

Kent Academic Repository

Marques, Mathew D, Sibley, Chris G, Wilson, Mark S, Bulbulia, Joseph, Osborne, Danny, Yogeeswaran, Kumar, Lee, Carol H S, Duck, Isabelle M, Douglas, Karen and Cichocka, Aleksandra (2022) *Psychological predictors of COVID-19 vaccination in New Zealand*. New Zealand Journal of Psychology, 51 (1). pp. 10-27. ISSN 0112-109X.

Downloaded from <u>https://kar.kent.ac.uk/100480/</u> The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

The version of record is available from https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/zkj7u

This document version Publisher pdf

DOI for this version

Licence for this version CC BY (Attribution)

Additional information

Versions of research works

Versions of Record

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

Author Accepted Manuscripts

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title of Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

Enquiries

If you have questions about this document contact <u>ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk</u>. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our <u>Take Down policy</u> (available from <u>https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies</u>).

Psychological predictors of COVID-19 vaccination in New Zealand

Mathew D. Marques¹, Chris G. Sibley², Marc S. Wilson³, Joseph Bulbulia³, Danny Osborne², Kumar Yogeeswaran⁴, Carol H.J. Lee², Isabelle M. Duck, Karen M. Douglas⁵, and Aleksandra Cichocka⁵

¹ Psychology and Public Health, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia
 ² Psychology, The University of Auckland, New Zealand
 ³ Psychology, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand
 ⁴ Psychology, Speech and Hearing, University of Canterbury, New Zealand
 ⁵ Psychology, University of Kent, United Kingdom

Is it possible to predict COVID-19 vaccination status prior to the existence and availability of COVID-19 vaccines? Here, we present a logistic model by regressing decisions to vaccinate in late 2021 on lagged sociodemographic, health, social, and political indicators from 2019 in a sample of New Zealand adults aged between 18 and 94 ($M_{age} = 52.92$, SD = 14.10; 62.21% women; N = 5324). We explain 31% of the variance in decision making across New Zealand. Significant predictors of being unvaccinated were being younger, more deprived, reporting less satisfaction with general practitioners, lower levels of neuroticism, greater levels of subjective health and meaning in life, higher distrust in science and in the police, lower satisfaction in the government, as well as political conservatism. Additional cross-sectional models specified using the same, and additional COVID-19-specific factors are also presented. These findings reveal that vaccination decisions are neither artefacts of context nor chance, but rather can be predicted in advance of the availability of vaccines.

Keywords: COVID-19, vaccination, Aotearoa New Zealand

Introduction

At the time of writing in December 2021, Aotearoa New Zealand had just transitioned to a 'traffic light' system for managing COVID-19 in which freedoms were introduced for vaccinated New Zealanders. This system was part of the COVID-19 protection framework, and involved three settings (red, orange, green) that communicated the settings and restrictions for individuals and businesses in the community with the aim of protecting the healthcare system and populations most at risk (New Zealand Government, 2021). The traffic light system was preceded by a significant and ongoing vaccination campaign for the last three or four months that increased the proportion of the eligible population who were fully vaccinated (two doses) to around 90% (Frost, 2021). This period also saw growing public debate regarding the vaccine, with a visible and vocal minority who expressed strong opposition for reasons including feeling coerced to take an unsafe and untested vaccine due to government mandates (Menon & Awasthi, 2021).

Our research group led the New Zealand Attitudes and Values Study (NZAVS). The NZAVS is a large-scale longitudinal panel study of attitudes and values, the most recent wave of which includes the question, 'Have you been vaccinated for COVID-19?' This question was designed and finalised for use in the questionnaire before the Delta variant was detected in New Zealand, and data collection for the current wave began following our standard annual schedule on October 1st 2021 while the country was in lockdown after Delta had been detected and during the vaccine rollout. There has been considerable research on the psychological factors that predict COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy (e.g., Gerretsen et al., 2021; Murphy et al., 2021), but little research has been done in the New Zealand context. Specifically, how much of what seems like a serious cleavage in the population reflects differences in ethnicity, trust in science and/or institutions, differences in political orientation, differences in education, income, poverty and wellbeing, differences in personality, and so forth?

In this paper, we provide a rapid turn around of selfreport questionnaire data collected during the first (onlineonly) phase of the NZAVS data collection for the 2021/2022 wave to provide New Zealand-specific data on the psychological factors that correlate with, and might also predict, vaccine acceptance versus vaccine hesitancy (that is, delayed acceptance or refusal to vaccinate despite the availability of services; Dubé et al., 2014). Frameworks to understand antecedents of vaccination acceptance and uptake exist, such as the 5C model (Betsch et al., 2018) and the Behavioural and Social Drivers (BeSD) of Vaccination framework (Shapiro et al., 2021) adapted from the Increasing Vaccination Model (Brewer et al., 2017).

