^j (-1)^{j-q} (\xi_{p+1} \cdots \xi_{p+q+1} \xi_{i-p+1} \cdots \xi_{i-j+1} + \xi_{i-j+1} + \cdots \xi_{i-j+1+q}) \\
&+ \sum_{p=0}^{i} \sum_{q=0}^{j} \hat{u}^{p+q} \xi_1^{i+j-p-q} C_p^p C_j^j (-1)^{j-q} (\xi_{p+1} \cdots \xi_{p+q} \xi_{i-p+1} \cdots \xi_{i-j+1} \xi_{i-j+1} + \xi_{i-j+1} + \cdots \xi_{i-j+1+q}) \\
&= \sum_{p=0}^{i} \sum_{q=0}^{j} \hat{u}^{p+q+1} \xi_1^{i+j-p-q} C_p^p C_j^j (-1)^{j-q} (\xi_{p+1} \cdots \xi_{p+q} \xi_{i-p} \xi_{i-p+1} \cdots \xi_{i-j+1} \xi_{i-j+1} + \xi_{i-j+1} + \cdots \xi_{i-j+1+q}) \\
&
\end{align*}
\]

and similarly we can prove the formula is valid for \( j+1 \). Finally, we need to symmetrise the expression with respect to permutations of arguments \( \xi \) and \( \zeta \).

In the same manner, we can work out how a polynomial in \( \hat{u} \), \( \hat{v} \) and their derivatives changes under the transformation \( T^{-1} \). Here we only give the formula.

\[
\text{Proof.}
\]
Proposition 2. Under the transformation $T^{-1}$, an arbitrary polynomial $\hat{u}^i\hat{v}^j\hat{b}_{i,j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \ldots, \xi_j)$ takes the form

$$\hat{u}^i\hat{v}^j\hat{b}_{i,j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \ldots, \xi_j) = \frac{1}{2^{n-j}} \sum_{p=0}^{i} \sum_{q=0}^{j} u^{p+q}v^{i+j-p-q}C_p^q (-1)^{j-q}$$

(18)

$$\langle \hat{b}_{i,j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p, \xi_{i+1}, \ldots, \xi_{i+p+1}, \ldots, \xi_{i+p+q}, \xi_{i+p+1}, \ldots, \xi_{i+p+q+p-j-q}(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_{i+p+q+j-p-q})^{n-i-j-r}\} \rangle \zeta,$$

where $C_i^j$ are binomial coefficients.

These two propositions immediately lead to the following result.

Proposition 3. For any integer $s \geq 1$, if

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s} u^i v^s-i b_{i,s-i}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \ldots, \xi_{s-i}) = \sum_{l=0}^{s} \hat{u}^l \hat{v}^s-l \hat{b}_{l,s-l}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_l, \xi_{l+1}, \ldots, \xi_{s-l})$$

then

$$\hat{b}_{l,s-l}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_l, \xi_{l+1}, \ldots, \xi_{s-l}) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} \sum_{p=\max\{0, l-s+i\}}^{\min\{i, l\}} C_p^l C_{s-l}^{l-p} (-1)^{s-i-l+p}$$

(19)

$$\langle \hat{b}_{l,s-l}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p, \xi_{l+1}, \ldots, \xi_{l+p+1}, \ldots, \xi_{l+p+q}, \xi_{l+p+1}, \ldots, \xi_{l+p+q+p-j-q}(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_{l+p+q+j-p-q})^{n-i-j-r}\} \rangle \zeta,$$

and

$$\hat{b}_{j,s-j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_j, \xi_{j+1}, \ldots, \xi_{s-j}) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{s} \sum_{p=\max\{0, j-s+i\}}^{\min\{i, j\}} C_p^j C_{s-j}^{j-p} (-1)^{s-i-j+p}$$

(20)

$$\langle \hat{b}_{j,s-j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p, \xi_{j+1}, \ldots, \xi_{j+p+1}, \ldots, \xi_{j+p+q}, \xi_{j+p+1}, \ldots, \xi_{j+p+q+p-j-q}(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_{j+p+q+j-p-q})^{n-i-j-r}\} \rangle \zeta,$$

where $C_i^j$ are binomial coefficients defined in Proposition 1.

Proof. Applying formulae (17) in Proposition 1 to every term in the sum and collecting the coefficients at every monomial $\hat{u}^i \hat{v}^j$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s} u^i v^s-i b_{i,s-i}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \ldots, \xi_{s-i}) = \sum_{l=0}^{s} \sum_{i=0}^{s-i} \hat{u}^l \hat{v}^s-l \hat{b}_{l,s-l}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_l, \xi_{l+1}, \ldots, \xi_{s-l})$$

(19)

$$\langle \hat{b}_{l,s-l}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p, \xi_{l+1}, \ldots, \xi_{l+p+1}, \ldots, \xi_{l+p+q}, \xi_{l+p+1}, \ldots, \xi_{l+p+q+p-j-q}(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_{l+p+q+j-p-q})^{n-i-j-r}\} \rangle \zeta,$$

which leads to formula (19). Similarly, using formulae (18) in Proposition 2 we can prove formula (20).

Formula (19) in Proposition 3 tells us how a polynomial of degree $s$ changes under transformation $T$. The following result is an immediate corollary.

Corollary 1. In variables $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{v}$, system (12) takes the form

$$\hat{u}_t = \hat{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=2}^{s} \sum_{i=0}^{s-i} \hat{u}^i \hat{v}^{n-i}$$

$$\hat{v}_t = -\hat{v}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=2}^{s} \sum_{i=0}^{s-i} \hat{u}^i \hat{v}^{n-i}$$

(21)

where $\hat{a}_{i,j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \ldots, \xi_{s-j})$ are defined in terms of $a_{j,s-j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_j, \xi_{j+1}, \ldots, \xi_{s-j}), j = 0, \ldots, s$ as in formula (19) in Proposition 3.

Proof. We perform transformation $T$ to system (12): the linear part becomes diagonal and we simply write the system as

$$\hat{u}_t = \hat{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{s=2}^{s} \sum_{i=0}^{s-i} \hat{u}^i \hat{v}^{n-i} \hat{b}_{i,s-i}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \ldots, \xi_{s-i})$$

$$\hat{v}_t = -\hat{v}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{s=2}^{s} \sum_{i=0}^{s-i} \hat{u}^i \hat{v}^{n-i} \hat{b}_{i,s-i}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \ldots, \xi_{s-i})$$

