Differential Involvement of NMDA, AMPA/Kainate, and Dopamine Receptors in the Nucleus Accumbens Core in the Acquisition and Performance of Pavlovian Approach Behavior
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Stimuli paired with primary rewards can acquire emotional valence and the ability to elicit automatic, Pavlovian approach responses that have been shown to be mediated by the nucleus accumbens. The present experiment investigated the effects of infusions of glutamatergic or dopaminergic receptor antagonists into the core of the nucleus accumbens on the acquisition and performance of Pavlovian conditioned approaches to an appetitive conditioned stimulus. Rats were trained on an autoshaping task in which a conditioned stimulus (CS+) and a lever was inserted into the operant chamber for 10 sec, after which a food pellet was delivered. Presentation of another lever (CS−) was never followed by food. Subjects developed a conditioned response of approaching and contacting the CS+. Although food delivery was not in any way contingent on the animals’ response. A triple dissociation in the effects of AP-5, LY293558 [(3SR, 4aRS, 6RS, 8aRS)-6-[2-(iH-tetrazol-5-yl)ethyl]-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-decahydroiso-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid], and α-flupenthixol infused into the nucleus accumbens core on the acquisition and performance of this conditioned response was observed. The AMPA/kainate receptor antagonist LY293558 disrupted discriminated approach performance but not acquisition, as evidenced by increased approaches to the CS−. In contrast, the NMDA receptor antagonist AP-5 impaired only the acquisition, but not performance, of autoshaping whereas the dopamine D1/D2 receptor antagonist α-flupenthixol decreased approaches to the CS+ during both acquisition and performance. The data are discussed with reference to dissociable interactions of these receptor types with limbic cortical and dopaminergic afferents to the nucleus accumbens core during the acquisition and expression of Pavlovian conditioned approach.
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glutamatergic and dopaminergic receptors in the acquisition and expression of a Pavlovian appetitive conditioned response. The present experiment, therefore, investigated the effects of antagonism of NMDA, AMPA/K& and DA receptors in the NAcc core on the acquisition and performance of discriminated Pavlovian approach to an appetitive CS in an autoshaping paradigm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Sixty-two male Lister hooded rats (Charles River, Kent, UK) weighing 250–300 g at the time of surgery were individually housed under a reversed 12 hr light/dark cycle (lights on at 8:00 P.M.). During training and testing, rats were maintained on a restricted diet of 12 g of Purina lab chow per day. Water was available ad libitum, and food was given <2 hr after daily testing. Experiments were performed between 9:00 A.M. and 8:00 P.M., 6 or 7 d/week, and experiments were conducted in accordance with the United Kingdom 1986 Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act (Project License PPL 80/1239).

Apparatus

Rats were tested in operant chambers (29.5 × 32.5 × 23.5 cm; Med Associates Inc., E. Fairfield, VT). Three sides were constructed from Perspex, and the fourth was made of stainless steel, on which two sides were constructed from phosphate-buffered water (PB); composition of 0.07 M NaH2PO4 and Na2HPO4, giving an approximate pH of 7.3. The habituation session and all drug infusions were separated by at least 1 d of stable approach responses to the CS+ and CS− stimuli was observed, after −14 d of training, and were then implanted with guide cannulas bilaterally in the NAcc core (see above). After 5–7 d of recovery from surgery, rats were given additional training sessions until their performance returned to preoperative levels (−3 d). Rats were randomly assigned to receive intracore infusions of one of LY293558, AP-5, or α-flupenthixol intracerebrally. All drugs were given in four doses counterbalanced according to a Latin square design (Keppel, 1991). The doses administered to the three groups were as follows: AP-5, 0.2, 1, and 2 μg of PB (equivalent to 1, 5, and 10 nmol, respectively); LY293558, 0.002, 0.02, and 0.04 μg of PB (equivalent to 0.0067, 0.0067, and 0.13 nmol, respectively); and α-flupenthixol, 1, 10, and 25 μg of ddH2O (equivalent to 2, 20, and 50 nmol, respectively).