The 5C model (Betsch et al., 2018) proposes five psychological antecedents of vaccine acceptance: confidence (i.e., trust in the safety of vaccines and the system that delivers them), constraints (i.e., structural and psychological barriers), complacency (i.e., not perceiving diseases as high risk), calculation (i.e., engagement with information searching) and collective responsibility (i.e., willingness to protect others). A recent cross-sectional study of eight nations during late 2020 to early 2021 that organised predictors consistent with the 5C model found COVID-19 vaccine acceptance was significantly associated with lack of confidence (e.g., distrust in authorities and scientists, and conspiratorial thinking), constraints (e.g., compliance with recommendations from health authorities), complacency (e.g., younger age, and lack of concern about COVID-19), as well as collective responsibility (e.g., support for restrictions; Lindholt et al., 2021).

The BeSD framework (Shapiro et al., 2021) proposes four domains that lead to the uptake of recommended vaccines: thinking and feeling (i.e., cognitive and emotional responses to vaccines and vaccine-preventable diseases), social processes (i.e., social norms about vaccination), motivation (i.e., intention, willingness, and hesitancy to get vaccinated), and practical issues (i.e., personal experiences related to getting vaccinated including barriers). A main point of distinction from the 5C model is that it proposes that vaccination intention and hesitancy are motivational states that lead to vaccination acceptance or uptake, but can be impeded or facilitated by practical issues. As our expertise lies in understanding human behaviour, our goal here is not to argue whether people should or should not receive the vaccination, but to simply present, as objectively as we can, our findings on the psychological and demographic factors that predict vaccination status circa October-November 2021 in New Zealand.

The New Zealand Immunisation Schedule provides a series of publicly funded vaccines for babies, children, adolescents, and adults (Ministry of Health, 2021b). At present, the COVID-19 vaccine is not part of this schedule but is freely available to anyone in New Zealand aged 12 and over. Prior to the availability of COVID-19 vaccines in New Zealand in mid-2020, 74% of the adults aged 18 and over indicated a willingness to get vaccinated (Thaker & Menon, 2020). Shortly after the staggered start of New Zealand's Immunisation Programme in early 2021 (which prioritised people based on age and health), a nationwide survey indicated willingness to vaccinate against COVID-19 was hovering at similar levels (71%; Prickett et al., 2021). At the time of writing, levels of first-dose vaccination were approaching 94% of the eligible population (Ministry of Health, 2021a). Thus, a significant proportion of those who were hesitant towards a then-hypothetical vaccine have now accepted vaccination, while up to 6% are actively or passively vaccine hesitant.

Although it is encouraging to see the translation from intention to vaccination, vaccination uptake is not uniform, with diversity in coverage and uptake across district health boards. Further, while there is a small and growing literature examining general vaccination attitudes in Aotearoa (see for example, Lee et al., 2017; Lee & Sibley, 2020a, 2020b) there is limited research examining COVID-specific vaccination intention, let alone vaccination status, beyond general sociodemographic factors such as age, gender, education, and ethnicity (Thaker & Floyd, 2021). Therefore, we examined prospective and concurrent factors of COVID-19 vaccination status in this paper using the NZAVS survey.

Sociodemographic and health-related factors.

Sociodemographic factors that include practical issues or constraints are associated with hesitancy towards childhood vaccination in Aotearoa New Zealand. One NZAVS-based study indicated that attitudes towards vaccination were associated with perceptions of general practitioners (GP), and that this varied depending on ethnic background (Lee & Sibley, 2020a). Among both Pākehā and Māori, weaker vaccination intentions were associated with poorer access to healthcare and decreased satisfaction with GPs. Less formal education among Pākehā and religiosity among Māori were also associated with lower perceptions of vaccine safety. For Pacific peoples, not having a partner and being religious were associated with lower endorsement of vaccine safety. For Asian peoples, being older, female, less educated, and perceiving lesser GP cultural respect were associated with lower vaccine safety agreement.