(22)
For the future references we explicitly write down the relation between the quadratic terms of (12) and of (21):
\[
\dot{a}_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = a_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) + a_{0,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2)(\xi_1)\xi_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}a_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2)\xi_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (23)
\]
\[
\dot{a}_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 2a_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) - a_{0,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2)(\xi_1)\xi_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + a_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2)\xi_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - a_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2)\xi_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (24)
\]
\[
\dot{a}_{0,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = a_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) + a_{0,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2)(\xi_1)\xi_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}a_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2)\xi_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}a_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2)\xi_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (25)
\]
We now express the symmetries (14) and (15) of system (12) in variables $\hat{u}, \hat{v}$.
Let us start with type I symmetries (14):
\[
u_r = u\xi_1^m + g, \quad \text{with} \quad g = \sum_{s \geq 2} \sum_{i=0}^s u^i v^{s-i} A_{i,s-1}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{s-1}).
\]
Due to the compatibility condition (11), its second component is of the form
\[
v_r = v\xi_1^m + \frac{1}{\eta^t}(D_t(g)),
\]
where the action of the operator $D_t$ is defined in the symbolic representation as
\[
D_t(g) = g_{*,u}(v\xi_1^m) + g_{*,v} \left( u\xi_1^{2n+1-r} + \sum_{s \geq 2} \sum_{i=0}^s u^i v^{s-i} A_{i,s-1}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{s-1}) \right).
\]
In variables $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{v}$, a type I symmetry takes the form
\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
\hat{u}_r = \hat{u}\xi_1^m + \frac{1}{2n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ \eta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \hat{D}_t \right](\hat{g}) \\
\hat{v}_r = \hat{v}\xi_1^m + \frac{1}{2n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ \eta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \hat{D}_t \right](\hat{g})
\end{array}
\right.
\]
(26)
Here $\hat{g}$ stands for the transformed $g$ under transformation $T$, whose exact expression can be obtained by applying Proposition[39]. Notation $\hat{D}_t$ denotes the operator of total derivation with respect to $t$ due to diagonal system (21).
Hence
\[
\hat{D}_t(\hat{g}) = \hat{g}_{*,u}(\hat{u}_t) + \hat{g}_{*,v}(\hat{v}_t).
\]
For type II symmetries (15):
\[
u_r = v\xi_1^m + g, \quad \text{with} \quad g = \sum_{s \geq 2} \sum_{i=0}^s u^i v^{s-i} A_{i,s-1}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{s-1}),
\]
its second component is of the form
\[
v_r = u\xi_1^{2n+m+1-r} + \eta^m \left( \sum_{s \geq 2} \sum_{i=0}^s u^i v^{s-i} A_{i,s-1}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{s-1}) \right) + \eta^{-r} D_t(g).
\]
Using the same notations as described for type I symmetries, in variables $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{v}$ a type II symmetry takes the form
\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
\hat{u}_r = \hat{u}\xi_1^{n+m+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ \eta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \hat{D}_t \right] \hat{g} + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{m-r-n-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{s \geq 2} \sum_{i=0}^s \hat{u}^i \hat{v}^{s-i} A_{i,s-1}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{s-1}) \right) \\
\hat{v}_r = -\hat{v}\xi_1^{n+m+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ \eta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \hat{D}_t \right] \hat{g} - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{m-r-n-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{s \geq 2} \sum_{i=0}^s \hat{u}^i \hat{v}^{s-i} A_{i,s-1}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{s-1}) \right)
\end{array}
\right.
\]
(27)

3.2 Approximate symmetries and integrability conditions.

We derive the compatibility conditions of (14) or (15) and (12) via the compatibility conditions of their transformed forms (26) or (27) and (21). For simplicity, we rewrite them in the following compact forms correspondingly:
\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
u_r = u\Omega(\xi_1) + e^1 \\
v_r = v\Omega(\xi_1) + e^2
\end{array}
\right. \quad e^j = \sum_{s \geq 2} \sum_{i=0}^s u^i v^{s-i} e_{i,s-1}^j(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{s-1}), \quad j = 1, 2
\]
(28)
\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
u_r = u\Omega(\xi_1) + e^1 \\
v_r = -v\Omega(\xi_1) + e^2
\end{array}
\right.
\]
(29)
\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
u_t = u\omega(\xi_1) + p \\
v_t = -v\omega(\xi_1) - p
\end{array}
\right. \quad p = \sum_{s \geq 2} \sum_{i=0}^s u^i v^{s-i} p_{i,s-1}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{s-1}).
\]
(30)
Now we introduce a useful notation
\[
G_{s-1}^{j}(c_1, c_2, \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \cdots, \xi_{s-1}) = c_1 \omega \sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_i \cdot \omega(\xi_i) - c_1 \sum_{i=1}^{s} \omega(\xi_i) - c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \omega(\xi_k).
\] (31)

Proposition 4. System (28) or system (29) is compatible with (30) of degree 2 if and only if the quadratic terms in (28) or system (29) are related to the quadratic terms in (30) as follows:

\[
e^{2,0}_1(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{G_{1,1}^{0}(1, c; 1, \xi_1, \xi_2)}{G_{1,1}^{0}(1, -1; 1, \xi_1, \xi_2)} p_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_1),
\]

\[
e^{2,0}_2(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{G_{2,0}^{0}(1, c; 1, \xi_1, \xi_2)}{G_{2,0}^{0}(1, -1; 1, \xi_1, \xi_2)} p_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2),
\]

where \( c = 1 \) for the terms in (28) and \( c = -1 \) for the terms in (29).

Proof. Recall that for any polynomial pair
\[
P = u^{s-i} \alpha_{s-i} \xi_1 \cdots \xi_i \xi_{i,j} \cdots \xi_{s-i} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = u^j v^{r-j} \xi_1 \cdots \xi_j \xi_{j,i} \cdots \xi_{j,s-i},
\]

where \( s \geq 2, r \geq 2, 0 \leq i \leq s \) and \( 0 \leq j \leq r \) are integers, we have [11]

\[
[\left( \begin{array}{c} c_1 u \omega(\xi_1) \\ c_2 v \omega(\xi_1) \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} P \\ Q \end{array} \right)] = \left( \begin{array}{c} G_{s-1}^{j}(c_1, c_2; \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \cdots, \xi_{s-1}) \end{array} \right) P.
\] (32)

The compatibility conditions of (28) and (30) up to degree 2 read as

\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c} u \omega(\xi_1) \\ -v \omega(\xi_1) \end{array} \right] = \left( \begin{array}{c} \sum_{i=0}^{2} u^i v^{2-i} p_{1,2-i}(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \cdots, \xi_{2-i}) \\ \sum_{i=0}^{2} u^i v^{2-i} p_{1,2-i}(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \cdots, \xi_{2-i}) \end{array} \right)
\]

Using formula (32) and collecting the terms at every monomial \( u^i v^{2-i} \) for \( i \in \{0, 1, 2\} \), we obtain the formula for the quadratic terms in (28) with \( c = 1 \). Similarly, we can derive the quadratic terms in (29) as in the statement. \( \diamond \)

In fact, the results of Proposition 4 can be generated to the terms of higher degree \( i + j > 2 \), namely, one can derive that

\[
e^{1,j}_1(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \cdots, \xi_j) = \frac{G_{1,1}^{1}(1, c; 1, \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \cdots, \xi_j)}{G_{1,1}^{1}(1, -1; 1, \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \cdots, \xi_j)} p_{1,1}(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \cdots, \xi_j) + L^1_{1,j}
\]

\[
e^{1,j}_2(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \cdots, \xi_j) = \frac{G_{2,0}^{1}(1, c; 1, \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \cdots, \xi_j)}{G_{2,0}^{1}(1, -1; 1, \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \cdots, \xi_j)} p_{2,0}(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i, \xi_{i+1}, \cdots, \xi_j) + L^2_{1,j}
\]

where \( c = 1 \) for the terms in (28) and \( c = -1 \) for the terms in (29). Expressions \( L^1_{1,j} \) and \( L^2_{1,j} \) depend only on the terms of degree lower than \( i + j \) in system (30). We will not write them explicitly.

