

Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Scanlon, T. (1996) Appropriateness of outpatient follow-up for patients with cardiac disease in Lambeth, Southwark and Lewisham. South East Institute of Public Health, 5 pp.

DOI

Link to record in KAR

<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/24833/>

Document Version

UNSPECIFIED

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

Appropriateness of outpatient follow-up
for patients with cardiac disease in
Lambeth, Southwark and Lewisham.

Dr. Tom Scanlon

March 1996

South East Institute of Public Health

Index

	Page
List of Tables and Figures	4
1. Summary	6
2. Background	7
3. Literature review	8
4. Methodology	18
5. Results	
5.1 Total sample	24
5.2 Sub-group analysis	36
5.3 Costs of inappropriate follow-up	40
6. Discussion and Interpretation	
6.1 Total sample	41
6.2 Sub-group analysis	45
6.3 Costs of inappropriate follow-up	47
6.4 Limitations of methods	48
7. Conclusions	54
8. Achieving Change	56
9. Acknowledgements	58
10. References	59
11. Appendices	
1. Abbreviations	64
2. Steering Group	65
3. Case note abstraction proforma	66
4. Costs of CHD in LSL	72
5. Statistical methods (non-parametric)	73
6. Inter-observer variation	74

List of Tables, Figures and Boxes. Page

Tables

Table 3.1	New:follow-up ratio for patients attending outpatients	9
Table 3.2	Adverse features associated with inappropriate attendance	14

Total Sample

Table 5.1	Source of case notes	24
Table 5.2	Retrieval process for four cardiology clinics	24
Table 5.3	Retrieval process of general clinics	25
Table 5.4	Source of outpatient referral	26
Table 5.5	Attending doctor	27
Table 5.6	Principle and secondary diagnosis	27
Table 5.7	Documented co-morbidity. Systems affected	28
Table 5.8	Number of patients discharged by attending doctor	29
Table 5.9	New:follow-up ratio for 1994/95	30
Table 5.10	DNA rates for 1994/95 and for study week	30
Table 5.11	Duration of follow-up	31
Table 5.12	Time period since previous outpatient appointment	31
Table 5.13	Percentage of patients discharged	32
Table 5.14	Routine attenders and Continuing routine follow-up	32

Variation by assigned reason for attendance

Table 5.15	Duration of follow-up	33
Table 5.16	Grade of doctor	33
Table 5.17	Attendance status	34
Table 5.18	Percentage of patients discharged	34
Table 5.19	“Routine attenders” discharge by doctor grade	34
Table 5.20	Reason for continuing follow-up	35

Variation by assignment following attendance

Table 5.21	Duration of follow-up	36
Table 5.22	Grade of doctor	36
Table 5.23	Age profile	37
Table 5.24	Sex Profile	37
Table 5.25	Cardiac and co-morbidity profile	39

Costs of inappropriate follow-up

Table 5.26	Annual number of clinics freed	40
------------	--------------------------------	----

.....

Figures

Total sample

Figure 5.1	Age/Sex breakdown of study sample	26
Figure 5.2	Assigned reason for outpatient appointment	29

Variation by assignment following attendance

Figure 5.3	Diagnosis of assigned continuing routine follow-up	38
------------	--	----

.....

Boxes

Box 1	Case profiles of assigned "continuing routine follow-up"	49
-------	--	----

.....

1. Summary

The aim of this study was to identify and describe the outpatients with a cardiac problem in Lambeth, Southwark and Lewisham whose follow-up may be inappropriate.

Four cardiology and two medical outpatient clinics were selected. The study design was a cross sectional case note survey. The study sample comprised 184 outpatients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria and attended during the week beginning July 3rd 1995.

The main outcome measures were; duration of follow-up, cardiac diagnoses, co-morbidity, grade of attending staff, discharge rates, reason for attendance, reason for further follow-up.

Thirty percent of patients were assigned as "routine attenders". Compared to other patients, they had been attending longer, were less likely to fail to attend and more likely to be assigned to further routine follow-up. Further routine follow-up was recommended equally by juniors and consultants. Eighteen percent of patients had two consecutive routine follow-ups appointments. They had been attending even longer. Compared to other patients, they were actually more likely to be seen by a consultant although this did not reach statistical significance. They did not however differ significantly from other patients in terms of case mix.

Some cardiology outpatient follow-up may be inappropriate. In specialties like cardiology where juniors are experienced, consultants may be equally responsible in perpetuating routine follow-up. There are several potential vehicles for change which could be monitored through contracts. There may be potential for considerable resource savings by reducing inappropriate follow-up although further work is required on the use of "routine follow-up" as a proxy for inappropriate follow-up.

Key Words

Cardiology
Outpatients
Follow-up
Appropriateness