Prior analysis of past NZAVS data implicates several other background factors or social processes, as well as non-sociodemographic factors other including complacency, in reduced vaccine confidence and intention. As well as lower educational attainment, living rurally, being in a relationship, being unemployed, and being a parent was positively associated with lower confidence in childhood vaccinations among adults (Lee et al., 2017). This analysis also reported that higher subjective health satisfaction was associated with lower vaccine confidence, given that greater engagement with preventative health behaviours tends to be associated with increased optimism about future health problems (Ingledew & Brunning, 1999). Indeed, an individual's perception of the important factors that govern their health or illness, known as health locus of control, not only predicts general health-supporting behaviours (e.g., Norman et al., 1998; Zindler-Wernet et al., 1987) but also plays a role in adult attitudes to childhood vaccination (e.g., Amit Aharon et al., 2018). A recent study found that health locus of control mediated the negative association between religiosity and COVID-19 vaccination intention (Olagoke et al., 2021). Finally, we examined hours spent on the internet, social media, and news to reflect engagement with information searching (vaccine calculation).

Psychological factors

To date, various psychological factors consistent with the BeSD of thinking/feeling and social processes (Shapiro et al., 2021) have been found to correlate with vaccine acceptance/hesitancy. For example, weaker identification with one's local community was associated with decreased willingness to get vaccinated against COVID-19 (Marinthe et al., 2020; Wakefield & Khauser, 2021). While some suggest that subjective wellbeing (e.g., meaning in life and satisfaction with life) should be positively associated with vaccination intention given its association with health preventative behaviours (e.g., Mulkana & Hailey, 2001), findings are mixed; some studies have shown such a relationship (e.g., Bilge et al., 2021; Kilic et al., 2021), while others have indicated inconsistent or non-significant findings (e.g., Bock et al., 2017; Debus & Tosun, 2021). In New Zealand, individuals lower Conscientiousness on and Agreeableness, but higher on Openness to Experience, expressed greater hesitancy about childhood vaccinations (Lee et al., 2017). An examination of the psychological roots of anti-vaccination attitudes across 24 nations found that there was a small to moderate association with selfreported feelings of disgust in New Zealand (Hornsey et al., 2018). Taken together, the literature indicates there are likely to be several psychological factors that span identification, subjective wellbeing, and individual difference factors including personality that distinguish those who are hesitant to a COVID-19 vaccine from those who are not.

Trust and political factors

The rapid development of a COVID-19 vaccine in under a vear is a remarkable scientific achievement, as vaccine development is typically measured in decades (Graham, 2020). In addition to this scientific effort is the testing, regulation, and supply of vaccines involving pharmaceutical companies, health agencies, and governments. Concerns around perceptions of rushed development and emergency use authorisation mechanisms to fast-track the vaccine may have led some individuals to distrust politicians and governments (Limave et al., 2021), and fuelled conspiracy theories around the science and involvement of pharmaceutical and government authorities. Research has shown that unwillingness to receive a COVID-19 vaccine was associated with decreased trust in science (Agley et al., 2021), decreased trust in medical and scientific experts and greater self-reported conservative ideology (Kerr et al., 2021). In addition, greater belief in both general (Hughes & Machan, 2021) and specific (Hornsey et al., 2021) COVID-19 conspiracy theories, were associated with unwillingness to receive a COVID-19 vaccine. These and additional trust factors seem relevant to New Zealand during the COVID-19 pandemic, as trust in police, politicians, and satisfaction with the government increased post-lockdown in early 2020 (Sibley et al., 2020). This highlights the importance of institutional trust and political factors in how people respond to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, including attitudes toward vaccination, that are consistent with vaccine confidence (5C model; Betsch et al., 2018) and what people think and feel about vaccines and vaccine preventable diseases (BeSD model; Shapiro et al., 2021).

This research.

The present research explored the effects of sociodemographic, health, social, and political predictors prospectively from 2019 on current 2021 (October-November) self-reported vaccination status. Furthermore, we will examine the associations between these predictors concurrently using 2021 responses, with a final model including additional COVID-19-specific predictors. To these ends, we present a series of three logistic regression models each predicting vaccination status. Because the NZAVS is a longitudinal panel study that surveys the same people each year, we have data on people's attitudes and personality in the past, not just at the current point in

time. Our first model uses people's scores from two years ago (their support for the government, their personality scores, their attitudes, the socioeconomic status deprivation of their neighbourhood) to predict their vaccination status now, two years after those measures were collected. This model is useful because it provides insight into the factors that predicted people's vaccination behaviour years into the future, well before the vaccination was even available, and before any furore about COVID-19 vaccination started. In this sense, specific factors in the model that predict future vaccination behaviour may be thought of as prospective predictors that help to forecast future vaccination behaviour. A notable advantage of this approach is that pre-COVID-19 indicators in the lagged model cannot be due to COVID-19. As such, this approach avoids what is known as post-treatment confounding, or conditioning on the effect of an exposure, which is known to bias inference (King, 2010)

The second model directly replicates the first, using identical measures from the same survey and also assesses vaccination status. This model provides information on the unique association (or correlation) between different psychological and demographic factors and vaccination status at the same point in time. However, it would be a mistake to assume that a correlation between government satisfaction and vaccination status at the same point in time implies that low satisfaction predicted hesitancy because people who are vaccine hesitant may have become less satisfied with the government-a government that has begun implementing vaccine mandates-over time. The third model extends upon this second model to also include additional attitude measures included at the same point in time that were relevant specifically to COVID-19 (and, hence, were not included two years previously).

