

Kent Academic Repository

Bojkova, Denisa, Zöller, Nadja, Tietgen, Manuela, Steinhorst, Katja, Bechtel, Marco, Rothenburger, Tamara, Kandler, Joshua D., Schneider, Julia, Corman, Victor M., Ciesek, Sandra and others (2023) *Repurposing of the antibiotic nitroxoline for the treatment of mpox.* Journal of Medical Virology, 95 (3). ISSN 0146-6615.

Downloaded from <u>https://kar.kent.ac.uk/100542/</u> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.28652

This document version Publisher pdf

DOI for this version

Licence for this version CC BY-NC-ND (Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives)

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact <u>ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk</u>. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our <u>Take Down policy</u> (available from <u>https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies</u>).

DOI: 10.1002/jmv.28652

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Repurposing of the antibiotic nitroxoline for the treatment of mpox

Denisa Bojkova¹ | Nadja Zöller² | Manuela Tietgen³ | Katja Steinhorst² | Marco Bechtel¹ | Tamara Rothenburger¹ | Joshua D. Kandler¹ | Julia Schneider^{4,5} | Victor M. Corman^{4,5} | Sandra Ciesek^{1,6,7} | Holger F. Rabenau¹ | Mark N. Wass⁸ | Stefan Kippenberger² | Stephan Göttig³ | Martin Michaelis⁸ | Jindrich Cinatl jr.^{1,9}

¹Institute of Medical Virology, University Hospital, Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

²Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Allergology, University Hospital, Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

³Institute for Medical Microbiology and Infection Control, University Hospital, Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

⁴Institute of Virology, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany

⁵German Center for Infection Research (DZIF), Berlin, Germany

⁶German Center for Infection Research, DZIF, External partner site, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

⁷Fraunhofer Institute for Translational Medicine and Pharmacology ITMP, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

⁸School of Biosciences, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK

⁹Dr. Petra Joh-Forschungshaus, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Correspondence

Martin Michaelis, School of Biosciences, University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NJ, UK. Email: M.Michaelis@kent.ac.uk

Jindrich Cinatl jr., Institute for Medical Virology, University Hospital, Goethe University, Paul Ehrlich-Straße 40, 60596 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. Email: Cinatl@em.uni-frankfurt.de

Funding information Frankfurter Stiftung für krebskranke Kinder

Abstract

The antiviral drugs tecovirimat, brincidofovir, and cidofovir are considered for mpox (monkeypox) treatment despite a lack of clinical evidence. Moreover, their use is affected by toxic side-effects (brincidofovir, cidofovir), limited availability (tecovirimat), and potentially by resistance formation. Hence, additional, readily available drugs are needed. Here, therapeutic concentrations of nitroxoline, a hydroxyquinoline antibiotic with a favourable safety profile in humans, inhibited the replication of 12 mpox virus isolates from the current outbreak in primary cultures of human keratinocytes and fibroblasts and a skin explant model by interference with host cell signalling. Tecovirimat, but not nitroxoline, treatment resulted in rapid resistance development. Nitroxoline remained effective against the tecovirimat-resistant strain and increased the anti-mpox virus activity of tecovirimat and brincidofovir. Moreover, nitroxoline inhibited bacterial and viral pathogens that are often co-transmitted with mpox. In conclusion, nitroxoline is a repurposing candidate for the treatment of mpox due to both antiviral and antimicrobial activity.

KEYWORDS

antiviral drugs, antiviral therapy, chelator, drug repurposing, monkeypox, orthopoxvirus, poxvirus

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2023 The Authors. *Journal of Medical Virology* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Two clades of mpox (previously known as monkeypox) virus, a member of the genus *Orthopoxvirus* in the family *Poxviridae*, caused until recently only limited zoonotic outbreaks in Africa.^{1–5} Currently, mpox viruses considered as clade IIB (occasionally also as clade III, consensus on the nomenclature is still developing) are spreading for the first time by sustained human-to-human transmission outside of Africa (Elsayed et al.¹; Gessain et al.²; Huang et al.³; Mitjà et al.⁴; Rabaan et al.⁵). This ongoing outbreak was classified as a 'Public Health Emergency of International Concern' by the WHO on July 23, 2022 and has at the time of writing (January 20, 2023) affected at least 111 countries, accounting for 114 987 documented cases and at least 106 deaths.⁶

About 10% of patients require hospital treatment in the current global outbreak, mainly due to pain and bacterial superinfections.^{2,7-10} This is in contrast to the disease severity observed in the endemic mpox areas in Africa, in which mpox outbreaks are associated with mortality rates of up to 12%.^{4,11,12}

Three antiviral drugs (tecovirimat [ST-246], brincidofovir [CMX001], cidofovir) are mainly considered for mpox treatment, although they have not undergone clinical testing for mpox treatment.^{1–5} Despite differences in the clinical presentation of the current mpox outbreak compared to previous ones,^{2,3,8,13,14} recent findings indicated that these three drugs are still effective against the currently circulating mpox viruses in therapeutically achievable concentrations.^{15–17}

Notably, the use of cidofovir and brincidofovir is associated with severe, therapy-limiting side effects.^{2,18} Moreover, the availability of tecovirimat is limited and may be affected by resistance formation.^{2,19,20} Hence, additional effective and readily available drugs are needed for the treatment of mpox.

The antibiotic nitroxoline is used as a first-line therapy for uncomplicated urinary tract infections.^{21–23} It is known to inhibit the Phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Protein kinase B/Mammalian target of rapamycin (PI3K/AKT/mTOR) and Rapidly accelerated fibrosarcoma/ Mitogen-activated protein kinase/Extracellular signal-regulated kinase (RAF/MEK/ERK) signalling pathways,^{24–26} which are involved in orthopoxvirus replication.^{27–29} As an antibiotic, nitroxoline also has the potential to target sexually transmitted bacteria that are commonly cotransmitted with mpox virus during the current outbreak and can aggravate mpox disease.^{3,8,13,14} Here, we investigated nitroxoline for its activity against mpox virus and additional pathogens that may cause coinfections.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Cell culture

HFF and HFK were isolated as previously described^{30,31} according to the Declaration of Helsinki principles and in agreement with the institutional review board (112/06; 386/14). HFF were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) with 4.5 g/ml glucose supplemented with 5% foetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100 IU/ml penicillin. HKF were cultured in DermaLife K (CellSystems) supplemented with 100IU/ml penicillin. The cell lines ARPE (ATCC) and HaCaT (CLS Cell Lines Service) were cultured in minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin. All cell lines were regularly authenticated by short tandem repeat analysis and tested for mycoplasma contamination.