Histological assessment of cannula placements

At the end of testing, rats were killed with an overdose of sodium pentobarbitone (1.5 ml, i.p.; Euthatal; Rhône-Mérieux, Hertfordshire, UK) and perfused transcardially with PBS, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS for 24 hr before being transferred to 20% sucrose solution in 0.1 M PBS for 24 hr before being frozen at −60 μm using a freezing microtome. Every third section was mounted and stained with cresyl violet, and cannula placements were verified under a light microscope.

Statistical analyses

Data were recorded as number of lever presses during each CS presentation and were presented as the probability of approach to each CS, and were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA for 3 levels (drug treatment) × 3 levels (dose). ANOVA was calculated by dividing the number of trials in which each lever was pressed at least once by the number of presentations of that CS (i.e., 25). Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

Acquisition experiment. The effect of administration of AP-5, LY293558, or α-flupenthixol into the core during acquisition of autoshaping was analyzed with a repeated-measures ANOVA (three levels) × stimulus (two levers) × group (four levels) ANOVA. To investigate the effects of drug administration on performance of discriminated approach, a dose–response analysis was conducted with a repeated-measures ANOVA (three levels) × dose (four levels) × group (two levels) analysis.

For all analyses, significant interactions were further analyzed with simple main effects and planned comparisons. The criterion for signifi-
revealed that, for both of these groups, the probability of approach to the levers was different on days 5 and 6 only (for vehicle: day 5, $F_{(1,7)} = 8.66, p_{HF} = 0.02$; day 6, $F_{(1,7)} = 14.88, p_{HF} = 0.006$; for LY293558: day 5, $F_{(1,7)} = 9.11, p_{HF} = 0.019$; day 6, $F_{(1,7)} = 10.04, p_{HF} = 0.016$).

\textbf{α-Flupenthixol and LY293558, but not AP-5, infused into the nucleus accumbens core disrupted performance of conditioned approach}

\textit{Acquisition}

Figure 3 illustrates the preoperative acquisition of discriminated approach to a stimulus paired with food reward. The three groups represent the treatment conditions that the animals received in subsequent performance tests and demonstrated that the three groups were similar before treatments. All three groups progressively learned to discriminate between the two stimuli by day 4. Asymptotic performance was reached by day 10, with a probability of approach of $\sim 0.8$ and $\sim 0.15$ to the CS+ and CS− stimuli, respectively. A three-way stimulus $\times$ group $\times$ day ANOVA revealed a significant stimulus $\times$ day interaction, confirming that all three groups of rats learned the discrimination by day 10 ($n = 8$; $F(9,189) = 24.48, p_{HF} < 0.001$).

\textit{Performance}

All rats returned to preoperative levels of responding within 2 d of postoperative training. A triple dissociation in the effects of α-flupenthixol, AP-5, and LY293558 on discriminated approach to the stimulus was observed. Thus, LY293558 infusions resulted in increased approaches to the CS− only, whereas flupenthixol decreased approaches to the CS+ only, and AP-5 was without effect on approaches to either stimulus (Fig. 4). This dissociation was confirmed by a significant stimulus $\times$ dose $\times$ group interaction ($n = 8$; $F(6,63) = 3.931, p_{HF} = 0.004$). Additional analysis of this interaction confirmed that infusion of LY293558 into the core was associated with differential effects on approach to the two stimuli, as revealed by a significant stimulus $\times$ dose interaction ($F_{(3,21)} = 9.55, p_{HF} = 0.008$) (Fig. 4A). Additional analysis of this interaction revealed that LY293558 produced significant increases in approach to the CS− stimulus only ($n = 8$; $F_{(3,21)} = 4.13, p_{HF} = 0.019$) (Fig. 4A). A significant stimulus $\times$ dose interaction was also revealed after treatment with α-flupenthixol ($F_{(3,21)} = 10.13, p_{HF} = 0.002$) (Fig. 4B), whereas α-flupenthixol produced significant decreases in approaches to the CS− ($n = 8$; $F_{(3,21)} = 8.76, p_{HF} = 0.003$) (Fig. 4B) and small, albeit significant, increases in approach to the CS− ($n = 8$; $F_{(3,21)} = 3.98, p_{HF} = 0.025$). No significant effects were revealed for AP-5 (Fig. 4C).