METHODS Participants and Procedure

The NZAVS is a longitudinal panel study of health outcomes, personality, and social attitudes that uses a national probability sample of New Zealand adults. The University of Auckland Human Participants Ethics Committee approved all procedures, and participants gave informed consent. The present study uses data for participants who participated at both Time 11 (2019, prior to the emergence of COVID-19) and Time 13 (October-November, 2021). We focus on data from the 5,324 participants aged between 18 and 94 ($M_{age} = 52.92$, SD = 14.10; 62.21% women) who provided responses to our variables of interest as part of the larger omnibus survey. Additional details about the sample, procedure, and retention of participants are available on the NZAVS website (Sibley, 2021).

Materials

All variables and descriptive statistics are presented in Table 1.

Sociodemographic factors: We assessed participants' age, gender education level, decile-ranked level of deprivation (Atkinson et al., 2013), ethnicity, employment status, parental status, partner status, and identification with religion (Hoverd & Sibley, 2010). Participants were

also asked to report weekly hours spent using the internet, watching or reading the news, and on social media (Sibley et al., 2011).

Health-related factors: Participants were asked whether they had a GP, to report their level of access to health care when needed (Lee & Sibley, 2017), their level of satisfaction with their family doctor/GP, cultural respect of GP, and cultural similarity of GP (Lee & Sibley, 2020a). They also responded to items from the short-form subjective health scale (Ware & Sherbourne, 1992). At Time 13, participants also reported their health locus of control (Wallston et al., 1978).

Psychological factors: Measures included sense of community (Sengupta et al., 2013), felt belongingness (Hagerty & Patusky, 1995), meaning in life (Steger et al., 2006), and life satisfaction (Diener et al., 1985). Measures assessing the International Personality Item Pool factors of extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, openness to experience, and honesty-humility were assessed using the Mini-IPIP6 (Sibley et al., 2011). At Time 13, participants also reported their disgust sensitivity (Olatunji et al., 2007)

Trust and political attitudes: Participants reported their level of trust in science (Hartman et al., 2017; Nisbet et al., 2015), trust in the police (Tyler, 2005), and in politicians (Sibley et al., 2020). They also reported their political orientation (Jost, 2006), political identity centrality (Satherley et al., 2020), level of political efficacy (Paulhus & Van Selst, 1990), and satisfaction with the New Zealand government (Tiliouine et al., 2006). At Time 13, participants also reported belief in conspiracy theories (Lantian et al., 2016), and trust in the New Zealand government to make sensible decisions about how to best manage COVID-19.

COVID-19 scepticism: Two additional items relating to COVID-19 scepticism were used only at Time 13: the belief that the health risks associated with COVID-19 were exaggerated, and that COVID-19 was created in a laboratory.

Statistical Analyses

Data were analysed using Mplus version 8.7 (Muthén & Muthén, 2017). We conducted several logistic regressions with maximum likelihood estimation predicting self-reported non-vaccination status at Time 13 both prospectively and concurrently from Time 11 and Time 13, respectively. In Study 1a, we regressed non-vaccination status on demographic, health, social, and political variables from Time 11. In Study 1b, we regressed non-vaccination status on demographic, health, social, and political variables from Time 13, with the final model including additional COVID-19-specific variables only available at Time 13.

We applied a stringent alpha level (p < .01), and models report unstandardised effects with frequentist 99% Confidence Intervals. This stringent alpha level has the advantage of reducing the risk of Type I error (false positive), while the large sample size means that the risk of Type II error (false negative) is low for any nontrivial effect size even with a lowered alpha level. A sensitivity analysis delivered 99% power to detect a small effect size $f^2 > .012$ in the sample specifying a multiple regression with 43 predictors. Our results are interpreted using effect size conventions (Cohen, 1992). Missing data for exogenous variables were estimated using Rubin's (1978) procedure for multiple imputation with parameter estimates averaged over 100 datasets (thinned using every 200th iteration). Syntax for all analyses is available on the Open Science Framework <u>https://osf.io/75snb/</u>.