2.2 | Mpox virus isolation and production

Mpox virus clinical isolates were obtained by culturing swabs from the patient's lesions on Vero cells. After appearance of CPE both cells and supernatant were frozen at -80° C. For virus stock preparation, the human keratinocyte cell line HaCaT was utilised. Briefly, cells were incubated with 50 µL of infectious inoculum for 72 h and subsequently frozen at -80° C until further processing. After thawing, supernatants were centrifuged at 150g for 10 min and virus stocks stored at -80° C. Virus titres were determined as TCID50/mL using confluent HFF in 96-well microtiter plates.

2.3 | Antiviral assay

Confluent cells in 96-well plates were infected with mpox virus isolates at MOI 0.01 and incubated at 37°C for 48 h. Drug inhibitory effects were determined by immunocytochemistry staining of mpox virus. Briefly, cells were fixed with acetone:methanol (40:60) solution and immunostaining was performed using an anti-Vaccinia Virus antibody (1:4000 dilution; #ab35219 Abcam), which was detected with a peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:1,000, Dianova), followed by addition of AEC substrate. The mpox virus positive area was scanned and quantified by the Bioreader[®] 7000-F-Z-I microplate reader (Biosys). The results are expressed as percentage of inhibition relative to virus control which received no drug.

2.4 | Cell viability assay

Cell viability was measured by the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) dye reduction assay 96-well plates. $25 \,\mu$ L of MTT solution (2 mg/mL in PBS) were added per well, and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 4 h. After this, the cells were lysed using 100 μ L of a buffer containing 20% sodium dodecylsulfate and 50% *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide with the pH adjusted to 4.7 at 37°C for 4 h. Absorbance was determined at 560 nm (reference wavelength 620 nm) using a Tecan infinite M200 microplate reader (TECAN).

2.5 | Mpox virus isolate assignment to clades

Total DNA from viral stocks was isolated using the QIAamp DNA Blood Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA

3 of 13

-WILEY

was subjected to qRT-PCR analysis using the Luna Universal qPCR Master Mix Protocol (New England Biolabs) and a CFX96 Real-Time System, C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad). Primers detecting mpox virus were adapted from Liu et al.³²

2.6 Split-thickness skin model

Skin samples derived from surplus split skin not used for wound cover were placed in PBS and perforated by microneedle pretreatment (Segminismart[®]) to facilitate virus infection as described.³³ Then, 3×3 mm skin pieces were infected with 10^6 TCID50/mL of MPXV1 per well in 500 µL with or without nitroxoline (10 µM). Fourty eight hours postinfection, tissue samples were formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded, and cut into 4 µm sections. After deparaffinisation and heat-induced epitope retrieval (Target Retrieval Solution pH9, Agilent-Dako, S2367), sections were incubated with a primary anti-vaccinia virus antibody (1:10.000, Abcam, ab35219), followed by incubation with secondary antirabbit IgG-horseradish peroxidase conjugates (ZytoChem HRP Kit, HRP-125, Zytomed Systems), and visualisation using HistoGreen (Histo Green Kit, Linaris, LIN-E109) as peroxidase substrate. All experiments were performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki principles and in agreement with the institutional review board (112/06; 386/14).

2.7 | Immunoblot analysis

Whole-cell lysates were prepared using Triton-X sample buffer containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The protein concentration was assessed by using DC Protein assay reagent (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Equal protein loads were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Thermo Scientific). For protein detection the following primary antibodies were used at the indicated dilutions: AKT (Cell Signaling, #9272, Lot 22, 1:1000), phospho-AKT T308 (Cell Signaling, #2965, Lot 1, 1:1000), phospho-AKT S473 (Cell Signaling, #4060, Lot 27, 1:1000), c-Raf (Cell Signaling, #9422, Lot 3, 1:1000), phospho-c-Raf S338 (Cell Signaling, #9427, Lot 4, 1:1000), ERK1/2 (Acris, #AP00033P4-N, Lot S7015, 1:1000), phospho-ERK1/ 2 T202/Y204 (Cell Signaling, #9106, Lot 30, 1:1000), GAPDH (Cell Signaling, #2118, Lot 14, 1:4000), MEK1/2 (Cell Signaling 1:1000, Lot 14, #9122, 1:1000), phospho-MEK1/2 S217/221 (Cell Signaling, #9121, Lot 3, 1:1000). Protein bands were visualized using IRDyelabeled secondary antibodies at dilution 1:40000 (LI-COR Biotechnology, IRDye[®]800CW Goat anti-Rabbit, #926-32211 and IRDye[®]800CW Goat anti-Mouse IgG, #926-32210) and Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences).

2.8 Drug combination assay

To evaluate antiviral activity of nitroxoline in a combination with tecovirimat and brincidofovir, the compounds were applied alone or

in fixed combinations at 1:2 dilutions using HFF monolayers. Subsequently the cells were infected with MPVX1 at MOI 0.01 for 48 h. The calculation of IC₅₀, IC₇₅, IC₉₀, and IC₉₅ for single drugs and their combinations as well as combination indexes (CIs) was performed using the software CalcuSyn (Biosoft) based on the method of Chou and Talalay.³⁴ The weighted average CI value (Cl_{wt}) was calculated according to the formula: Cl_{wt} (Cl₅₀ + 2Cl₇₅ + 3Cl₉₀ + 4Cl₉₅)/10. Cl_{wt} values were calculated for mutually exclusive interactions where Cl_{wt} < 0.8 indicates synergism, Cl_{wt} between 0.8 and 1.2 indicates additive effects, and Cl_{wt} > 1.2 suggest antagonism.

MEDICAL VIROLOGY

2.9 | Selection of tecovorimat-resistant variant

ARPE cells were seeded in 96-well plate 48 h prior infection and treatment. The cells were treated with 4 μ M of Tecovirimat and subsequently infected with MPXV1 at MOI 0.01. Untreated cells were used as passaging control. After 7 days the selection medium containing 4 μ M of Tecovirimat was refreshed and the cells were incubated for additional 7 days. The positive wells displaying plaques were harvested and expanded to viral stocks. The resistance development was validated in antiviral assay and the resistant strain designated as MPXV1^TECO.

