

# Kent Academic Repository

## Full text document (pdf)

### Citation for published version

Garcia Zuazola, Ignacio Julio and Batchelor, John C. (2009) Compact Multiband PIFA Type Antenna. *Electronics Letters*, 45 (15). pp. 768-769. ISSN 0013-5194.

### DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1049/el.2009.1236>

### Link to record in KAR

<http://kar.kent.ac.uk/23276/>

### Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

#### Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

#### Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

#### Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

[researchsupport@kent.ac.uk](mailto:researchsupport@kent.ac.uk)

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

# Compact multiband Planar Inverted F Antenna (PIFA)

I.J. Garcia Zuazola and J.C. Batchelor

This paper is a postprint of a paper submitted to and accepted for publication in IET Microwaves, Antennas and Propagation and is subject to Institution of Engineering and Technology Copyright. The copy of record is available at IET Digital Library

# Compact multiband Planar Inverted F Antenna (PIFA)

I.J. Garcia Zuazola and J.C. Batchelor

A small volume multiband planar inverted-F antenna (PIFA) with an electrically unconnected multi-strip ground plane is presented. The proposed antenna is low cost and easily fabricated, operates over a wide range of mobile communication standards with 3.57:1 and 2.32:1 VSWR at 0.89–2.48 and 3.1–10.6 GHz, respectively.

*Introduction:* Planar inverted-F antennas (PIFAs) are suitable for built-in handheld wireless equipment owing to their low profile [1]. Additionally, broadband antennas are desired since an increasing number of standards have become operative in recent years; such as GSM 900 (8.9–9.6 GHz), GSM 1800 or DCS (1.71–1.88 GHz), DECT (1.88–1.90), PCS (1.85–1.99 GHz), UMTS (1.9–2.2 GHz), WLAN (2.4–2.48 GHz), HiperLAN-2 (5.15–5.35, 5.47–5.725 GHz) and UWB (3.1–10.6 GHz). Conversely, PIFAs that are constructed over a standard continuous ground are narrowband [2]. A novel compact PIFA antenna realised using an electrically unconnected multi-strip ground plane is presented. The antenna achieves multiband operation at a measured 3.57:1 and 2.32:1 VSWR for the 0.89–2.48 and 3.1–10.6 GHz bands, respectively. Gains 22.82 dBi and omnidirectional-like measured radiation patterns are obtained.

*Antenna design:* Fig. 1 depicts the geometry of the proposed PIFA antenna. It consists of two etched planes separated by a substrate; the upper plane A, a radiating element incorporating meandering slots, the lower plane B, and an electrically unconnected multi-strip ground plane of  $32.82 \times 15.52 \text{ mm}^2$ . Both planes are interconnected through a shorting wall C of  $15.52 \times 6.4 \text{ mm}^2$ . A consists of a parasitic element  $A_a$  which couples with  $A_b$  and  $A_c$ . These are both connected to element  $A_d$  which is used for feeding purposes. B consists of 11 identical strips,  $B_a$ , of  $15.52 \times 2.47 \text{ mm}^2$  each separated by a gap,  $B_b$ , of 0.6 mm. B has overall dimensions of  $32.82 \times 15.52 \text{ mm}^2$ . Wall D of dimensions  $12.48 \times 3 \text{ mm}^2$  is electrically connected to A and is capacitively loaded by B. The FR4 substrate has a relative permittivity,  $\epsilon_r$ , of 4.6 and height,  $h$ , of 6.4 mm. A solid ground plane, E, with a volume of  $90 \times 50 \times 0.8 \text{ mm}^3$  (determined from a typical mobile handset PCB size) is optionally used. E connects to the PIFA via wall C at its half height line and causes an enhanced matched bandwidth (BW) for the lower resonance at 1.8 GHz. The antenna is fed at the upper plane element  $A_d$ , using the inner core of a 50 V SMA connector; the outer shield of the connector is attached to the shorting wall, C.