\textbf{DISCUSSION}

The present study was designed to investigate the effects of antagonism of AMPA/KA, NMDA, or DA receptors in the NAcc core on the acquisition and performance of discriminated Pavlovian approach to an appetitive CS using an autoshaping procedure (Brown and Jenkins, 1968). Because this behavior is minimally sensitive to changes in the instrumental contingency for the reward, it is believed to depend on a Pavlovian mechanism (Williams and Williams, 1969) and thus provides the best animal model of the automatic, compulsive approach to conditioned stimuli paired with rewards. A triple dissociation in the effects of the three receptor antagonists on the acquisition and performance of discriminated approach was observed. Infusion of the AMPA/KA receptor antagonist LY293558 into the core impaired only performance of the autoshaped approach response by
increasing approaches to the CS−; it had no effect on acquisition. In contrast, the NMDA receptor antagonist AP-5 impaired only the acquisition of autoshaping, whereas the mixed, D1/D2 DA receptor antagonist α-flupenthixol decreased approaches to the CS during both acquisition and performance of the conditioned response.

**DA and NMDA receptor antagonists infused into the NAcc blocked the acquisition of autoshaping**

Consistent with much evidence implicating both NMDA and DA receptors in learning (Berke and Hyman, 2000), both AP-5 and α-flupenthixol infused into the NAcc core disrupted the acquisition of autoshaping. NMDA receptors in particular have been shown to be involved in processes believed to underlie learning (Davis et al., 1992; Shimizu et al., 2000) and have been shown to be important in the acquisition of a variety of behaviors, including spatial learning (Bannerman et al., 1995; Smith-Roe et al., 1999). Moreover, antagonism of NMDA receptors blocked the establishment of a Pavlovian conditioned eye-blink response in rabbits (Chen and Steinmetz, 2000), whereas the firing rate of A10 neurons projecting to the NAcc was increased by a CS only during the early stages of learning its association with reward (Ljungberg et al., 1992). Moreover, systemic administration of either NMDA or DA receptor antagonists blocked the acquisition, but not expression, of conditioned motor activity (Beninger and Hahn, 1983; Cerbo and Samanin, 1996) and a conditioned place preference (Cervo and Samanin, 1995).

Direct manipulations of DA and NMDA receptors in the NAcc core have also been shown to disrupt the acquisition of conditioned responding. Thus, 6-OHDA lesions blocked the learning of a discriminated approach response to a CS predictive of food in an autoshaping procedure (Parkinson et al., 2001), whereas infusion of AP-5 into the NAcc core at the same doses used in the present study blocked the acquisition of an instrumental response for food (Kelley et al., 1997; Baldwin et al., 2000). However, intracore infusion of the NMDA receptor antagonist MK-801 (1-[+]-5-methyl-10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[a,d] cyclohepten-5,10-imine maleate) blocked not only the acquisition but also the performance of a multiple chain schedule response for food in
rats (Cory-Slechta et al., 1999), suggesting that NMDA receptors may also participate under certain conditions in ongoing complex behaviors.

NMDA and DA receptors have also been shown to interact in the acquisition of goal-directed behaviors. When infused together into the NAcc core, AP-5 and the D1 receptor antagonist SCH-23390 [R(+)-7-chloro-8-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1H-3-benzazepine hydrochloride] blocked the acquisition of an instrumental response for food at low doses of each of these drugs that were ineffective on their own (Smith-Roe and Kelley, 2000), suggesting that these two receptors may interact critically during learning (Berke and Hyman, 2000). The functional importance of interactions between DA and glutamate receptors has also been established in studies of locomotor activity. For example, NMDA increased NAcc DA efflux, which was shown to be associated with increased motor activity (Svensson et al., 1994), whereas locomotor activity induced by intranAcc infusions of NMDA was attenuated by DA receptor antagonists, suggesting that DA may mediate glutamate-induced increases in motor activity (Wu et al., 1993). The converse is also true in that AP-5 inhibited cocaine-induced increases in DA when it was administered through a dialysis probe, with no effects on basal levels of DA (Pap and Bradberry, 1995).