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics for all variables of interest for Study 1a and 1b are presented in Table 1 (see Supplementary Tables S1 and S2 for zero-order correlations between all predictors for Study 1a and 1b, respectively). Of the participants who completed the survey in 2019 and before November 2021 (n = 5324), 93.9% reported being vaccinated for COVID-19 (n =4997) with the remaining 6.1% indicating they had not (n =327). At this stage in New Zealand's vaccine rollout, roughly 75% of the eligible population had received both doses of the COVID-19 vaccine (Radio New Zealand, 2021a).

Study 1a – predicting 2021 unvaccinated status from 2019 social, health, and political predictors

Results from Model 1 revealed that being younger (odds ratio 0.98 [99% CI 0.97-1.00]) and more deprived (1.08 [1.02-1.15]) were significantly associated with being unvaccinated for COVID-19 approximately 2 years later. Of the personality traits assessed, only lower levels of neuroticism (0.84 [0.71-0.98]) were significantly associated with the odds of being unvaccinated. Indicators of health and wellbeing also predicted vaccination status, as being less satisfied with one's GP (0.87 [0.76-0.99]), and both higher levels of subjective health (1.18 [1.01-1.38]) and meaning in life (1.21 [1.00-1.46]) were associated with the odds of being unvaccinated. Finally, being more politically conservative (1.19 [1.04–1.36]), less satisfied with government (0.90 [0.84-0.96]), having lower trust in the police (0.80 [0.70-0.92]) and in science (0.65 [0.57-0.74]) were significantly associated with being unvaccinated. Overall, this model explained 30.9% of the variance in vaccination status.

Study 1b – associations between 2021 unvaccinated status and social, health, and political predictors

Results from Model 2 showed that once more, being vounger (odds ratio 0.98 [99% CI 0.96-1.00]) and more deprived (1.08 [1.01-1.15]) were significantly associated with being unvaccinated for COVID-19. Of the personality traits assessed, only lower levels of neuroticism (0.74 [0.61–0.89]) were significantly associated with the odds of being unvaccinated. The only health and wellbeing indicator associated with the odds of being unvaccinated was higher levels of subjective health (1.21 [1.02–1.44]). Less satisfaction with the government (0.77 [0.71–0.85]) and having lower trust in science (0.60 [0.52–0.69]) were significantly associated with being un--vaccinated for COVID-19 in 2021. In addition, not having a GP (0.52 [0.29-0.93]), reporting a lower sense of belonging (0.75 [0.62-0.91]), and lower trust in politicians (0.70 [0.59–0.82]) were significantly associated with being unvaccinated. This model explained 51.2% of the variance in vaccination status.