Now we are ready to derive the compatibility conditions of (14) or (15) and (12). Let us introduce the following notations:

\[
N_s^{(m)}(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_s) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_i \right)^m - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_i^m
\]

\[
S_{s,i}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_s) = (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_s)^{2n+1} - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{i=i+1}^{s} \xi_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2,
\]

\[
M_{s,i}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_s) = (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_s)^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{i=i+1}^{s} \xi_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_i^{n+m+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{i=i+1}^{s} \xi_i^{n+m+\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
Comparing system (28) to system (26) and system (30) to system (21), we have

\[ A_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{1}{8} \left[ 2A_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) + 2A_{0,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2) + A_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2) + A_{1,1}(\xi_2, \xi_1) \right], \tag{38} \]

\[ A_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ 2A_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) - 2A_{0,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2) - A_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2) + A_{1,1}(\xi_2, \xi_1) \right], \tag{39} \]

\[ A_{0,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{1}{8(\xi_1\xi_2)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \xi_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ 2A_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) + 2A_{0,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2) - A_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2) - A_{1,1}(\xi_2, \xi_1) \right]. \tag{40} \]

are polynomials in \(\xi_1, \xi_2\), where \(A_{2,0}, A_{1,1}, A_{0,2}\) are determined as follows:

1. For the quadratic terms in \([14]\),

\[ \hat{A}_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{m} N_{2}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{S_{2,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2)} \hat{a}_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2), \quad \hat{A}_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{m} N_{2}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{S_{2,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2)} \hat{a}_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2), \]

\[ \hat{A}_{0,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{m} N_{2}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{S_{2,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2)} \hat{a}_{0,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2). \tag{41} \]

2. For the quadratic terms in \([15]\),

\[ \hat{A}_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{m} M_{2}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{S_{2,2}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \xi_2)} \hat{a}_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2), \quad \hat{A}_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{m} M_{2}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{S_{2,1}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \xi_2)} \hat{a}_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2), \]

\[ \hat{A}_{0,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = -\frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{m} M_{2}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{S_{2,2}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \xi_2)} \hat{a}_{0,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2). \tag{42} \]

Here \(\hat{a}_{2,0}, \hat{a}_{1,1}, \hat{a}_{0,2}\) are given in terms of \(a_{2,0}, a_{1,1}, a_{0,2}\) by \([20], [24]\) and \([24]\).

Proof. Expression \([14]\) and \([15]\) are approximate symmetries of degree 2 of \([12]\) if and only if they are compatible with \([12]\) up to degree 2. Therefore the diagonal forms of these symmetries \([20]\) and \([24]\) must be compatible with the diagonal form of our system \([21]\). The latter is of the form of \([20]\) with \(\omega(x) = x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\), while \([20]\) and \([24]\) are of the form \([28]\) with \(\Omega(x) = x^{m}\) and \([29]\) with \(\Omega(x) = x^{n+m+\frac{1}{2}}\) respectively. From Proposition \([3]\) we know the relation of \(A_{2,0}, A_{1,1}, A_{0,2}\) and \(\hat{A}_{2,0}, \hat{A}_{1,1}, \hat{A}_{0,2}\) as well as the relation of \(\hat{a}_{2,0}, \hat{a}_{1,1}, \hat{a}_{0,2}\) and \(a_{2,0}, a_{1,1}, a_{0,2}\). Now we need to show that \(\hat{A}_{2,0}, \hat{A}_{1,1}, \hat{A}_{0,2}\) are determined as stated.

Consider first the case of type I symmetries \([14]\). Applying Proposition \([4]\) in the case of system \([30]\) and \([28]\) with \(\omega(x) = x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\) and \(\Omega(x) = x^{m}\), we obtain (for the coefficient at \(u^{2}v^{0}\)):

\[ e_{2,0}^{1}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{N_{2}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \xi_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} p_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2). \]

Comparing system \([28]\) to system \([20]\) and system \([30]\) to system \([21]\), we have

\[ e_{2,0}^{1}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{2(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \hat{A}_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \quad \text{and} \quad p_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{\hat{a}_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{2(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}. \]

Substituting these into the previous formula, we get

\[ \hat{A}_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{m} N_{2}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{S_{2,2}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \xi_2)} \hat{a}_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2), \]

the first formula of \([41]\). The other two relations can be obtained in the same way.

Consider now the case of type II symmetries \([15]\). Using Proposition \([4]\) in the case of systems \([30]\) and \([20]\) with \(\omega(x) = x^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\) and \(\Omega(x) = x^{n+m+\frac{1}{2}}\) we find (for the coefficient at \(u^{2}v^{0}\)):

\[ e_{2,0}^{1}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{m} N_{2}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \xi_1^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \xi_2^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} p_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2). \]
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Suppose that (14) or (15) is an approximate symmetry of (12) of degree 2 then functions \( \hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1, x_2) \), \( \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \), \( \hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \), \( S_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) and \( M_{2,1}^{(m)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) become polynomials in \( x_1, x_2 \) (see formulae (23), (24), (25), (36) and (37) ).

The study of symmetries of (12) is the study of the divisibility of certain special functions. If we introduce variables \( x_1, x_2 \) instead of \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \) by relations
\[
x_1 = \xi_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x_2 = \xi_2^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
then functions \( \hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \), \( \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \), \( \hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \), \( S_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) and \( M_{2,1}^{(m)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) become polynomials in \( x_1, x_2 \) (see formulae (23), (24), (25), (36) and (37) ).

Corollary 2. If (14) and (15) are approximate symmetries of (12) of degree 2 then functions \( \hat{A}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \hat{A}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \) and \( \hat{A}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) defined by (41) and (42) are polynomials in \( x_1, x_2 \).

Proof. From Theorem 1 if (14) and (15) are approximate symmetries of (12) of degree 2 then \( A_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2), A_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \) and \( A_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) are polynomials in \( x_1, x_2 \) (in fact these functions are polynomials in \( x_1^2, x_2^2 \)).

We know \( \hat{A}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \hat{A}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \hat{A}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) can be expressed as polynomials in \( A_{2,0}, A_{1,1}, A_{0,2} \) with coefficients being polynomials in \( x_1, x_2 \) according to Proposition 3. Therefore \( \hat{A}_{2,0}, \hat{A}_{1,1}, \) and \( \hat{A}_{0,2} \) are polynomials in \( x_1, x_2 \).

We are able to obtain explicit recursive relations for determining the higher degree terms in (14) and (15). Similar as formula (33) and (34), we present the structures of these expressions without the full details. From the proof of Theorem 1 we only need to show how functions \( \hat{A}_{i,s-i} \) are related to functions \( \hat{a}_{i,r-i} \), where \( r \leq s \) and these can be obtained by using formula (33) and (34) and comparing the notations in (28), (29) and (30) with the ones in (20), (27) and (21). Suppose that (14) or (15) is an approximate symmetry of (12) of degree \( p \geq 3 \). Then for any \( 3 \leq s \leq p \) and any \( i = 0, \ldots, s \) we have

\[
\hat{A}_{i,s-i}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{s} \xi_k \right)^r N_s^{(m)}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s) \hat{a}_{i,s-i}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s) + L_i^{(s)},
\]

Type I:

\[
\hat{A}_{i,s-i}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s) = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \xi_k \left( \sum_{k=1}^{s} \xi_k \right)^{r-1} M_{s,i}^{(m)}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s) \hat{a}_{i,s-i}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s) + \tilde{L}_i^{(s)},
\]

Type II:

where functions \( \hat{A}_{i,s-i} \) and \( \hat{a}_{i,s-i} \) are given in terms of \( A_{i,s-i} \) and \( a_{i,s-i} \) according to Proposition 3. \( L_i^{(s)} \) and \( \tilde{L}_i^{(s)} \) depend only on lower degree terms \( a_{i,j} \), \( i + j < s \), and \( N_s^{(m)}, S_{s,i}^{(n)} \) and \( M_{s,i}^{(m)} \) are defined as (35), (36) and (37).