2.10 | Complete virus genome sequencing

Up to 5 ng extracted DNA were used for library preparation using the KAPA Hyper Prep Kit (Roche) according to manufacturer's instructions. Resulting libraries were quantified on a TapeStation System (Agilent), equimolar pooled, and paired-end sequenced on an Illumina MiniSeq sequencer (Illumina; 300 cycles). Reads were mapped against ON563414.2 using Geneious Prime v2022.0.1 and manually curated. The sequence of MPXV1^rTECO is available from GISAID under the ID EPI_ISL_16847487.

2.11 | Effect of nitroxoline on HSV-1 and VZV

Antiviral efficacy of nitroxoline against two sexually transmitted herpes viruses, HSV-1 and VZV, was evaluated in HFF and ARPE cells, respectively. Briefly, confluent layers of HFF or ARPE cells were treated with nitroxoline and infected with HSV-1 McIntyre strain (ATCC) at MOI 0.01 for 24 h or with VZV clinical isolate³⁵ at MOI 0.1 for 48 h. Subsequently, the cell were fixed with acetone:methanol (40:60) solution and immunostained with antibody directed against HSV-1 (#ab9533; Abcam) or against VZV (IE62-specific mAb; Chemicon), which was detected with a peroxidase-conjugated antirabbit or anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:1,000; Dianova), respectively, followed by addition of AEC substrate. The virus positive area was quantified by the Bioreader[®] 7000-F-Z-I microplate reader (Biosys). The results are expressed as percentage of inhibition relative to nontreated virus control.

2.12 | Bacterial isolates and antibiotic susceptibility testing

All bacterial isolates were recovered from patients hospitalized at the Goethe University Hospital in Frankfurt. Reference strains *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* ATCC 49226 were obtained from DSMZ (German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Culture).

Antimicrobial susceptibility was determined by disc diffusion (Liofilchem[®]) using Mueller Hinton agar (OxoidTM; Thermo Fisher) for *E. coli* and Chocolate agar with Vitox (OxoidTM, Thermo Fisher) for *N. gonorrhoeae*. Agar dilution was performed with Mueller Hinton agar for *E. coli* and gonococcal agar supplemented with hemoglobin solution and BBLTM IsoVitaleXTM (Becton; Dickinson and Company) for *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* with increasing concentrations of nitroxoline. Additionally, broth microdilution was performed with cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth for *E. coli*.

Inhibition zones and minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were evaluated and interpreted according to EUCAST guidelines for *E. coli* due to the undefined criteria for *N. gonorrhoeae* [https://www.eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints/].

2.13 | Structural modelling

The mpox F13L protein structure was modelling using Phyre2³⁶ (with default settings). Phyre2 generated a high confidence model for 93% of the protein sequence.

2.14 | Statistics

The results are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD). If not stated otherwise, values are the results of three biological replicates. The statistical significance is depicted directly in graphs and the statistical test used for calculation of *p* values is indicated in figure legends. GraphPad Prism 9 was used to determine IC50 values.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Effects of nitroxoline on mpox virus replication

The effect of the 8-hydroxyquinoline derivative nitroxoline (Figure 1A) was determined on the replication of 12 mpox virus isolates (Supporting Information: Table 1) from the current global outbreak. Mpox virus was cultured in primary human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF) and primary human foreskin keratinocytes (HFK) as previously described.¹⁵

When added to the culture medium together with the virus, nitroxoline inhibited mpox virus infection in HFF and HFK in a dosedependent manner (Figure 1B–D) as indicated by immunostaining. The nitroxoline concentrations that reduced virus immunostaining by 50% (IC50) ranged from 2.4 to 4.6 μ M in HFF and from 0.5 to 1.5 μ M in HFK (Figure 1E–G, Supporting Information: Table 1). Nitroxoline did not affect cell viability in the tested concentration range of up to 20 μ M (Figure 1C,D). Notably, nitroxoline may interfere with different orthopoxviruses, as it also inhibited vaccinia virus infection at a similar IC50 (5.2 μ M) as mpox virus infection (Supporting Information: Figure 1).

Time-of-addition experiments (Supporting Information: Figure 2A) showed that nitroxoline interferes with the mpox virus replication cycle post viral entry (Supporting Information: Figure 2B,C). Nitroxoline inhibited mpox virus infection in a similar way when it was added two hours postinfection (Supporting Information: Figure 2B,C) as when it was added simultaneously with the virus (Figure 1C,D). However, nitroxoline addition together with virus followed by a washing step after a two-hour entry period was not effective (Supporting Information: Figure 2B,C). Moreover, nitroxoline only reduced virus titres (as determined by PCR for genomic mpox virus DNA), when added after the 2-h virus absorption period, but not when it was present only during the entry period (Supporting Information: Figure 2D).

To investigate the antiviral effects of nitroxoline in the context of the skin architecture, we used primary human split-thickness skin grafts that preserve the histology and complexity of the skin.³⁷ Skin grafts were infected with 10⁶ TCID50/mL of mpox virus isolate 1 (MPXV1), and the infection was visualised by immunohistochemical staining for virus antigen after 48 h. As depicted in Figure 1H, pronounced infected cells or single infected cells were located in the dermis (Figure 1H). These findings are in line with the known patterns of mpox infection in human skin.^{38,39} Nitroxoline (10 μ M) treatment strongly reduced the number of mpox-infected cells.

3.2 | Effects of nitroxoline analogues on mpox virus infection

Next, we investigated a set of nine nitroxoline analogues for antimpox virus activity in HFF (Figure 2A). Only compounds 1 (IC50: $1.8 \pm 0.3 \mu$ M), 7 (IC50: $3.6 \pm 1.5 \mu$ M), and 9 (IC50: $2.1 \pm 0.1 \mu$ M) displayed a similar antiviral activity as nitroxoline (IC50: $2.1 \pm 0.7 \mu$ M) (Figure 2B). The active nitroxoline analogues all harboured halogen ions at positions 5 and 8 and a hydroxy group at position 9. Notably, compound 9 is clioquinol, another antibiotic that is clinically being used for the treatment of different skin infections²³ (Figure 2). Further research will have to show whether it may be possible to identify nitroxoline analogues with a higher anti-mpox virus activity than nitroxoline.

3.3 | Nitroxoline interferes with mpox virus-induced cellular signalling pathways

Nitroxoline inhibits bacterial growth by chelating cations that are required by bacterial metalloenzymes, and the addition of cations



+ nitrox (10µM)
- n

FIGURE 1 (See caption on next page)

ILEY-MEDICAL VIROLOGY

such as Mg^{2+} and Mn^{2+} abrogates its antibacterial activity.⁴⁰ In contrast, the addition of Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , or other divalent cations did not affect the antiviral activity of nitroxoline (Figure 3A,B) indicating a different mode of antiviral action.