2019 Descriptive Statistics	201	9 Descript	2019 Descriptive Statistics	~	2021	2021 Descriptive Statistics	Statistics	
	Range	Μ.	SD	n	Μ	SD .	a	Items
COVID-19 Unvaccinated	0-1				.939		5324	"Have you been vaccinated for COVID-19?"
Age	18-94	52.92	(14.096)	5324	54.87	(14.054)	5324	"What is your age?"
Gender	0-1	.378		5285	0.379		5324	"What is your gender?" (open-ended)
Education	1-10	6.060	(2.559)	5239	5.934	(2.652)	5297	"What is your highest level of qualification?"
NZ Dep	1-10	4.649	(2.705)	5304	4.635	(2.713)	5319	NZ Deprivation Index
Ethnicity Asian	0-1	.033	,	5324	0.036		5324	"Which ethnic group(s) do you belong to?" (open-ended)
Ethnicity Māori	0-1	.084		5324	0.089	,	5324	"Which ethnic group(s) do you belong to?" (open-ended)
Ethnicity Pacific	0-1	.024		5324	0.028	,	5324	"Which ethnic group(s) do you belong to?" (open-ended)
Employed	0-1	.749		5292	0.733		5277	"Are you currently employed? (this includes self-employment or casual work)"
Parent	0-1	.705		5320	0.726		5324	Based on reporting having one of more children.
Partner	0-1	.736		5261	0.729	,	5231	"What is your relationship status? (e.g., single, married, de-facto, civil union, widowed, living together, etc.)"
Religious	0-1	.296		5264	0.274		5278	
Hours Internet	0-168	16.382	(16.699)	5279	20.120	(19.714)	5132	Hours spent using the internet (in total)
Hours News	0-168	4.816	(5.237)	5279	5.436	(4.908)	5094	Hours spent watching/reading the news
Hours Social Media	0-168	4.339	(6.749)	5279	5.154	(8.199)	5090	Hours spent using social media (e.g., Facebook)
Health Care Access	0-10	7.953	(2.192)	5315	7.709	(2.393)	5311	Your access to health care when you need it (e.g., doctor, GP).
GP Have	0-1	.925	,	5287	0.932	,	5304	"Do you have a regular family doctor/GP?"
GP Cultural Respect	1-7	6.066	(1.195)	4963	5.978	(1.287)	5025	"Does your doctor/GP respect your cultural background when you are discussing health issues with them?"
GP Cultural Similarity	1-7	4.981	(1.873)	4994	4.872	(1.935)	5058	"Do you think your doctor/GP shares a similar cultural background to you?"
GP Satisfaction	1-7	5.642	(1.413)	5010	5.603	(1.481)	5068	"Are you satisfied with the service and care you receive from your family doctor/GP?"
SF Subjective Health Scale	1-7	4.998	(1.164)	5324	4.920	(1.183)	5323	(3 items: Ware & Sherbourne, 1992) E.g., "In general, would you say your health is" (T11 α =.63; T13 α =.64)
Sense of Community	1-7	4.178	(1.659)	5307	4.286	(1.626)	5220	(Sengupta et al., 2013) "I feel a sense of community with others in my local neighbourhood."
Belonging	1-7	5.064	(1.121)	5306	5.061	(1.130)	5314	(3 items: Hagerty & Patusky, 1995) E.g., "Know that people in my life accept and value me." "Feel like an outsider." (T11 a= 62; T13 a=.61)
Life Meaning	1-7	5.456	(1.255)	5322	5.425	(1.266)	5300	(2 items: Steger et al., 2006) E.g., "My life has a clear sense of purpose." (T11 p=.64; T13 p =.65)
Life Satisfaction	1-7	5.315	(1.236)	5312	5.182	(1.281)	5322	(2 items: Diener et al., 1985) "I am satisfied with my life." "In most ways my life is close to ideal." (T11 p =.65; T13 p =.65)