Again if we introduce variables
\[
x_1 = \xi_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ldots, x_s = \xi_s^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]
functions \( \hat{a}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) \), \( \hat{A}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) \), \( S_{s,i}^{(n)}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) \), and \( M_{s,i}^{(m)}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) \) all become polynomials in variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_s \).

4 Global classification result.

In this section, we state and prove the global classification result of system (9) in the sense that we list out all the integrable equations of arbitrary order. We begin with an crucial theorem leading to global classification. In order to prove the theorem, we prove the irreducibility of a family of special polynomials.

Proposition 5. For any \( i = 0, \ldots, s \), polynomials
\[
S_{s,i}^{(n)}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) = (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_s^2)^{2n+1} - (x_1^{2n+1} + \cdots + x_s^{2n+1} - x_{i+1}^{2n+1} - \cdots - x_s^{2n+1})^2
\]
are irreducible polynomials in \( x_1, \ldots, x_s \) over \( \mathbb{C} \) when \( s \geq 3 \) and \( n \geq 1 \).
Proof. It suffices to prove that the polynomial \( S_{s,s}^{(n)}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) \), cf. \( \text{[36]} \), is irreducible over \( \mathbb{C} \) since all the rest can be obtained from it by taking negation of \( s - i \) arguments \( x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_s \).

Let us first suppose that \( s \geq 4 \). If \( S_{s,s}^{(n)} \) is reducible then the projective hyperspace given by \( S_{s,s}^{(n)} = 0 \) consists of two components. These components intersect in infinite number of points, which should be singularities of the hyperspace. These singular points can be computed by setting the derivatives of \( S_{s,s}^{(n)} \) with respect to \( x_j, j = 1, \ldots, s \) equal to zero. We obtain:

\[
\frac{\partial S_{s,s}^{(n)}}{\partial x_j} = 2(2n + 1)x_j \left[ x_j^{2n-1}(x_1^{2n+1} + \cdots + x_s^{2n+1}) - (x_s^1 + \cdots + x_s^{2n})^2 \right] = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, s.
\]

So the singular points are either the solutions

\[
x_1^2 + \cdots + x_s^2 = 0, \quad x_1^{2n+1} + \cdots + x_s^{2n+1} = 0
\]

or the solutions of

\[
x_1^{2n-1} = x_2^{2n-1} = \cdots = x_s^{2n-1} = x, \quad (x_1^{2} + \cdots + x_s^{2})^{2n-1} = x^2.
\]

The singular points from \( \text{[45]} \) are kink points, which do not contribute to factorization. From \( \text{[46]} \), it follows that

\[
(x_1^{2})^{2n-1} = (x_2^{2})^{2n-1} = \cdots = (x_s^{2})^{2n-1} = (x_1^{2} + \cdots + x_s^{2})^{2n-1}.
\]

Hence the coordinates differ by \( (4n - 2) \)-th root of unity. Thus we get finitely many singular points. Thus the assumption that \( S_{s,s}^{(n)} \) is reducible is false.

When \( s = 3 \), system \( \text{[47]} \) writes as

\[
(x_1^{2})^{2n-1} = (x_2^{2})^{2n-1} = (x_3^{2})^{2n-1} = (x_1^{2} + x_2^{2} + x_3^{2})^{2n-1}.
\]

By taking \( x_3 = 1 \), we have that \( x_1^2, x_2^2 \) and \( w \) are \( 2n - 1 \)-st roots of unity such that \( w = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 1 \). Note that four complex numbers of the same absolute value can only add up to zero if they form the sides of a parallelogram with equal sides. Therefore either \( w = 1 \) or \( x_i^2 = -1 \), \( i = 1, 2 \). If \( w = 1 \), then \( x_1^2 = -x_2^2 \) leading to \( (x_1^2)^{2n-1} = -(x_2^2)^{2n-1} \), contradicting to \( (x_1^2)^{2n-1} = (x_2^2)^{2n-1} \). If \( x_1^2 = -1 \), we have \( (x_1^2)^{2n-1} = -1 \), contradicting to \( (x_2^2)^{2n-1} = (x_3^2)^{2n-1} = 1 \).

Hence, \( S_{s,s}^{(n)} \) is irreducible.

The irreducibility of this family of polynomials leads to the following useful result in testing integrability.

**Corollary 3.** If there are no quadratic terms in homogeneous system \( \text{[12]} \) with \( W(u) > 0 \), i.e.

\[
a_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = a_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_1) = a_{0,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 0,
\]

and \( a_{i,j} \neq 0 \) for some \( i, j \), \( i + j > 2 \), then system \( \text{[12]} \) does not possess higher symmetries of any order.

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we assume that some of the terms in \( \text{[12]} \) of degree \( s \geq 3 \) are not equal zero and all terms of degree less then \( s \) are equal zero. Suppose that such system possesses a type I symmetry \( \text{[13]} \) of order \( m > 1 \). Then it is easy to see from formulæ \( \text{[41] and [43]} \) that \( A_{i,j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_j) = 0, i + j < s \) and

\[
\hat{A}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) = \frac{(x_1^{2})^{r} N_s^{(m)}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2)}{S_{s,s}^{(n)}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2)} \hat{A}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2), \quad i = 0, \ldots, s.
\]

The left hand side of the above formula must be polynomial in \( x_1, \ldots, x_s \). From Proposition \( \text{[44]} \) we know that polynomials \( S_{s,i}^{(n)}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) \), \( i = 0, \ldots, s \) are irreducible polynomials over \( \mathbb{C} \) and these polynomials do not divide

\[
(x_1^{2} + \cdots + x_s^{2})^r N_s^{(m)}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2)
\]

for any \( m > 1 \) and \( r \geq 0 \). Therefore \( S_{s,i}^{(n)} \) must divide \( \hat{A}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) \), what is impossible since

\[
\deg(\hat{A}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2)) = 4n + 2 - 2(s - 1)W(u) < \deg(S_{s,s}^{(n)}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2)) = 4n + 2, \quad i = 0, \ldots, s.
\]

Thus system \( \text{[12]} \) does not possess any type I symmetry. The consideration in the case of type II symmetries is similar.

\( \diamond \)

We are now ready to prove the following important theorem in classification of integrable homogeneous systems of the form \( \text{[12]} \).
Theorem 2. Consider homogeneous system \( \mathbf{(12)} \) with \( W(u) > 0 \). Assume that it possesses an \( m \)-th order type I or type II symmetry of the form \( \mathbf{(14)} \) or \( \mathbf{(13)} \). Suppose there is another system of the same weight and of the same form

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
u_t = u \xi_1^n \\
u_t = u \xi_1^{n+1-r} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k} u^i v^{k-i} b_{i,k-i}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-i}),
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]  

(48)

whose quadratic terms equal to those of \( \mathbf{(13)} \), that is, \( b_{1,2,3}(x,y) = a_{1,2,3}(x,y) \), \( i = 0, 1, 2 \). Then if system \( \mathbf{(13)} \) possesses an \( m \)-th order type I or type II symmetry, then equation \( \mathbf{(48)} \) and \( \mathbf{(13)} \) are equal and sharing the same type I or type II symmetry of order \( m \).