*Multi-strip ground plane:* The effects of PIFA elements over EBG/PBG materials and over protruded ground planes have been studied [2, 3]. The effects of a slotted PIFA antenna over an electrically unconnected multi-strip ground plane are presented in contrast to a reference PIFA. The reference antenna has the same feed and top conductor, but is mounted over a continuous ground plane with the same outer perimeter as the slotted ground.

The reference PIFA is designed to cover the UWB band as depicted in Fig. 2 and has a total volume of  $32.82 \times 15.52 \times 6.4 \text{ mm}^3$ , which equals the volume of the proposed PIFA. This multi-strip plane is demonstrated to perform as a high impedance surface (HIS), hereafter called frequency selective surface-strips or FSS-strips. The FSS-strips do not present the 180° reflection phase cancellation encountered by a normally incident plane-wave at a perfect electric conductor (PEC). A series of simulations performed, Table 1, have shown that the FSS-strips can be adjusted in width and length for determining the best constructive phase shift to incident plane-waves. Using Zeland IE3D, parametric variation studies of the strip width  $w$  were carried out in steps of 0.30 mm. The FSS-strip thickness  $t$  and length  $l$  were held constant at 0.035 and 15.52 mm, respectively (the strip dimensions are defined in Fig. 1). Increasing width  $w$  caused the gap  $B_b$  to decrease and the corresponding simulated effect on antenna BW is shown in Fig. 2. An optimum value of 2.47 mm was found to give best  $S_{11}$  BW at 5.4 GHz which resulted in inter-strip spacing,  $B_b$ , of 0.6 mm. To test the frequency response of the frequency selective ground plane a microstrip line was mounted above an FSS-strip ground with optimised  $w$ ,  $l$  and  $t$  dimensions as listed above. The measured transmission coefficient ( $S_{21}$ ) had a stop band centred on 5.4 GHz with a 210 dB bandwidth of 22% relative to the centre frequency. The  $S_{21}$  of the final FSS-strip structure is shown in Fig. 2, where a lowpass filter (LPF) response with bandstops at ~5.5 and ~10.5 GHz can be observed. The manual optimisation procedure also maintained a match at 0.9 GHz increases BW by 100 MHz and reduces the operational frequency of the PIFA by 500 MHz. While the FSS-strips benefit BW at the 5.4 GHz resonance, it also adds BW to

the overall  $S_{11}$  antenna response (Fig. 2). Owing to reduction of the operational frequency of the proposed antenna with regard to the traditional PIFA, there seems to be potential for antenna size reduction.

*Comparison of large continuous and frequency selective ground planes:* Fig. 2 shows measured reflection coefficients ( $S_{11}$ ) for the proposed PIFA using FSS-strips compared with the reference antenna with a continuous conducting ground. Also shown is the  $S_{11}$  curve for the proposed slotted PIFA connected to a large continuous ground plane, E according to Fig. 1a. The results show an antenna with better matching over a wider frequency range, in the 0.89–0.96, 1.71–2.48, 3.1–5.725 and 8.1–10.6 GHz bands for the proposed antenna over the traditional PIFA. Adding E causes the resonance frequencies of the slotted PIFA to fall at the cellular bands. With E present, the measured  $S_{11} \sim 25$  dB (VSWR  $\sim 3.57:1$ ) BWs of the new slotted PIFA are 12 and 49% at 900 MHz and 1.8 GHz, respectively. With  $S_{11}$  defined to be  $\sim 28$  dB (VSWR  $\sim 2.32:1$ ) the BW is 110% for the 3.1–10.6 GHz FCC ultra-wideband (UWB). This indicates that the proposed antenna is sufficient to encompass bands for the GSM 900, GSM 1800 (also called DCS), DECT, PCS, UMTS, WLAN (Bluetooth), HiperLAN-2 (including WiMAX) and UWB communication standards.