However, nitroxoline inhibited virus-induced PI3K/AKT signalling (as indicated by AKT phosphorylation, Figure 3C,D) and MAPK signalling (as indicated by RAF, MEK, and ERK phosphorylation, Figure 3E,F) in a dose-dependent manner. Moreover, inhibitors of PI3K/AKT/mTOR (buparlisib, LY294002, and PI-103) and MAPK (sorafenib and regorafenib) signalling inhibited mpox virus infection (Figure 3G,H). These data agree with previous findings showing that nitroxoline inhibits PI3K/AKT/mTOR and RAF/MEK/ERK signalling²⁴⁻²⁶ and that orthopoxvirus replication critically depends on PI3K/AKT/mTOR and RAF/MEK/ERK signalling.²⁷⁻²⁹ Taken together, these data suggest that nitroxoline inhibits mpox virus infection at least in part by interference with these two host cell signalling pathways.

3.4 | Nitroxoline inhibits a tecovirimat-resistant mpox virus strain

Based on experience with other antiviral drugs, there is concern that tecovirimat-resistant viruses may emerge.² Hence, we established a tecovirimat-resistant mpox virus strain (Figure 4A). ARPE cells were infected with MPXV1 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01 in the presence of tecovirimat 4 μ M. After 7 days, medium was removed and replaced by fresh tecovirimat 4 μ M-containing medium. After a total incubation time of 14 days, cytopathogenic effects (CPE) were detected, and the tecovirimat-resistant substrain was expanded (Figure 4A).

The resulting tecovirimat-selected MPXV1 sub-strain (MPXV1^r TECO) displayed a pronounced tecovirimat resistance as indicated by an IC50 of >10 μ M compared to an IC50 of 0.096 μ M of a passaging control (Figure 4B). Whole genome virus sequencing indicated three amino acid sequence changes (E353K, N267D, and I372N) in F13L (TP37 and gp45), the target of tecovirimat (Figure 4C,D, Supporting Information: Table 2). E353K is shared between isolates from the current global outbreak and was shown not to affect tecovirimat

efficacy.¹⁵ In contrast, N267D and I372N were previously shown to provide resistance to tecovirimat and are, hence, likely responsible for the observed tecovirimat resistance.^{41,42} Notably, MPXV1^r TECO remained sensitive to both brincidofovir and nitroxoline (Figure 4B).

In contrast to MPXV1 cultivation in the presence of tecovirimat, MPXV1 cultivation in the presence of nitroxoline (5 μ M) did not result in reduced virus sensitivity to nitroxoline, tecovirimat, or brincidofovir, although the incubation time was increased to three passages (Figure 4E).

3.5 | Effects of nitroxoline on Escherichia coli, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, and herpes viruses

Next, we evaluated the activity of nitroxoline against bacterial (*E. coli* and *N. gonorrhoeae*) and viral (varicella zoster virus, herpes simplex virus type 1) pathogens that are commonly cotransmitted with mpox viruses.^{8,9,43}

14 E. coli patient isolates displayed nitroxoline sensitivity as indicated by disk diffusion (inhibition zones: 17–24 mm), agar dilution (maximum inhibitory concentrations (MICs): 4–8 μ g/ml corresponding to 21–42 μ M), and applying clinical breakpoints set by EUCAST (Supporting Information: Figure 3A, Supporting Information: Table 3). Susceptibility testing of *N. gonorrhoeae* revealed similar results (inhibition zones: 22–25 mm and MICs of 4–8 μ g/ml), indicating a susceptible phenotype (Supporting Information: Figure 3B, Supporting Information: Table 4).

In contrast to mpox virus infection, nitroxoline inhibited varicella zoster virus and herpes simplex virus type 1 infection only at a concentration of 20 μ M (Supporting Information: Figure 3C, Supporting Information: Figure 3D).

3.6 | Combination of nitroxoline with antiviral drugs

Antiviral combination therapies can result in increased efficacy and reduced resistance formation.⁴⁴ In agreement, brincidofovir and tecovirimat displayed increased antiviral activity when used

FIGURE 1 Effects of nitroxoline on mpox virus (MPXV) replication in primary human fibroblasts (HFF), keratinocytes (HFK), and a skin explant model. (A) Chemical structure of nitroxoline. (B) Representative photographs illustrating the concentration-dependent effects of nitroxoline on mpox virus isolate 1 (MPXV1, MOI 0.01) infection in HFF and HFK, as indicated by immunostaining. (C) Nitroxoline dose response curves in MPXV1 (MOI 0.01)-infected HFF, as indicated by immunostaining; IC50, concentration that inhibits mpox virus infection by 50% as indicated by immunostaining; CC50, concentration that reduces cell viability by 50% as indicated by MTT assay. (D) C) Nitroxoline dose response curves in MPXV1 (MOI 0.01)-infected HFK, as indicated by immunostaining; IC50, concentration that inhibits mpox virus infection by 50% as indicated by immunostaining; CC50, concentration that reduces cell viability by 50% as indicated by MTT assay. (D) C) Nitroxoline dose response curves in MPXV1 (MOI 0.01)-infected HFK, as indicated by immunostaining; IC50, concentration that reduces cell viability by 50% as indicated by MTT assay. (E, F) Concentration by 50% as indicated by immunostaining; CC50, concentration that reduces cell viability by 50% as indicated by MTT assay. (E, F) Concentration-dependent effects of nitroxoline on HFF and HFK infection with 12 mpox virus isolates, as indicated by immunostaining. The level of virus inhibition is depicted by the intensity of the purple colour. (G) Nitroxoline IC50s in HFF and HFK. (H) Effects of nitroxoline on MPXV1 infection in a skin explant model. Primary human skin tissue was infected with 10⁶ TCID50/ml of MPXV1 per well in 500 µL with or without nitroxoline treatment at 10 µM for 48 h. Then, the skin tissue was embedded into paraffin and sectioned. Virus infection was detected by immunohistochemical staining. Arrows indicate virus-infected cells.

7 of 13



FIGURE 2 Effects of nitroxoline analogues on mpox virus infection. (A) Chemical structures of the investigated nitroxoline analogues. (B) Dose-response curves indicating compound effects on mpox virus (MPXV1) infection as indicated by immunostaining of MOI 0.01-infected primary human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF) and MTT assay in mock-infected HFF.

in combination against different orthopoxviruses in preclinical model systems.^{45,46} In this context, nitroxoline displayed additive activity in combination with tecovirimat and synergistic activity in combination with brincidofovir against mpox virus infection, as determined by the method of Chou³⁴ (Figure 5).