Proof. We will prove the statement by induction. Consider the case of symmetries of type I. Let us suppose that equation \( \mathbf{(48)} \) possesses a type I symmetry of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
u_t = u \xi_1^n + \sum_{s \geq 2} \sum_{j=0}^{s} u^s v^{s-j} B_{j,s-j}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_j, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{s-j})
\end{align*}
\]  

(49)

The quadratic terms of this symmetry coincide with those of \( \mathbf{(13)} \) \( B_{1,2,3}(x,y) = A_{1,2,3}(x,y) \), \( i = 0, 1, 2 \) because the quadratic terms of \( \mathbf{(48)} \) and \( \mathbf{(13)} \) coincide. Let us prove that the terms of degree 3 in \( \mathbf{(12)} \) and \( \mathbf{(48)} \) coincide. According to formula \( \mathbf{(48)} \) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{A}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) &= \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^r N_i^{(m)}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2)}{S_i^{(m)}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2)} \hat{a}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) + \hat{L}_i^{(3)}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3
\end{align*}
\]

for the coefficients of the symmetry \( \mathbf{(13)} \) of \( \mathbf{(12)} \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{B}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) &= \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^r N_i^{(m)}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2)}{S_i^{(m)}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2)} \hat{b}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) + \hat{L}_i^{(3)}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3
\end{align*}
\]

for the coefficients of the symmetry \( \mathbf{(48)} \) of \( \mathbf{(12)} \). Notice that \( \hat{L}_i^{(3)} = \hat{L}_i^{(3)} \), \( i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \) since they only depend on the linear and quadratic terms of equations \( \mathbf{(12)} \) and \( \mathbf{(48)} \) and their symmetries \( \mathbf{(14)} \) and \( \mathbf{(49)} \), which are equal by the assumption. Therefore

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{b}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) - \hat{a}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) =
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^r N_i^{(m)}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2)}{S_i^{(m)}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2)} \left( \hat{b}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) - \hat{a}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) \right)
\end{align*}
\]

Its left hand side must be a polynomial in \( x_1, x_2, x_3 \). In proposition \( \mathbf{5} \) we proved that polynomials \( S_i^{(m)}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) \) are irreducible polynomials over \( \mathbb{C} \). It is easy to see that it does not divide \( (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^r N_i^{(m)}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) \) for any \( n \geq 1, m > 1 \) and \( i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \). Therefore \( S_i^{(m)}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) \) must divide \( \hat{b}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) - \hat{a}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) \). But this is impossible since, for \( i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\deg(\hat{b}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) - \hat{a}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2)) = 2(2n + 1 - 2W(u) - r) < \deg(S_i^{(m)}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2)) = 4n + 2
\end{align*}
\]

due to the assumption \( W(u) > 0 \) and \( r \geq 0 \). Hence

\[
\hat{b}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) - \hat{a}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) = 0,
\quad \hat{B}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) - \hat{A}_{i,3-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2) = 0,
\]

that is, \( b_{i,3-i}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = a_{i,3-i}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \), \( B_{i,3-i}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = A_{i,3-i}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \).

Suppose we have proved that the terms of degree \( s - 1 \) coincide. For terms of degree \( s \), we have, according to formula \( \mathbf{(48)} \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{A}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) &= \frac{(x_1^2 + \ldots + x_s^2)^r N_i^{(m)}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s)}{S_i^{(m)}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s)} \hat{a}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) + \hat{L}_i^{(s)}, \quad i = 0, \ldots, s
\end{align*}
\]

for the coefficients of the symmetry \( \mathbf{(13)} \) of \( \mathbf{(12)} \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{B}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) &= \frac{(x_1^2 + \ldots + x_s^2)^r N_i^{(m)}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s)}{S_i^{(m)}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s)} \hat{b}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) + \hat{L}_i^{(s)}, \quad i = 0, \ldots, s
\end{align*}
\]
for the coefficients of the symmetry \( \mathfrak{g} \) of \( \mathfrak{g} \). Terms \( L_i^{(s)} \) and \( \tilde{L}_i^{(s)} \) depend only on coefficients of degree less than \( s \) and therefore they are equal \( L_i^{(s)} = \tilde{L}_i^{(s)}, \ i = 0, \ldots, s \). Hence

\[
\hat{B}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) - \hat{A}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) = \frac{(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_s^2)^r N_s^{(m)}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s)}{S_{s,i}^{(n)}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s)} \left( \hat{b}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) - \hat{a}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) \right).
\]

Again the left hand side of the last formula must be a polynomial in \( x_1, \ldots, x_s \) and therefore \( S_{s,i}^{(n)}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) \) must divide \( \hat{b}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) - \hat{a}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) \). This is impossible since

\[
\deg(\hat{b}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) - \hat{a}_{i,s-i}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2)) = 4n + 2 - 2(s - 1)W(u) < \deg(S_{s,i}^{(n)}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2)) = 4n + 2, \ i = 0, \ldots, s
\]

using the assumption \( W(u) > 0 \). Hence \( b_{i,s-i} = a_{i,s-i} \) and \( B_{i,s-i} = A_{i,s-i} \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, s \). Thus we proved the statement for type I symmetries. The proof in the case of symmetries of type II is analogous. \( \diamond \)

Finally, we state and prove the global classification result of homogeneous system \( \mathfrak{g} \).

**Theorem 3.** If a homogeneous system \( \mathfrak{g} \) with \( W(u) = w > 0 \) possesses a hierarchy of infinitely many higher symmetries, then it is one of the systems in the following list up to re-scaling \( u \to \alpha u, v \to \beta v, t \to \gamma t, x \to \delta x \), where \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \) are constant:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\begin{cases}
  u_t = v_1,
  \\
v_t = u_2 + 3uv_1 + v^2u_1 - 3u^2u_1,
  \\
v_i = (D_x + u)^n(u) - v^2, & n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\end{cases} & (50) \\
&\begin{cases}
  u_t = v_1,
  \\
v_i = (D_x + u)^n(u) - v^2, & n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\end{cases} & (51)
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** We prove the theorem in symbolic representation. We know that system \( \mathfrak{g} \) in symbolic representation takes the form of \( \mathfrak{g} \). In Corollary 3 we have proved that if \( \mathfrak{g} \) possesses higher symmetries then the differential polynomial \( F \) in \( \mathfrak{g} \) must contain quadratic terms and therefore \( W = W(u) > 0 \) is either integer or half-integer. Indeed,

\[ R_{2n+1-r+w}^2 = \bigoplus \text{span}\{u_iu_j|i + j = 2n + 1 - r - w, i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \bigoplus \bigoplus \text{span}\{v_iv_j|i + j = n + \frac{1}{2} - w, i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}, \]

where \( \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \). Therefore if differential polynomial \( F \) in \( \mathfrak{g} \) contains quadratic terms then the weight of variable \( u \) is either integer or half-integer, i.e. either \( u \in \mathbb{N} \) or \( w = s - \frac{1}{2}, s \in \mathbb{N} \). Furthermore, from the Theorem 2 it follows that it suffice to classify integrable systems \( \mathfrak{g} \) up to quadratic terms. If system \( \mathfrak{g} \) or rather say \( \mathfrak{g} \) possesses a hierarchy of infinitely many higher symmetries, it possesses infinitely many approximate symmetries of degree 2 of type I or type II. We first assume that these are of type I of the form

\[
u_{x_j} = u_1^{(m_j)} + u^2A_{2,0}^{(j)}(\xi_1, \xi_2) + uvA_{1,1}^{(j)}(\xi_1, \xi_1) + v^2A_{0,2}^{(j)}(\xi_1, \xi_2) + o(R^2), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]

where \( 1 < m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_j < m_{j+1} < \cdots \). From Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 it follows that