Political Identity Centrality	1-7	4.326	(1.812)	5222	4.350	(1.774)	5210	(Satherley et al., 2020) "How important are your political beliefs to how you see yourself?"
Political Efficacy	1-7	4.484	(1.257)	5324	4.307	(1.314)	5311	(3 items: Paulhus & Van Selst, 1990) E.g., "The average citizen can have an influence on government decisions." (T11 α=.64; T13 α=.67)
Political Orientation	1-7	3.374	(1.424)	5250	4.307	(1.314)	5311	"Please rate how politically liberal versus conservative you see yourself as being."
Satisfaction Government	0-10	5.398	(2.832)	5315	4.981	(3.208)	5319	(Tiliouine et al., 2006) "The performance of the current New Zealand government."
Politician Trust	1-7	3.695	(1.463)	5282	3.819	(1.602)	5202	(Sibley et al., 2020) "Politicians in New Zealand can generally be trusted."
Police Trust	1-7	4.554	(1.288)	5323	4.415	(1.330)	5314	(3 items: Tyler, 2005) E.g., "People's basic rights are well protected by the New Zealand Police." "There are many things about the New Zealand Police."
Science Trust	1-7	5.606	(1.235)	5310	5.873	(1.202)	5294	(2) items: Hartman et al., 2017 [Eg.," have a high degree of confidence in the scientific community." (T11 p=.57; T13 p =.58)
Extraversion	1-7	3.755	(1.233)	5306	3.698	(1.231)	5321	(4 items: Sibley et al., 2011) E.g., "Am the life of the party." "Don't talk a lot." "Keep in the background." (Τ11 α=.78; T13 α=.77)
Agreeableness	1-7	5.389	(0.991)	5306	5.361	(1.019)	5323	(4 items: Sibley et al., 2011) E.g., "Sympathize with others' feelings." "Am not interested in other people's problems." (T11 α=.73; T13 α=.74)
Conscientiousness	1-7	5.148	(1.076)	5306	5.137	(1.074)	5322	(4 items: Sibley et al., 2011) E.g., "Get chores done right away." "Like order." "Make a mess of things." (T11 α=.71; T13 α=.70)
Neuroticism	1-7	3.484	(1.203)	5306	3.454	(1.225)	5323	(4 items: Sibley et al., 2011) E.g., "Have frequent mood swings." "Am relaxed most of the time." (T11 α =.77; T13 α =.77)
Openness	1-7	5.110	(1.114)	5306	5.101	(1.113)	5318	(4 items: Sibley et al., 2011) E.g., "Have a vivid imagination." "Have difficulty understanding abstract ideas." (T11 α =.71; T13 α =.70)
Humility	1-7	5.633	(1.114)	5306	5.696	(1.110)	5323	(4 items: Sibley et al., 2011) E.g., "Feel entitled to more of everything." "Deserve more things in life." (T11 α=.75; T13 α=.75)
Conspiracy Beliefs	1-7				3.922	(1.744)	5207	"I think that the official version of major world events given by authorities often hides the truth."
COVID Exaggerated	1-7	,	,	,	2.417	(1.949)	5195	"I think that health risks associated with COVID-19 have been wildly exaggerated."
COVID Lab	1-7			•	3.445	(1.993)	5252	"I think it is quite likely that COVID-19 was created in a laboratory."
COVID Trust Govt.	1-7	,	,	,	4.648	(1.981)	5214	"I trust the Government to make sensible decisions about how to best manage COVID-19 in New Zealand."
Disgust Sensitivity	1-7	,		,	4.089	(1.823)	5205	(Olatunji et al., 2007) "Bad smells, messes, dead animals and rotten food absolutely disgust me."
	1_7			•	4.901	(1 170)	5323	(3 items: Wallston et al., 1978) E.g., "If I take care of myself, I can avoid illness." "I am in control of my health." (T13 α=.68)

