

# Kent Academic Repository

## Full text document (pdf)

### Citation for published version

Gough, A.Martin (2009) Developing academic practice as fragmentation and the problem of definition. In: 3rd international conference on Preparing for Academic Practice "Beyond teaching and research: inclusive understandings of Academic Practice", 13-15 December 2009, St Anne's College, Oxford. (Unpublished)

### DOI

### Link to record in KAR

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/25280/>

### Document Version

UNSPECIFIED

#### Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

#### Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.


Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

#### Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

[researchsupport@kent.ac.uk](mailto:researchsupport@kent.ac.uk)

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>



# Developing academic practice as fragmentation and the problem of definition

“Beyond teaching and research – inclusive understandings of Academic  
Practice”

University of Oxford, 13-15 December 2009

***Martin Gough***

Lecturer in Higher Education & Academic Practice

University of Kent

[a.m.gough@kent.ac.uk](mailto:a.m.gough@kent.ac.uk)



AP


BP



AP1

?P2

BP3



A(less-B)P1

A(more-B)P2

***CETL Project: Evaluation of researcher support programmes: assessment within development events, and the attitudes and experiences towards academic careers provision, of early career academics (ECAs)***

**Researchers:  
Martin Gough (Kent),  
Emma Williams  
(Cambridge),  
Frederico Matos  
(Cambridge & UCL),  
Jon Turner  
(Edinburgh)**

Other institutions with development event participants:

University of Surrey

Buckinghamshire New University

## **Approach = Exploratory**

- Survey analysis
- Review of innovative practice
- Questionnaires, interviewing, observation, materials analysis
- Intervention
- Workshops



**Rugby Team Impact Framework uses:**

**Kirkpatrick, D.L. and Kirkpatrick, J.D. (2006) *Evaluating Training Programmes* (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.), Berrett-Koehler Publishers Inc**

**Impact Level 0: Foundations**

**Impact Level 1: Reaction**

**Impact Level 2: Learning**

**Impact Level 3 Behaviour**

**Impact Level 4: Outcomes**



# Development Event Participants

Postgraduate teaching assistants & new lecturers  
- on teacher development programmes

- Postgraduates on research writing workshop
- ECAs on research abstract writing workshop
- Newer Researchers - on Higher Education  
(presenting their work)

# Views on Assessment

“I give up my valuable to time to attend your workshop... and you want me to do an assignment as well?!!”

Implicit assessment syndrome

Assessment **for** learning... as well as **of** learning

Pass/Fail dividing line – useful?

– or insensitive (‘romantic’ narrative of submitting yourself to activity for the love of knowledge and learning)?

## Discussion Questions

Q1. Across skills and competence development programmes for researchers and early career academics :

To what extent would the addition of assignment work, linked to but outside workshop events, enhance the learning experience for participants?

Q1a. Which skill areas/attributes would be enhanceable through assignment, which not?

## Discussion Questions (contd.)

Q2. What would assignment work be for?

Q2a. Would participants being assessed help you to understand the attainment level participants are at?

Q2b. Or would being assessed help them learn, attain a higher standard?

## **Discussion Questions (contd.)**

Q3. When is it important to have in assessment an indication of being “good enough” (i.e. pass or fail? )

Q4. Is assessment of pass/fail appropriate just for courses which contribute credits towards a qualification?