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{A}_{2,0}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) &= (x_1^2 + x_2^2)\frac{N_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{S_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)} \hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2), & \hat{A}_{1,1}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) &= (x_1^2 + x_2^2)\frac{N_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{S_2^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)} \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \\
\hat{A}_{0,2}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) &= (x_1^2 + x_2^2)\frac{N_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{S_2^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)} \hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2)
\end{align*}
\]

must be polynomials in \( x_1, x_2 \) for any \( m_j \), \( j = 1, 2, \ldots \). Notice that polynomials \( S_2^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) and \( N_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) can be factorized as

\[
S_2^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = x_1^2x_2^2S_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \quad N_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = x_1^2x_2^2N_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2),
\]

which leads to

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{A}_{2,0}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) &= (x_1^2 + x_2^2)\frac{N_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{S_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)} \hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2), & \hat{A}_{1,1}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) &= (x_1^2 + x_2^2)\frac{N_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{S_2^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)} \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \\
\hat{A}_{0,2}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) &= (x_1^2 + x_2^2)\frac{N_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{S_2^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)} \hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2)
\end{align*}
\]
We can easily check \( \gcd((x_1^2 + x_2^2)^r, S_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)) = \gcd((x_1^2 + x_2^2)^r, S_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)) = 1 \). Moreover, from Lemma \( \Gamma \) in Appendix A it follows that if there exist infinitely many \( m_j \) such that \( A_{i,2-i}, i = 0, 1, 2 \) are polynomial, then \( m_j = 2, 3, 4, \ldots \) and

\[
\hat{S}_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \quad \hat{S}_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \quad \hat{S}_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2).
\]

(52)

Counting the degrees of these polynomials we obtain that the division is possible only if

\[
\deg(\hat{a}_{i,2-i}) = 4n + 2 - 2r - 2w \geq \deg(S_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)) = 4n - 2, \quad i = 0, 1, 2
\]

implying \( r + w \leq 2 \). Since \( w > 0 \) is either integer or half-integer, there are only four possible cases as follows:

1. \( w = 2, \quad r = 0; \)
2. \( w = \frac{3}{2}, \quad r = 0; \)
3. \( w = 1, \quad r = 0, 1; \)
4. \( w = \frac{1}{2}, \quad r = 0, 1. \)

Let us compute the degrees of quadratic terms in the system we are considering. Applying formula \( \Gamma \), we have

\[
\deg(\hat{a}_{1,1}(\xi_1, \xi_2)) = n - w + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \deg(\hat{a}_{1,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2)) = r - w, \quad \deg(\hat{a}_{2,0}(\xi_1, \xi_2)) = 2n + 1 - w - r.
\]

We know that all these numbers should be positive integers, which enable us to determine the possible quadratic terms.

**Case 1** The only possible quadratic term in equation \( \Pi \) is \( a_{2,0} \). This leads to

\[
\hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = a_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \quad \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = 2a_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2).
\]

From formula \( \Gamma \) we see that both \( \hat{S}_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) must divide \( a_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \). It is easy to check that

\[
\gcd(\hat{S}_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \hat{S}_{2,2}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)) = 1.
\]

So we have \( \hat{S}_{2,2}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \hat{S}_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) a_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \). But this is impossible since

\[
\deg(a_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2)) = 4n - 2 < \deg(\hat{S}_{2,2}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \hat{S}_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)) = 8n - 4, \quad \text{for all } n > 0.
\]

**Case 2** The only possible quadratic term in equation \( \Pi \) is \( a_{1,1} \). Notice that polynomial \( \hat{S}_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \), \( \hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) and \( \hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) are symmetric and polynomial \( \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) is antisymmetric with respect to \( x_1, x_2 \). Due to \( \Gamma \), we have

\[
\hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = -\hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = c_1(x_1 + x_2) \hat{S}_{2,2}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \quad \hat{a}_{1,1} = c_2(x_1 - x_2) \hat{S}_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2),
\]

(53)

where \( c_1, c_2 \) are arbitrary constants. Inverting formulæ \( \Gamma, \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma \) or using directly \( \Gamma \) for \( a_{1,1} \), we find that

\[
a_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \frac{1}{4x_2^{n+1}} (2\hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) - 2\hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) + \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_2^2, x_1^2) - \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2)),
\]

(54)

which must be a polynomial in \( x_1, x_2 \). Substituting \( \Gamma \) into \( \Gamma \), we see that this can only happen when \( c_1 = c_2 = 0 \) implying \( a_{1,1} = 0 \). Hence our equation does not contain quadratic terms and therefore does not possess higher symmetries.

**Case 3** If \( r = 0 \), the only possible quadratic term in equation \( \Pi \) is \( a_{2,0} \). This leads to

\[
\hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = a_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \quad \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = 2a_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2).
\]

Similar to Case \( \Gamma \) we obtain that \( \hat{S}_{2,2}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \hat{S}_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) must divide \( a_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \), which can only happen if

\[
\deg(a_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2)) = 4n \geq \deg(\hat{S}_{2,2}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \hat{S}_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)) = 8n - 4,
\]

that is, \( 4n \leq 4 \) implying \( n = 1 \). Therefore, there exists a constant \( c \) such that

\[
a_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = c \hat{S}_{2,2}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \hat{S}_{2,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = c (9x_1^4 + 9x_2^4 + 14x_1^2x_2^2).
\]
This leads to
\[ \hat{A}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \hat{A}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = c(3x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + 2x_1x_2)N_2^{(m_j)}, \quad \hat{A}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = c(3x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 - 2x_1x_2)N_2^{(m_j)}. \]

From formulae (35), (39) and (40) we find that
\[ A^{(j)}_2(x_1^2, x_2^2) = 3c(x_1^2 + x_2^2)\hat{N}_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \quad A^{(j)}_1(x_1^2, x_2^2) = 0 \quad A^{(j)}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = 2c\frac{\hat{N}_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{x_1^2 x_2^2}. \]

It is easy to see that \( x_1^2 x_2^2 \) does not divide \( \hat{N}_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) for any integer \( m_j > 1 \), so \( A_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) is not a polynomial unless \( c = 0 \) what implies \( a_{2,0} = 0 \). So system (12) has no quadratic terms and therefore is not integrable.

If \( r = 1 \), then we have \( a_{1,1} = 0 \) and therefore
\[ \hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = a_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = a_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2)(x_1x_2)^{2n+1} \]
\[ \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = 2a_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) - 2a_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2)(x_1x_2)^{2n+1} \]

It is easy to see that \( \deg(\hat{a}_{i,2-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2)) = \deg(S^{(n)}_{2,i}(x_1^2, x_2^2)) = 4n - 2, \quad i = 0, 1, 2 \). It follows from (52) that
\[ \hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = c_1\hat{S}^{(n)}_{2,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \quad \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = c_2\hat{S}^{(n)}_{2,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \quad (55) \]

where \( c_1, c_2 \) are constant. Inverting formulae (23), (24) and (25) or using directly formula (20) for the quadratic terms, we find
\[ a_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \frac{1}{8}(4\hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) + \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) + \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2)), \]
\[ a_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \frac{1}{8x_1^2 - 2x_2^2} \left[ 4\hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) - \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) - \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \right] \]

Substituting (55) into the above formulae we find that \( a_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) is a polynomial in \( x_1^2, x_2^2 \) if and only if \( c_2 = 2c_1 \). Hence \( a_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = -2c_1 \). Without loss of generality we can put \( c_1 = \frac{1}{2} \), so
\[ a_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} C_{2n+1}^j (x_1^2)^{j-1}(x_2^2)^{2n-j}. \]

Therefore
\[ \hat{A}^{(j)}_2(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \hat{A}^{(j)}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)\hat{N}_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \quad \hat{A}^{(j)}_1(x_1^2, x_2^2) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)\hat{N}_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \]
and leads to
\[ A^{(j)}_2(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)\hat{N}_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \quad A^{(j)}_1(x_1^2, x_2^2) = A^{(j)}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = 0. \]

Thus system (12) with quadratic terms (55) and \( r = 1 \) possesses infinitely many type I approximate symmetries of degree 2 of any order \( m_j \).