4 | DISCUSSION

Nitroxoline is an FDA-approved antibiotic that has been used for more than 50 years for the treatment of acute and recurrent urinary tract infections. It is currently used as a first-line therapy for



FIGURE 3 Nitroxoline interferes with mpox virus-induced cellular signalling pathways. (A, B) Cations known to inhibit antibacterial effects of the chelator nitroxoline did not inhibit nitroxoline's antiviral activity as indicated by immunostaining in mpox virus isolate 1 (MPVX1) MOI 0.01-infected primary human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF, A) and did not affect cell viability in the presence of nitroxoline as indicated by MTT assay in mock-infected HFF (B). (C, D) Nitroxoline reduces AKT phosphorylation in a dose-dependent manner as indicated by Western blot. (E, F) Nitroxoline reduces Raf, MEK, and ERK phosphorylation in a dose-dependent manner as indicated by Western blot. (G, H) PI3K, PI3K/mTOR, and Raf inhibitors suppress mpox virus infection in a dose-dependent manner, as determined in MPVX1 MOI 0.01-infected HFF. Compound effects on cell viability were detected by MTT assay in mock-infected HFF.



FIGURE 4 Effects of nitroxoline and brincidofovir on a tecovirimat-adapted mpox virus strain. (A) Scheme of the one-round adaptation approach for the generation of a tecovirimat-resistant sub-strain (MPXV1^rTECO) by exposure of the mpox virus isolate 1 (MPXV1) to tecovirimat 4 µM. (B) Dose-dependent effects and IC50 values of tecovirimat, brincidofovir, and nitroxoline in primary human foreskin fibroblasts infected with MPXV1 or MPXV1'TECO at an MOI 0.01 as detected by immunostaining. (C) Amino acid sequence changes in F13L (the target of tecovirimat) from mpox viruses from the current global outbreak (MPXV 2022) including MPXV1 and MPXV1'TECO relative to preoutbreak sequences. N267D and I372N were previously shown to mediate tecovirimat resistance.^{41,42} (D) Location of (E) (Glu, glutamate) 353, N (Asn, asparagine) 267 and I (IIe, isoleucine) in the F13L structure. The change from N (Asn, asparagine) to D (Asp, aspartate) in position 267 results in the loss forms hydrogen bonds with E263. (E) Sensitivity of a MPXV1 substrain that was cultivated for three passages in the presence of nitroxoline (5 µM) to tecovirimat, brincidofovir, and nitroxoline as indicated by immunostaining 48 h postinfection with MOI 0.01.

uncomplicated urinary tract infections in Germany due to its excellent activity towards both Gram-negative bacteria and fungi as well as its favourable safety profile.^{21,47} In this study, nitroxoline effectively inhibited the replication of 12 mpox virus isolates from the current outbreak. The nitroxoline IC50s (0.5-4.6 µM) were within

the range of therapeutic plasma levels that have been reported to reach between 30 and 50 μ M.⁴⁷ Moreover, nitroxoline also suppressed mpox virus replication in a skin explant model. The investigation of nine nitroxoline analogues did not identify a compound with superior activity against mpox virus relative to nitroxoline.



FIGURE 5 Antiviral activity of nitroxoline in combination with tecovirimat and brincidofovir. (A) Dose-dependent effects of nitroxoline (N, 0. $16-20 \mu$ M), tecovirimat (T, 0.8–100 μ M), and their combination in primary human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF) infected with mpox virus isolate 1 (MOI 0.01) as indicated by immunostaining. (B) Representative immunostaining images illustrating the combined effects of nitroxoline (N) and tecovirimat (T). (C) Determination of the combination index (CI) of nitroxoline (N) and tecovirimat (T) following the method of Chou and Talalay.³⁴ (D) Dose-dependent effects of nitroxoline (N, 0.16–20 μ M), brincidofovir (B, 3.9–500 μ M), and their combination in HFF infected with mpox virus isolate 1 (MOI 0.01) as indicated by immunostaining. (E) Representative immunostaining images illustrating the combined effects of nitroxoline (N) and their combination in HFF infected with mpox virus isolate 1 (MOI 0.01) as indicated by immunostaining. (E) Representative immunostaining images illustrating the combined effects of nitroxoline (N) and brincidofovir (B) following the method of Chou.³⁴

Tecovirimat (F13L inhibitor) and brincidofovir (DNA polymerase inhibitor) are the antiviral drugs that are currently mainly considered for mpox treatment.^{2,3,15} There are concerns about the potential emergence of tecovirimat-resistant mpox virus strains,² and the formation of a tecovirimat-resistant vaccinia virus was described in an immunocompromised acute myeloid leukaemia patient after inoculation with the vaccinia virus-based ACAM2000 smallpox vaccine.⁴⁸

We established a tecovirimat-resistant mpox virus strain (MPXV1^rTECO), which harboured the known tecovirimat resistance mutations N267D and I372N, by adapting MPXV1 to tecovirimat in a one round selection step using a high tecovirimat concentration (4 μ M). This approach is similar to that previously described for the generation of a tecovirimat-resistant cowpox virus.⁴⁹ In contrast, another study reported the establishment of tecovirimat-resistant poxviruses by exposure to step-wise increasing drug concentrations

to be a lengthy process (6–18 months) that is not always successful.⁴¹ The reasons underlying these discrepancies remain unclear. It may be possible that the currently circulating mpox viruses harbour small tecovirimat-resistant subpopulations that become readily selected and enriched in response to tecovirimat treatment.

Notably, MPXV1^rTECO remained sensitive to nitroxoline (and brincidofovir). In contrast to tecovirimat, nitroxoline treatment of mpox virus using the same approach did not result in the formation of a nitroxoline-resistant strain. This agrees with evidence suggesting that the targeting of host cell factors by antiviral drugs is associated with reduced resistance formation compared to agents that directly target virus proteins.^{50,51}

Moreover, nitroxoline exerted additive antiviral effects in combination with tecovirimat and synergistic effects in combination with brincidofovir. Hence, its clinical anti-mpox virus activity in humans can be tested in combination with these antivirals without depriving study participants of these more established options. Additionally, nitroxoline combination therapies with increased activity may delay resistance formation by monkeypox virus.⁴⁴

Nitroxoline was previously reported to inhibit a genetically modified Japanese encephalitis virus strain in the hepatoma cell line Huh7,⁵² but information on its antiviral mechanisms of action is lacking. Nitroxoline exerts its antibacterial effects by chelating metal ions including Fe2⁺, Mn2⁺, and Mg2^{+,53} Although poxviruses depend on the availability of bivalent cations for effective replication,^{54,55} the antiviral activity of nitroxoline was not affected by the addition of metal ions. This shows that nitroxoline's antiviral and antibacterial mechanisms of action differ substantially.

