

Kent Academic Repository

Carter, Janet (1999) *Profile of a Cohort - A statistical profile of the 1997 CS entry.* Technical report.

Downloaded from <u>https://kar.kent.ac.uk/21748/</u> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from

This document version UNSPECIFIED

DOI for this version

Licence for this version UNSPECIFIED

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact <u>ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk</u>. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our <u>Take Down policy</u> (available from <u>https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies</u>).

Profile of a Cohort - A statistical profile of the 1997 CS entry

Janet Carter

Contents

General Entry Qualifications

A-level Entrants	2
Non A-level Entrants	3
Comparing A-level and non A-level Entrants	3
Analysis by A-level Subject	
The Subjects They Chose	4
Computing	5
Mathematics	6
Trends	
Correlation between Part I and Part IIa	7
The Distribution of Marks	8
Other	
CO309 Results by Degree Programme	10

General Entry Qualifications

The A-level Entrants



Does a higher A-level point score mean a higher part I result?

The product moment correlation coefficient between A-level points and part I result is 0.53

Do students with 24+ points do significantly better?

Points	mean	sd
24+	69.0	11.1
<24	53.8	11.3

There is evidence to suggest that students with 24+ points do better at part I (z = 4.61).

Do students with 22+ points do significantly better?

Points	mean	sd
22+	63.1	13.4
<22	52.6	10.4

There is also evidence to suggest that students with 22+ points do better at part I (z = 3.45).

Does taking more than 3 A-levels have any effect?

Often only pupils considered to be 'more able' are allowed to take more than 3 A-levels. We only count the best 3 when calculating point scores. The following table excludes General Studies as a 4th subject.

	mean	sd
>3	57.9	14.7
<=3	57.0	11.9

There is insufficient evidence to suggest a difference between the two groups (z = 0.26). (N.B. For evidence of statistical significance at the 5% level | z | >= 1.96)

The non A-level entrants



NB. Students entering the foundation year in 1997 sat part I exams in June 1999 whilst all other 1997 entrants sat them in June 1998.

qualification	mean	n
access	58.3	1
hnc	53.1	7
foundation	53.2	15
ib	65.6	5
onc	34.0	1
gnvq	51.4	2
btec	69.7	3
other	46.1	1
overall	55.7	35

The table shows the mean part I result for each of the non A-level entry routes, and the number of students taking each route.

Comparing A-level and non A-level entrants

	mean	sd
with A-levels	56.8	13.7
without A-levels	55.7	14.1
overall	56.4	13.9

There is no evidence to suggest a statistically significant difference between the mean part I score of the A-level and non A-level entrants (z = 0.37).

Analysis by A-level Subject

Subject	number
Computing	41
Maths	46
Further Maths	4
Accounting	1
Physics	42
Chemistry	11
Biology	8
Electronics	5
D&T	6
Sociology	1
Politics	1
History	4
Geography	4
Economics	8
Business Studies	8
Greek	5
German	2
French	1
Latin	1
English	5
Music	1
General Studies	17

Subjects				
Computing	Maths	Physics	Number	
Yes	Yes	Yes	22	
Yes	Yes	No	8	
Yes	No	No	11	
No	Yes	Yes	12	
No	Yes	No	4	
No	No	No	8	

The most popular combination of A-levels is Computing, Maths and Physics, and students who did not take A-level Maths did not take A-level Physics either.

Computing



Correlation coefficient = 0.46

	with	without
proportion	42.7	57.3
mean part I result	55.8	58.2
standard deviation	13.7	7.0

The mean part I result for students without A-level computing is higher than that for students with A-level computing, but there is insufficient evidence for statistical significance (z = 1.01).

Mathematics



Correlation coefficient = 0.1

	with	without
proportion	47.9	52.1
mean part I result	56.9	57.5
standard deviation	12.6	16.3

The mean part I result for students without A-level mathematics is slightly higher than that for students with A-level mathematics, but there is insufficient evidence to suggest that the difference is statistically significant (z = 0.20).

Trends

1997 entrants



Correlation coefficient = 0.61

The least squares regression line of Part IIa mark on Part I mark is y = 0.8x + 0.5 (I.e. A mark of 47.8 at Part I corresponds to a mark of 40% at Part IIa. - not particularly meaningful with such a low correlation!)





Correlation coefficient = 0.74The least squares regression line of Part IIa mark on Part I mark is y = 0.8x + 2.0(I.e. A mark of 45.5 at Part I corresponds to a mark of 40% at Part IIa.)

Distribution of marks

Part I



Marks	0	E	(O-E) ² /E
<40	9	10.3	0.16
40-49.9	11	16.8	2.03
50-59.9	31	23.8	2.21
60-69.9	16	20.0	0.80
70+	18	14.1	1.07
			6.27

 $X^2_{calc} < 9.49$, so the part I marks follow a normal distribution.

Part IIa



Marks	0	E	(O-E) ² /E
<35	9	11.7	0.63
35-39.9	13	7.5	3.95
40-49.9	17	21.9	1.09
50-59.9	22	22.2	0.00
60-69.9	17	14.4	0.47
70+	7	7.3	0.01
			6.16

 $X^2_{\ calc}$ < 11.07, so the part IIa marks also follow a normal distribution.

Other

CO309 results by degree programme

The figures used here are for all students registered for the Java programming module in the 1997/98 academic year.