**Case A** The only possible quadratic term in equation (12) is \( a_{1,1} \). If \( r = 0 \), then \( \deg(\hat{a}_{i,2-i}(x_1^2, x_2^2)) = 4n + 1, \quad i = 0, 1, 2 \). Notice that polynomials \( S^{(n)}_{2,i}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \), \( \hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) and \( \hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) are symmetric while polynomial \( \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) is antisymmetric with respect to \( x_1, x_2 \). Due to (52), we have
\[ \hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = -\hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = (c_1(x_1^3 + x_2^3) + c_2x_1x_2(x_1 + x_2))\hat{S}^{(n)}_{2,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \]
\[ \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = (d_1(x_1^3 - x_2^3) + d_2x_1x_2(x_1 - x_2))\hat{S}^{(n)}_{2,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \]

where \( c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \) are arbitrary constants. Substituting these expressions into (54) as in Case 2 we find that \( a_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) is a non-vanishing polynomial in even powers of \( x_1, x_2 \) only when \( n = 1 \) and \( d_1 = 2c_1, \quad d_2 = -\frac{c_1}{c_2}, \quad \hat{c}_2 = \frac{c_1}{c_2} \). Without loss of generality we can choose \( c_1 = \frac{3}{2} \). It follows that
\[ a_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = 11x_1^2 + 9x_2^2 \]

Therefore for \( \hat{A}_{1,2-i} \) we obtain
\[ \hat{A}^{(j)}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = -\hat{A}^{(j)}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \left( \frac{3}{2}(x_1^3 + x_2^3) + x_1x_2(x_1 + x_2) \right)\hat{N}_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \]
\[ \hat{A}^{(j)}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = (3(x_1^3 - x_2^3) - 2x_1x_2(x_1 - x_2))\hat{N}_2^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \]
and hence
\[ A_{1,1}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \frac{\hat{N}_{2}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{x_2^2} (3x_1^2 + 2x_2^3), \quad A_{2,0}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = A_{0,2}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = 0. \]

It is clear that \( A_{1,1}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) is not polynomial since \( x_2^3 \) does not divide \( \hat{N}_{2}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) for any \( m_j > 1 \). Thus equation (12) does not possess an infinite many type I approximate symmetries of degree 2.

If \( r = 1 \), then \( \deg(\hat{a}_3, \hat{a}_2, \ldots)(x_1^2, x_2^2)) = 4n - 1 \), \( i = 0, 1, 2 \). Similar as Case 1 we obtain the formula (53). Inverting (23), (24) and (25) we find that
\[ a_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \frac{1}{4x_2^{2n-1}} (2\hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2) - 2\hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) + \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_1^2) - \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_1^2)), \]

(59)

Substituting (53) into (59), we find that \( a_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) is a non-zero polynomial in even powers of \( x_1, x_2 \) if and only \( c_2 = 2c_1 \) and \( n = 1 \). Without loss of generality we choose \( c_1 = \frac{1}{2}, c_2 = 1 \) and hence
\[ a_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = x_1^2 + 3x_2^2. \]

(60)

For \( A_{i,2-i}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) we therefore obtain
\[ A_{2,0}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = -A_{0,2}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2)\hat{N}_{2}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \]
\[ A_{1,1}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = (x_1 - x_2)(x_1^2 + x_2^2)\hat{N}_{2}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \]

and hence
\[ A_{1,1}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = (x_1 + x_2)(x_1 + x_2^2)\hat{N}_{2}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \quad A_{2,0}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = A_{0,2}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = 0. \]

Thus we proved that system (12) with the quadratic terms (60) and \( n = 1, r = 1 \) possesses infinitely many type I approximate symmetries of degree 2 of any order \( m_j > 1 \).

Summarizing the results in the above four cases, we proved that if system (12) possesses infinitely many type I approximate symmetries of degree 2 of any order \( m_j > 1 \), then it is up re-scaling one of the systems in the following list:

\[
\begin{cases}
  u_t = v_t \\
  v_t = u_2 + u_1 v + 3u_1 t + h, & h = o(R^2) \\
  v_t = u_1 v_t = u_2n - v^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} C_{2n+1}^{j} u_{j-1} u_{2n-j} + h, & h = o(R^2)
\end{cases}
\]

(61) (62)

Let us now assume that system (12) possesses infinitely many approximate symmetries of degree 2 of type II of the form
\[ u_{r_j} = v_{r_j}^{r_j + m_j} + u^2 A_{2,0}^{(j)}(\xi_1, \xi_2) + u^2 A_{1,1}^{(j)}(\xi_1, \xi_1) + u^2 A_{0,2}^{(j)}(\xi_1, \xi_2) + o(R^2), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \]

and \( 1 < m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_j < m_{j+1} < \cdots \). From Theorem 4 and Corollary 2 it follows that
\[ A_{2,0}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \frac{M_{2,2}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{S_{2,2}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)} \hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \]
\[ A_{1,1}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \frac{M_{1,1}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{S_{1,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)} \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \]
\[ A_{0,2}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = - (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \frac{M_{0,2}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{S_{0,2}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)} \hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \]

must be polynomials in \( x_1, x_2 \) for every \( m_j \). Notice that polynomials \( M_{i,j}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \) can be factorized as
\[ M_{i,j}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = x_1^2 x_2^2 \bar{M}_{i,j}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \]
which leads to
\[ A_{2,0}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \frac{\bar{M}_{2,2}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{S_{2,2}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)} \hat{a}_{2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \]
\[ A_{1,1}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \frac{\bar{M}_{1,1}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{S_{1,1}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)} \hat{a}_{1,1}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \]
\[ A_{0,2}^{(j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = - (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \frac{\bar{M}_{0,2}^{(m_j)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)}{S_{0,2}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2)} \hat{a}_{0,2}(x_1^2, x_2^2) \]
from Lemma 2 in Appendix A, if there exist infinitely many \( m_j \) such that the above expressions are polynomials in \( x_1, x_2 \), then \( m_j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots \) and
\[
\hat{S}_{2,2}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \hat{S}_{2,0}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \quad \hat{S}_{2,0}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = \hat{S}_{0,0}^{(n)}(x_1^2, x_2^2).
\] (63)

We then repeat what we did for the case of type I symmetries and we obtain the similar conclusion: If system (61) possesses infinitely many type II approximate symmetries of degree 2 of any order \( m_j \), then it is up re-scaling either (61) or (62).

By now we have classified all systems (12) possessing infinitely many approximate symmetries of degree 2. We know that system (50) possesses infinitely many higher symmetries of any order of form (14) and (15). Notice that the quadratic terms of (50) and of the system (62) coincide with (51) and system (51) possesses approximate symmetries of degree 2. We then repeat what we did for the case of type I symmetries and we obtain the similar conclusion: If system (12) possesses infinitely many type I symmetries or (62) or (63), then it coincides with (61).