Our further research demonstrated that nitroxoline inhibits mpox virus replication at least in part by interfering with the PI3K/AKT/ mTOR and Raf/MEK/ERK host cell signalling pathways that are critical for orthopoxvirus replication.²⁷⁻²⁹ Notably, the clinically approved Raf inhibitors sorafenib and regorafenib also suppressed mpox virus infection at nontoxic concentrations.

In agreement with previous findings,^{21,53,56} nitroxoline was also effective against *N. gonorrhoeae* and *E. coli*, two sexually transmitted bacteria that are commonly cotransmitted with mpox virus in the current outbreak.^{8,9} Moreover, nitroxoline inhibited infection caused by herpes simplex virus type 1 and varicella zoster virus, two herpes viruses that are often detected together with mpox virus,^{8,9,43} albeit at higher concentrations (>10 μ M) than those blocking mpox virus infection. These effects may also be caused by inhibition of PI3K/ AKT/mTOR and Raf/MEK/ERK signalling, as interference with these signalling pathways has also been described to affect herpes virus replication.^{57–61}

In conclusion, nitroxoline inhibited mpox viruses from the current global outbreak, including a tecovirimat-adapted strain, at therapeutically achievable concentrations. Moreover, it increased the activity of and can be used in combination with the two approved antipoxvirus drugs tecovirimat and brincidofovir. Nitroxoline is potentially also a readily available alternative to these antivirals, as the use of brincidofovir is associated with significant adverse effects and tecovirimat stocks are insufficient to cover the current outbreak.^{2,18-20} Finally, nitroxoline is also effective against pathogens that are co-transmitted with mpox virus in the current outbreak, such as sexually transmitted bacterial and viral illnesses.^{8,9} Thus, nitroxoline is a repurposing candidate for the treatment of mpox virus that may also have potential for the treatment of neglected mpox disease in endemic areas in Africa and for the control and ideally prevention of future global outbreaks.⁶²

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualisation: Denisa Bojkova, Martin Michaelis, Jindrich Cinatl jr. Methodology: Denisa Bojkova, Victor M. CormanM., Mark N. Wass, Stefan Kippenberger, Stephan Göttig, Martin Michaelis, Jindrich Cinatl jr. Formal analysis: All authors. Investigation: Denisa Bojkova, Nadja Zöller, Manuela Tietgen, Katja Steinhorst, Marco Bechtel, Tamara Rothenburger, Joshua D. Kandler, Julia Schneider, Victor M. CormanM., Mark N. Wass, Stefan Kippenberger, Stephan Göttig, MEDICAL VIROLOGY -V

Jindrich Cinatl jr. *Resources*: Denisa Bojkova, Victor M. CormanM., Sandra Ciesek, Holger F. Rabenau, Mark N. Wass, Stefan Kippenberger, Stephan Göttig, Martin Michaelis, Jindrich Cinatl jr. *Data curation*: All authors. *Writing–Original Draft*: Martin Michaelis, Jindrich Cinatl jr. *Writing–Review and Editing*: All authors. *Visualization*: All authors. *Supervision*: Denisa Bojkova, Victor M. CormanM., Mark N. Wass, Stefan Kippenberger, Stephan Göttig, Martin Michaelis, Jindrich Cinatl jr. *Project administration*: Denisa Bojkova, Martin Michaelis, Jindrich Cinatl jr. *Funding acquisition*: Denisa Bojkova, Victor M. CormanM., Sandra Ciesek, Holger F. Rabenau, Mark N. Wass, Stefan Kippenberger, Stephan Göttig, Martin Michaelis, Jindrich Cinatl jr.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Kerstin Euler, Sebastian Grothe, Daniel Janisch and Lena Stegmann for their technical support. This work was supported by the Frankfurter Stiftung für krebskranke Kinder.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The sequencing data for the tecovirimat-resistant MPXV1 strain (MPXV1rTECO) are openly available in GISAID at https://gisaid.org, reference number EPI_ISL_16847487. All other data that are not provided in the manuscript and the related supplements are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Primary human cells and skin were used following the Declaration of Helsinki principles and in agreement with the institutional review board (112/06; 386/14).

ORCID

Martin Michaelis D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5710-5888

REFERENCES

- Elsayed S, Bondy L, Hanage WP. Monkeypox virus infections in humans. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2022;35:e0009222. doi:10.1128/cmr. 00092-22
- Gessain A, Nakoune E, Yazdanpanah Y. Monkeypox. N Engl J Med. 2022;387:1783-1793. doi:10.1056/NEJMra2208860
- Huang Y, Mu L, Wang W. Monkeypox: epidemiology, pathogenesis, treatment and prevention. *Signal Transduct Target Ther*. 2022;7(1): 373. doi:10.1038/s41392-022-01215-4
- Mitjà O, Ogoina D, Titanji BK, et al. Monkeypox. Lancet. 2023; 401(22):60-74. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(22)02075-X
- Rabaan AA, Abas AH, Tallei TE, et al. Monkeypox outbreak 2022: what we know so far and its potential drug targets and management strategies. J Med Virol. 2023;95(1):e28306. doi:10.1002/jmv.28306
- CDC. Monkeypox Outbreak Global Map. 2022. Accessed September 8, 2022. https://www.cdc.gov/poxvirus/monkeypox/ response/2022/world-map.html
- Fink DL, Callaby H, Luintel A, et al. Clinical features and management of individuals admitted to hospital with monkeypox and associated complications across the UK: a retrospective cohort

study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2022;S1473-3099(22):00806-4. doi:10. 1016/S1473-3099(22)00806-4

