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Research

(1) A practice which (adults) have to learn how to do
   - *phronesis*

(2) a form of learning, directed at the object of research, the world
   - finding more out about the world
Skills training requirements for research students: joint statement by the research councils/AHRB (2001)

A - Research Skills and Techniques
B - Research Environment
C - Research Management
D - Personal Effectiveness
E - Communication Skills
F - Networking and Teamworking
G - Career Management
“Skills Agenda”

Stephen Rowland
- lowest common denominator problem

Diana Leonard – leads to infantilisation

And amounts to external interference
- skills for other employers' interests
- top-down imposition and autonomy problem

Rowland, Frank Furedi – misguided Realists
Competence and ‘skills’ (knowledge practices)

- **Realism** (ontologically distinct entities): X is a skill, Y is not a skill

- **Irrealism:**
  \[
  \{A \text{ has skill } X\} = \{A \text{ is skilled at doing } X\}
  \]
  \[
  \{A \text{ is competent at conducting lab work}\} = \{A \text{ is skilled at conducting lab work}\}
  \]
  \[
  \{A \text{ is skilled at conducting lab work}\} = \{A \text{ has lab work skills}\}
  \]
Tacit dimension/component

**knowing** vs **learning**

**articulated/codified** vs **inarticulate/practical** knowledge
(Robert Kirk – “raw feeling”/qualia as pre-linguistic – ineffable because too rich – even if mundane)

**focal (explicit)** vs **subsidiary (implicit)** awareness

**explicit** vs **hidden** (soln to new problem)
(2) The object of research

Two sorts of research:

i) Finding out more of the same (much social science, market research, etc....)

ii) Discovery of new type of knowledge
Immanuel Kant:

Phenomenal vs Noumenal
Things-as-they-appear vs Things-in-themselves

*(the ineffable as mystical, other-worldly)*

Hegel and Peter Strawson apply Occam’s Razor

Graham Priest – the “torn boundary” at the limit
The Limits of Thought

The set of people

The set of bicycles
The Limits of Thought

The set of all entities
The Limits of Thought

The set of all entities
The Limits of Thought

The set of all entities
The Limits of Thought

torn boundary