Measured far-field radiation patterns for the relevant bands are depicted in Fig. 3. The patterns are essentially omnidirectional, with some front-to-back ratio increase at the upper bands. Simulated directionality is given in Table 2 which shows similar directionality for both the traditional and the proposed PIFA, however, there is a slightly higher directionality for the traditional PIFA. There is also a slightly higher front-to-back ratio of  $\sim 0.05$  dB in the 5.15–10.6

GHz band range for the proposed PIFA, and 0.5 dB in the 0.9–5.15 GHz for the traditional PIFA in the elevation plane; no significant variation is encountered in the azimuth. This is because traditional PIFAs use continuous ground planes (in essence reflectors); the plane of the traditional PIFA had the same overall dimension (32.82 × 15.52 mm<sup>2</sup>) radiator top layer of the proposed antenna. The maximum simulated gains of the proposed antenna and the traditional PIFA are given in Table 3.

As expected, the FSS band-stop which results using the slotted ground plane improves gains in the 5.15–10.6 GHz range. The higher gains presented indicate that the FSS-strips have alleviated the field cancellation caused by the 180° reflection phase encountered by a normally incident plane-wave at a perfect electric conductor (PEC). The difference between directivity and gain (efficiency) is the result of a physically compact antenna.

Conclusion: A compact multiband PIFA type antenna with a ground plane incorporating an FSS-strip structure proficient for mobile equipment applications in the GSM 900, DCS, DECT, PCS, UMTS, WLAN, HiperLAN-2 and UWB bands has been proposed and investigated. The FSS-strips incorporated into the ground plane offer an improved response over a traditional PIFA. The front-to-back ratio of the new antenna might be seen as indicating offering reduced SAR benefits for head absorption.

## References

- 1 Wong, K.L., and Yang, K.P.: 'Modified planar inverted F antenna', *Electron. Lett.*, 1998, 34, (1), pp. 7–8
- 2 Wang, F., Du, Z., Wang, Q., and Gong, K.: 'Enhanced-bandwidth PIFA with T-shaped ground plane', *Electron. Lett.*, 2004, 40, (23), pp. 1504–1505
- 3 Zhao, Y., Hao, Y., and Parini, C.G.: 'Radiation properties of PIFA on UC-EBG substrates', *Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett.*, 2005, 44, (1), pp. 21–24

**Authors' affiliations:**

I.J. Garcia Zuazola and J.C. Batchelor (Department of Electronics, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent, CT2 7NT, United Kingdom)

E-mail: [lgarcia@theiet.org](mailto:lgarcia@theiet.org)

**Figure captions:**

Fig. 1 Geometry of the proposed PIFA

a isometrical view

b unfolded planar view of conductors

Fig. 2 Reflection coefficient ( $S_{11}$ ) of the PIFA

----- traditional PIFA with PEC

—— proposed PIFA

--- proposed PIFA with added ground plane

Fig. 3 Radiation patterns of the proposed PIFA

a co-pol, azimuth, y-z plane

—— 0.9 GHz

----- 2.5 GHz

..... 4.4 GHz

- · - 5.7 GHz

- · · - 7.9 GHz

b co-pol, elevation, x-z plane

—— 0.9 GHz

----- 2.5 GHz


..... 4.4 GHz

- · - 5.7 GHz


- · · - 7.9 GHz



Figure 1



(a)



scale 1:1 all units in millimetres

(b)

Figure 2




Figure 3




Table 1

Frequency (GHz)	Proposed PIFA Directivity (dBi)	Traditional PIFA Directivity (dBi)
0.9	3.33	2.39
1.8	4.15	5.77
1.9	4.31	5.93
2.1	4.96	6.34
2.5	4.90	6.46
4.4	7.23	7.30
5.2	7.03	7.04
5.4	7.18	7.18
5.7	6.32	7.72
7.9	6.23	6.24

Table 2

Frequency (GHz)	Proposed PIFA Gain (dBi)	Traditional PIFA Gain (dBi)
0.9	0.91	-20.48
1.8	-2.82	-10.66
1.9	-2.25	-9.39
2.1	-1.62	-6.72
2.5	-1.89	0.15
4.4	-2.25	-2.26
5.2	-0.54	-0.88
5.4	+0.38	-0.16
5.7	-2.27	-3.08
7.9	-0.68	-0.69