- Girometti N, Byrne R, Bracchi M, et al. Demographic and clinical characteristics of confirmed human monkeypox virus cases in individuals attending a sexual health centre in London, UK: an observational analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2022;22(9):1321-1328. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00411-X
- Patel A, Bilinska J, Tam JCH, et al. Clinical features and novel presentations of human monkeypox in a central London centre during the 2022 outbreak: descriptive case series. *BMJ*. 2022; 378:e072410. doi:10.1136/bmj-2022-072410
- Thornhill JP, Barkati S, Walmsley S, et al. SHARE-net Clinical Group Monkeypox virus infection in humans across 16 countries—April-June 2022. N Engl J Med. 2022;387(8):679-691. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa2207323
- Qiu ZY, Tao YH, Zheng SL, et al. How to recognize and respond to monkeypox 2022 outbreak in non-endemic countries: a narrative review. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2022;26(22):8620-8630. doi:10. 26355/eurrev_202211_30399
- Singh S, Kumar R, Singh SK. All that we need to know about the current and past outbreaks of monkeypox: a narrative review. *Cureus*. 2022;14(11):e31109. doi:10.7759/cureus.31109
- Hoffmann C, Jessen H, Wyen C, et al. Clinical characteristics of monkeypox virus infections among men with and without HIV: a large outbreak cohort in Germany. *HIV Med.* 2022. doi:10.1111/hiv. 13378
- Iñigo Martínez J, Gil Montalbán E, Jiménez Bueno S, et al. Monkeypox outbreak predominantly affecting men who have sex with men, Madrid, Spain, 26 April to 16 June 2022. Euro Surveill. 2022;27(27):2200471. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2022. 27.27.2200471
- Bojkova D, Bechtel M, Rothenburger T, et al. Drug sensitivity of currently circulating mpox viruses. N Engl J Med. 2023;388(3): 279-281. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2212136
- Frenois-Veyrat G, Gallardo F, Gorgé O, et al. Tecovirimat is effective against human monkeypox virus in vitro at nanomolar concentrations. Nat Microbiol. 2022;7:1951-1955. doi:10.1038/s41564-022-01269-8
- Warner BM, Klassen L, Sloan A, et al. In vitro and in vivo efficacy of tecovirimat against a recently emerged 2022 monkeypox virus isolate. *Sci Transl Med.* 2022;14:eade7646. doi:10.1126/ scitranslmed.ade7646
- Adler H, Gould S, Hine P, et al. Clinical features and management of human monkeypox: a retrospective observational study in the UK. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2022;22(8):1153-1162. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00228-6
- Johri N, Kumar D, Nagar P, Maurya A, Vengat M, Jain P. Clinical manifestations of human monkeypox infection and implications for outbreak strategy. *Health Sci Revi.* 2022;5:100055. doi:10.1016/j. hsr.2022.100055
- Pfäfflin F, Wendisch D, Scherer R, et al. Monkeypox in-patients with severe anal pain. *Infection*. 2022. doi:10.1007/s15010-022-01896-7
- Naber KG, Niggemann H, Stein G, Stein G. Review of the literature and individual patients' data meta-analysis on efficacy and tolerance of nitroxoline in the treatment of uncomplicated urinary tract infections. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2014;14:628. doi:10.1186/s12879-014-0628-7
- Dobrindt U, Wami HT, Schmidt-Wieland T, Bertsch D, Oberdorfer K, Hof H. Compared with cotrimoxazole nitroxoline seems to be a better option for the treatment and prophylaxis of urinary tract infections caused by multidrug-resistant uropathogens: an in vitro study. *Antibiotics*. 2021;10(6):645. doi:10.3390/ antibiotics10060645

- Wykowski R, Fuentefria AM, de Andrade SF. Antimicrobial activity of clioquinol and nitroxoline: a scoping review. Arch Microbiol. 2022;204(8):535. doi:10.1007/s00203-022-03122-2
- Chang WL, Hsu LC, Leu WJ, Chen CS, Guh JH. Repurposing of nitroxoline as a potential anticancer agent against human prostate cancer: a crucial role on AMPK/mTOR signaling pathway and the interplay with Chk2 activation. *Oncotarget*. 2015;6(37): 39806-39820. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.5655
- Xu N, Huang L, Li X, et al. The novel combination of nitroxoline and PD-1 blockade, exerts a potent antitumor effect in a mouse model of prostate cancer. *Int J Biol Sci.* 2019;Mar 9 15(5):919-928. doi:10. 7150/ijbs.32259
- Palicelli A, Croci S, Bisagni A, et al. What do we have to know about PD-L1 expression in prostate cancer? A systematic literature review. part 4: experimental treatments in Pre-Clinical studies (Cell Lines and Mouse Models). *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021;22(22):12297. doi:10.3390/ ijms222212297
- Kindrachuk J, Arsenault R, Kusalik A, et al. Systems kinomics demonstrates Congo basin monkeypox virus infection selectively modulates host cell signaling responses as compared to West African monkeypox virus. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2012; 11(6):M111.015701. doi:10.1074/mcp.M111.015701
- Beerli C, Yakimovich A, Kilcher S, et al. Vaccinia virus hijacks EGFR signalling to enhance virus spread through rapid and directed infected cell motility. *Nat Microbiol.* 2019;4(2):216-225. doi:10. 1038/s41564-018-0288-2
- Peng C, Zhou Y, Cao S, et al. Identification of vaccinia virus inhibitors and cellular functions necessary for efficient viral replication by screening bioactives and FDA-Approved drugs. *Vaccines*. 2020; 8(3):401. doi:10.3390/vaccines8030401
- Zöller N, Valesky E, Butting M, et al. Clinical application of a tissuecultured skin autograft: an alternative for the treatment of nonhealing or slowly healing wounds. *Dermatology*. 2014;229(3): 190-198. doi:10.1159/000362927
- Wilhelm R, Eckes T, Imre G, et al. C6 ceramide (d18:1/6:0) as a novel treatment of cutaneous T cell lymphoma. *Cancers*. 2021;13(2):270. doi:10.3390/cancers13020270
- Li Y, Zhao H, Wilkins K, Hughes C, Damon IK. Real-time PCR assays for the specific detection of monkeypox virus West African and Congo Basin strain DNA. J Virol Methods. 2010;169(1):223-227. doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2010.07.012
- Tajpara P, Mildner M, Schmidt R, et al. A preclinical model for studying herpes simplex virus infection. J Invest Dermatol. 2019;139(3):673-682. doi:10.1016/j.jid.2018.08.034
- Chou TC. Theoretical basis, experimental design, and computerized simulation of synergism and antagonism in drug combination studies. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2006;58(3):621-681. doi:10.1124/pr.58.3.10
- Schmidt-Chanasit J, Bleymehl K, Rabenau HF, Ulrich RG, Cinatl J, Jr., Doerr HW. In vitro replication of varicella-zoster virus in human retinal pigment epithelial cells. J Clin Microbiol. 2008;46(6): 2122-2124. doi:10.1128/JCM.00122-08
- Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJE. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nat Protoc.* 2015;10(6):845-858. doi:10.1038/nprot.2015.053
- Hendriks A, Cruz AR, Soldaini E, Manetti AGO, Bagnoli F. Human organotypic models for anti-infective research. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.* 2021;430:77-99. doi:10.1007/82_2018_130
- Stagles MJ, Watson AA, Boyd JF, More IAR, McSeveney D. The histopathology and electron microscopy of a human monkeypox lesion. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 1985;79(2):192-202. doi:10.1016/ 0035-9203(85)90333-5
- Reed KD, Melski JW, Graham MB, et al. The detection of monkeypox in humans in the Western hemisphere. N Engl J Med. 2004;350(4):342-350. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa032299