**α-Flupenthixol and LY293558 disrupt Pavlovian approach to a CS**

Infusion of the AMPA/Ka receptor antagonist LY293558 into the core disrupted the performance of an already acquired discriminated approach by increasing the probability of approach to the CS+. This is consistent with previous findings that AMPA/Ka receptors have a greater contribution to the performance, rather than the acquisition, of learned behaviors (Cervo and Samanin, 1995; Kelley et al., 1997; Rouillet et al., 2001). For example, infusion of LY293558 into the core decreased responding for cocaine under a second-order schedule of reinforcement, whereas lever presses on an inactive lever increased (Di Ciano and Everitt, 2001), implicating AMPA/Ka receptors in the NAcc core in the discriminated response to stimuli predictive of reward. In contrast, intracore AP-5 was without effect on the performance of this response, as in the present experiment. It is noteworthy that lesions of the ACC also impaired autoshaping by increasing approaches to the CS− (Bussey et al., 1997). Given that the ACC projects to the core (Groenewegen et al., 1987; Groenewegen et al., 1996, 1999) and that its disconnection from the core also impaired the acquisition of autoshaping (Parkinson et al., 2000b), the impaired discrimination after LY293558 may depend on disruption of ACC-dependent processes within the NAcc.

Infusion of the DA receptor antagonist into the NAcc core also blocked discriminated approach to the CS+. Together with similar findings after 6-OHDA lesions of the NAcc (Parkinson et al., 2001), this suggests an important role for DA in both the acquisition and performance of responses under the control of a Pavlovian CS. Because DA depletions from the NAcc do not affect consumption of food (Koob et al., 1978; Parkinson et al., 2001) or the palatability or hedonics of the primary reward (Berridge et al., 1989; Pecina et al., 1997), the effect seems specific to conditioned responses to incentive stimuli. Thus, DA receptor antagonism produced a selective attenuation of approach to the appetitive CS+, suggesting that it may influence the vigor of responding directed toward Pavlovian stimuli (Cador et al., 1989; Parkinson et al., 2000b), and this may also underlie the effects seen in acquisition. Indeed, infusion of DA receptor agonists into the NAcc increased the ability of a Pavlovian stimulus to enhance instrumental responding without influence on the hedonic value of the reward (Wyvell and Berridge, 2000), whereas infusion of DA receptor agonists into the NAcc increased responding for a conditioned stimulus (Wolterink et al., 1993). Together, these findings suggest an important role for DA in the NAcc in approach elicited by Pavlovian stimuli, which may be critical in both the establishment and expression of conditioned approach and preparatory behaviors (Blackburn et al., 1987, 1989; Pfau et al., 1990; Fiorino et al., 1997).

**Conclusions**

Consistent with an originally suggested role for the NAcc as a limbic–motor interface (Mogenson et al., 1980), recent neuroanatomical and neurochemical evidence supports the existence of a limbic cortical-ventral striatal neural circuit subserving Pavlovian approach behavior that converges on the NAcc core. For example, bilateral lesions of the core (Parkinson et al., 1999), central amygdala (Parkinson et al., 2000a), or ACC (Bussey et al., 1997) abolished the acquisition of autoshaping, whereas the core and ACC have been shown to interact critically in the acquisition of autoshaping (Parkinson et al., 2000b). In the present study, we supported and extended these findings by demonstrating that antagonism of DA, NMDA, or AMPA/Ka receptors in the...
NAcc core differentially disrupted the acquisition and performance of discriminated approach, which indicates that these receptor types have differential control over the learning and performance of this Pavlovian conditioned response. In support of a role for DA in anticipatory behaviors directed selectively at a stimulus predictive of reward, antagonism of DA receptors disrupted both the acquisition and performance of approach to the CS+. Furthermore, AMPA/KA receptor antagonism disrupted discrimination of the two stimuli during performance only, and we suggest that this receptor may mediate the impact of ACC processes on approach behavior through its glutamatergic projections to the NAcc core. In support of a wealth of evidence implicating NMDA receptors in learning, AP-5 infused into the NAcc core impaired the acquisition, but not performance, of this approach response. Together, these results suggest that the NAcc core mediates the acquisition and expression of Pavlovian conditioned responses toward drug-paired stimuli by acting as an interface between limbic-cortical processes and downstream response mechanisms.

REFERENCES