System (51) is equivalent to a linear equation \( w_{tt} = \partial_t^{m+1}u \) under the Cole-Hopf transformation \( u = (\log w)_x \). This system possesses infinitely many type II symmetries
\[
\hat{w}_{t_m} = D_x(D_x + u)^{m-1}u, \quad m = 2, 3, \ldots
\]
and type II symmetries
\[
\hat{w}_{t_m} = D_x(v + D_t)(D_x + u)^{m-1}u.
\]
These symmetries correspond to the symmetries \( w_{t_m} = w_m \) and \( \hat{w}_{t_m} = w_{1m} \) of \( w_{tt} = w_{2m+1} \).

5 Conclusion and Discussion

This is the first paper dealing with the global classification of the systems with two components. The success of global classification relies on so-called implicit function theorem in [11]. The theorem implies that the existence of infinitely many approximate symmetries of low degree together with one symmetry leads to integrability. The proof of the theorem is straightforward and purely algebraic. However, to check the conditions of the theorem requires to prove the irreducibility of a family of polynomials. In this paper, Theorem 2 can be viewed as another version of it. The required irreducibility of polynomials \( S^{(n)}_{s,1}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_s^2) \) defined by (30) is proved by algebraic geometrical arguments in Proposition 5.

The formal diagonalisation approach proposed in this paper can be applied to large classes of systems with the diagonalisable linear part. This type of equations is generic and it has not been treated by other classification methods. Our approach enables us to explicitly write down the necessary conditions for integrability. We are able to prove that these conditions are indeed sufficient. Resolution of these conditions leads us to the ultimate classification result.

We have not applied this approach to the systems with nilpotent linear part yet. However, we do not expect any difficulties in this case. The final goal will be to combine these two classes and to obtain the global classification of homogeneous polynomial evolutionary systems of two components as it has been done for the scalar evolutionary equations [11].

Appendix A

Here we prove the lemmas required in the proof of Theorem 3 which is based on the theorem of Lech-Mahler and its straightforward corollary.

**Theorem 4** (Lech, Mahler). Let \( A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \) be non-zero complex numbers. Suppose that none of the ratios \( A_i/A_j \) with \( i \neq j \) is a root of unity. Then the equation
\[
a_1A_1^m + a_2A_2^m + \cdots + a_nA_n^m = 0
\]
in the unknown integer \( m \) has finitely many solutions.

**Corollary 4.** Let \( A, B, C \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( a, b, c \) be non-zero complex numbers. Suppose that the equation
\[
aA^m + bB^m + cC^m = 0
\]
has infinitely many integers \( m \) as solution. Then \( A/B \) and \( A/C \) are both roots of unity.
We simplify our notations by writing $S^+ = S_{2,2}^{(n)}$, $S^- = S_{2,1}^{(n)}$, $M^+_{m_j} = M_{2,2}^{(m_j)}$ and $M^-_{m_j} = M_{2,1}^{(m_j)}$.

**Lemma 1.** Consider an infinite sequence of polynomials

$$N^{(m_j)}_2(x_1^2, x_2^2) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{m_j} - x_1^{2m_j} - x_2^{2m_j}, \quad 1 < m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_j < m_{j+1} < \cdots \quad (64)$$

If there exist infinite many $m_j$ such that each $N^{(m_j)}_2(x_1^2, x_2^2)$ has nontrivial common divisor with the polynomial $S^\pm(x_1^2, x_2^2)$ defined by (36), Then $m_j = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$ and $\gcd\{S^\pm(x_1^2, x_2^2), N^{(2)}_2(x_1^2, x_2^2), N^{(3)}_2(x_1^2, x_2^2), \ldots\} = x_1^2 x_2^2$.

**Proof.** Since the polynomials $S^\pm(x_1^2, x_2^2)$ are homogeneous polynomials in $x_1, x_2$, it is convenient to consider them in affine coordinate $\frac{x_1}{x_2}$. If they have nontrivial common divisor, these exist $q$ as a common root, that is,

$$S^\pm(q) = (1 + q^2)^{2n+1} - (1 \pm q^{2n+1})^2 = 0$$

$$N^{(m_j)}_2(q) = (1 + q^2)^{m_j} - 1 - q^{2m_j} = 0,$$

which is required to be satisfied for infinitely many integers $m_j > 1$. Applying the Lech-Mahler theorem to it we find that this is possible if one of the listed cases holds:

- $q = 0$ (double root), $m_j = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$,
- $q = \pm i, m_j = 1 \mod 2$,
- $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{6\pi i}{3}}, m_j = 5 \mod 6$,
- $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{6\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{8\pi i}{3}}$ (double roots), $m_j = 1 \mod 6$.

The last three sets of roots do not satisfy the equation $S^\pm(q) = 0$ for any $n > 0$, while $q = 0$ is a double roots of $S^\pm(q)$. Lemma is proved. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 2.** Consider an infinite sequence of polynomials

$$M^\pm_{m_j}(x_1^2, x_2^2) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(x_1^{2n+1} \pm x_2^{2n+1}) - x_1^{2n+2m+1} - (\pm x_2^{2n+2m+1}), \quad 0 < m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_j < m_{j+1} < \cdots \quad (65)$$

If there exist infinite many $m_j$ such that each $M^+_{m_j}(x_1^2, x_2^2)$ has nontrivial common divisor with the polynomial $S^+(x_1^2, x_2^2)$ (or $S^-(x_1^2, x_2^2)$) defined by (36). Then $m_j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots$ and

$$\gcd\{S^+(x_1^2, x_2^2), M^+_{1}(x_1^2, x_2^2), M^+_{2}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \ldots\} = x_1^2 x_2^2,$$

$$\gcd\{S^-(x_1^2, x_2^2), M^-_{1}(x_1^2, x_2^2), M^-_{2}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \ldots\} = x_1^2 x_2^2.$$

**Proof.** Similar as in the proof of Lemma 1 we consider polynomials $S^\pm(x_1^2, x_2^2)$ and $M^+_{m_j}(x_1^2, x_2^2)$ in affine coordinate $\frac{x_1}{x_2}$. If $S^+(x_1^2, x_2^2)$ and $M^+_{m_j}(x_1^2, x_2^2)$ have nontrivial common divisor, these exist $q$ as a common root, that is,

$$S^+(q) = (1 + q^2)^{2n+1} - 1 - q^{4n+2} = 0$$

$$M^+_{m_j}(q) = (1 + q^2)^{m_j} - 1 - q^{2m_j} = 0,$$

which is required to hold for infinitely many positive integers $m_j$. We apply the Lech-Mahler theorem to it and obtain:

- $q = 0$ (double root), $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,
- $q^{2n+1} = -1, q^{2m_j} = 1$,
- $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$ and $n = 1 \mod 3, m_j = 0 \mod 3$ or $n = 2 \mod 3, m_j = 0 \mod 6, 1 \mod 6$ or $n = 0 \mod 3, m_j = 0 \mod 6, 5 \mod 6$,
- $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}, e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$ and $n = 1 \mod 3, m_j = 0 \mod 6$ or $n = 2 \mod 3, m_j = 0 \mod 6, 4 \mod 6$ or $n = 0 \mod 3, m_j = 0 \mod 6, 2 \mod 6$.

It is easy to check that the roots from the last three cases are not the roots of $S^+(q)$ for any integer $n \geq 1$, while $q = 0$ is a double root of $S^+(q)$. Therefore, we have $m_j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ and $\gcd\{S^+(x_1^2, x_2^2), M^+_{1}(x_1^2, x_2^2), M^+_{2}(x_1^2, x_2^2), \ldots\} = x_1^2 x_2^2$.

Similarly, we can prove the second half of the lemma. \hfill \Box
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