- Repac Antić D, Parčina M, Gobin I, Petković Didović M. Chelation in antibacterial drugs: from nitroxoline to cefiderocol and beyond. *Antibiotics*. 2022;11(8):1105. doi:10.3390/antibiotics11081105
- Duraffour S, Lorenzo MM, Zöller G, et al. ST-246 is a key antiviral to inhibit the viral F13L phospholipase, one of the essential proteins for orthopoxvirus wrapping. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2015;70(5): 1367-1380. doi:10.1093/jac/dku545
- Drug FDA. Approval package: TPOXX (tecovirimat). 2022. https:// www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2018/208627Orig 1s000TOC.cfm
- Hughes CM, Liu L, Davidson WB, et al. A tale of two viruses: coinfections of monkeypox and varicella zoster virus in the democratic republic of Congo. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2021;104(2): 604-611. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.20-0589
- White JM, Schiffer JT, Bender Ignacio RA, et al. Drug combinations as a first line of defense against coronaviruses and other emerging viruses. *mBio*. 2021;12(6):e0334721. doi:10.1128/mbio.03347-21
- Quenelle DC, Prichard MN, Keith KA, et al. Synergistic efficacy of the combination of ST-246 with CMX001 against orthopoxviruses. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2007;51(11):4118-4124. doi:10. 1128/AAC.00762-07
- Chen N, Bellone CJ, Schriewer J, et al. Poxvirus interleukin-4 expression overcomes inherent resistance and vaccine-induced immunity: pathogenesis, prophylaxis, and antiviral therapy. Virology. 2011;409(2):328-337. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2010.10.021
- Wijma RA, Huttner A, Koch BCP, Mouton JW, Muller AE. Review of the pharmacokinetic properties of nitrofurantoin and nitroxoline. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2018;73(11):2916-2926. doi:10.1093/jac/ dky255
- Lederman ER, Davidson W, Groff HL, et al. Progressive vaccinia: case description and laboratory-guided therapy with vaccinia immune globulin, ST-246, and CMX001. J Infect Dis. 2012;206(9): 1372-1385. doi:10.1093/infdis/jis510
- Yang G, Pevear DC, Davies MH, et al. An orally bioavailable antipoxvirus compound (ST-246) inhibits extracellular virus formation and protects mice from lethal orthopoxvirus challenge. J Virol. 2005;79(20):13139-13149. doi:10.1128/JVI. 79.20.13139-13149.2005
- De Clercq E. Strategies in the design of antiviral drugs. Nat Rev Drug Discovery. 2002;1(1):13-25. doi:10.1038/nrd703
- Zheng Y, Li S, Song K, et al. A broad antiviral strategy: inhibitors of human DHODH pave the way for Host-Targeting antivirals against emerging and Re-Emerging viruses. *Viruses*. 2022;14(5):928. doi:10. 3390/v14050928
- Zhang ZR, Zhang HQ, Li XD, et al. Generation and characterization of Japanese encephalitis virus expressing GFP reporter gene for high throughput drug screening. *Antiviral Res.* 2020;Oct 182:104884. doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2020.104884
- Pelletier C, Prognon P, Bourlioux P. Roles of divalent cations and pH in mechanism of action of nitroxoline against *Escherichia coli* strains.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1995;39(3):707-713. doi:10.1128/ AAC.39.3.707

- Li H, Hwang Y, Perry K, Bushman F, Van Duyne GD. Structure and metal binding properties of a poxvirus resolvase. J Biol Chem. 2016;291(21):11094-11104. doi:10.1074/jbc.M115.709139
- Xu J, Lee JM, Tatsuke T, et al. Expression and purification of vaccinia virus DNA topoisomerase IB produced in the Silkworm-Baculovirus expression system. *Mol Biotechnol.* 2019;61(8):622-630. doi:10. 1007/s12033-019-00184-4
- Fuchs F, Wille J, Hamprecht A, et al. In vitro activity of mecillinam and nitroxoline against neisseria gonorrhoeae—re-purposing old antibiotics in the multi-drug resistance era. J Med Microbiol. 2019;68(7):991-995. doi:10.1099/jmm.0.001014
- Rahaus M, Desloges N, Wolff MH. Varicella-zoster virus requires a functional PI3K/Akt/GSK-3α/β signaling cascade for efficient replication. *Cellular Signalling*. 2007;19(2):312-320. doi:10.1016/j. cellsig.2006.07.003
- Tiwari V, Shukla D. Phosphoinositide 3 kinase signalling may affect multiple steps during herpes simplex virus type-1 entry. J Gen Virol. 2010;Dec 91(Pt 12):3002-3009. doi:10.1099/vir.0. 024166-0
- Seo GJ, Yang A, Tan B, et al. Akt Kinase-Mediated checkpoint of cGAS DNA sensing pathway. *Cell Rep.* 2015;13(2):440-449. doi:10. 1016/j.celrep.2015.09.007
- Lesch M, Luckner M, Meyer M, et al. RNAi-based small molecule repositioning reveals clinically approved urea-based kinase inhibitors as broadly active antivirals. *PLoS Pathog.* 2019;Mar 18 15(3):e1007601. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1007601
- Madavaraju K, Yadavalli T, Singh SK, Qatanani F, Shukla D. Prophylactic treatment with BX795 blocks activation of AKT and its downstream targets to protect vaginal keratinocytes and vaginal epithelium from HSV-2 infection. *Antiviral Res.* 2021;194:105145. doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2021.105145
- Alakunle EF, Okeke MI. Monkeypox virus: a neglected zoonotic pathogen spreads globally. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2022;20(9):507-508. doi:10.1038/s41579-022-00776-z

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Bojkova D, Zöller N, Tietgen M, et al. Repurposing of the antibiotic nitroxoline for the treatment of mpox. J Med Virol. 2023;95:e28652. doi:10.1002/jmv.28652