lihoo	9; Log Lik	R ² = .55			ood = -771.752	.og Likeliho	R ² = .512; Log Likelihood =			R ² = .309; Log Likelihood = -990.885	og Likeliho	R ² = .309; L			
9 0.848, 1.274	1.039	-0.165, 0.242	.623	0.039											Health Locus of Control
9 0.916, 1.134	1.019	-0.088, 0.126	.647	0.019	,		,	,	,	,	,	,		,	Disgust Sensitivity
9 0.514, 0.770	0.629	-0.665, -0.261	<.001	-0.463				,	,		,	,		,	COVID Trust. Govt
	1.283	0.151, 0.347	<.001	0.249		,		,			,		,	,	COVID Lab
	1.201	0.072, 0.294	<.001	0.183			,		,			,			COVID Exaggerated
	1.116	-0.039, 0.259	.057	0.110				,	,		,		,	,	Conspiracy Beliefs
	1.183	-0.018, 0.354	.002	0.168	0.943, 1.344	1.126	-0.058, 0.295	.084	0.119	0.927, 1.270	1.085	-0.076, 0.239	.182	0.082	Honesty-Humility
	1.146	-0.049, 0.320	.058	0.136	0.953, 1.338	1.129	-0.048, 0.291	.064	0.122	0.995, 1.376	1.170	-0.005, 0.319	.012	0.157	Openness
	0.742	-0.501, -0.097	<.001	-0.299	0.614, 0.890	0.739	-0.488, -0.117	<.001	-0.302	0.712, 0.991	0.840	-0.339, -0.009	.007	-0.174	Neuroticism
	0.871	-0.330, 0.054	.065	-0.138	0.736, 1.061	0.884	-0.307, 0.059	.082	-0.124	0.754, 1.036	0.884	-0.283, 0.035	.045	-0.124	Conscientiousness
	1.159	-0.054, 0.350	.060	0.148	0.934, 1.360	1.127	-0.069, 0.308	.102	0.120	0.903, 1.298	1.083	-0.102, 0.261	.258	0.080	Agreeableness
	0.899	-0.281, 0.068	.116	-0.106	0.805, 1.097	0.940	-0.217, 0.092	.300	-0.062	0.842, 1.132	0.976	-0.172, 0.124	.674	-0.024	Extraversion
	0.690	-0.517, -0.227	<.001	-0.372	0.522, 0.685	0.598	-0.650, -0.378	<.001	-0.514	0.571, 0.735	0.648	-0.560, -0.308	<.001	-0.434	Science Trust
	0.944	-0.206, 0.092	.322	-0.057	0.781, 1.026	0.895	-0.247, 0.026	.037	-0.111	0.704, 0.919	0.804	-0.351, -0.085	<.001	-0.218	Police Trust
	0.813	-0.393, -0.020	.004	-0.206	0.592, 0.822	0.698	-0.524, -0.196	<.001	-0.360	0.769, 1.008	0.881	-0.263, 0.008	.016	-0.127	Politician Trust
	1.044	-0.087, 0.172	.396	0.043	0.708, 0.846	0.774	-0.345, -0.167	<.001	-0.256	0.839, 0.958	0.896	-0.175, -0.043	<.001	-0.109	Satisfaction Government
0 0.867, 1.199	1.020	-0.143, 0.182	.759	0.019	0.919, 1.235	1.065	-0.085, 0.211	.272	0.063	1.035, 1.358	1.186	0.034, 0.306	.001	0.170	Political Conservatism
	1.105	-0.051, 0.251	.088	0.100	0.941, 1.267	1.092	-0.061, 0.237	.129	0.088	0.827, 1.088	0.949	-0.189, 0.084	.323	-0.053	Political Efficacy
2 0.881, 1.094	0.982	-0.127, 0.090	.659	-0.019	0.884, 1.091	0.982	-0.123, 0.087	.662	-0.018	0.916, 1.104	1.006	-0.087, 0.099	.878	0.006	Political Identity Centrality
7 0.725, 1.111	0.897	-0.322, 0.105	.192	-0.108	0.732, 1.086	0.892	-0.311, 0.082	.134	-0.114	0.862, 1.260	1.042	-0.149, 0.231	.576	0.041	Life Satisfaction
	1.068	-0.150, 0.282	.431	0.066	0.931, 1.405	1.144	-0.071, 0.340	.092	0.135	1.000, 1.458	1.208	0.000, 0.377	.010	0.189	Life Meaning
9 0.641, 0.972	0.789	-0.445, -0.029	.003	-0.237	0.617, 0.906	0.748	-0.482, -0.099	<.001	-0.291	0.689, 1.006	0.833	-0.372, 0.006	.013	-0.183	Belonging
	1.129	-0.014, 0.257	.021	0.121	0.993, 1.287	1.131	-0.007, 0.253	.015	0.123	0.916, 1.140	1.022	-0.088, 0.131	.612	0.022	Sense of Community
5 0.932, 1.384	1.136	-0.070, 0.325	.097	0.127	1.019, 1.437	1.210	0.019, 0.363	.004	0.191	1.009, 1.379	1.180	0.009, 0.322	.006	0.165	SF Subjective Health Scale
	0.927	-0.229, 0.076	.199	-0.076	0.805, 1.067	0.927	-0.216, 0.065	.166	-0.076	0.765, 0.993	0.872	-0.268, -0.007	.007	-0.137	GP Satisfaction
	1.043	-0.072, 0.156	.343	0.042	0.921, 1.141	1.025	-0.082, 0.132	.548	0.025	0.915, 1.111	1.008	-0.088, 0.105	.824	0.008	GP Cultural Similarity
1 0.743, 1.020	0.871	-0.297, 0.020	.024	-0.138	0.768, 1.038	0.893	-0.264, 0.037	.052	-0.114	0.814, 1.094	0.944	-0.206, 0.090	.313	-0.058	GP Cultural Respect
	0.591	-1.120, 0.069	.023	-0.526	0.295, 0.932	0.524	-1.222, -0.071	.004	-0.646	0.393, 1.097	0.657	-0.934, 0.093	.035	-0.421	GP Have
	0.991	-0.093, 0.074	.771	-0.009	0.910, 1.072	0.988	-0.094, 0.070	.706	-0.012	0.913, 1.066	0.987	-0.091, 0.064	.652	-0.014	Health Care Access
	1.003	-0.021, 0.028	.712	0.003	0.993, 1.027	1.010	-0.007, 0.027	.127	0.010	0.981, 1.028	1.004	-0.019, 0.027	.643	0.004	Hours Social Media
	1.001	-0.038, 0.039	.972	0.001	0.950, 1.042	0.995	-0.052, 0.041	.766	-0.005	0.939, 1.018	0.978	-0.063, 0.018	.155	-0.022	Hours News
	0.996	-0.016, 0.007	.357	-0.004	0.986, 1.005	0.996	-0.014, 0.005	.258	-0.004	0.996, 1.016	1.006	-0.004, 0.016	.124	0.006	Hours Internet
	1.311	-0.147. 0.690	.095	0.271	0.810, 1.798	1.207	-0.210, 0.587	.223	0.188	0.887, 1.810	1.267	-0.120, 0.593	.087	0.237	Religious
	0.740	-0.761.0.157	.091	-0.302	0.467. 1.122	0.723	-0.762. 0.115	.057	-0.324	0.498. 1.063	0.727	-0.698.0.061	.031	-0.319	Partner
	1.258	-0.294, 0.752	.258	0.229	0.802, 2.410	1.391	-0.220, 0.880	.122	0.330	0.975, 2.385	1.525	-0.025, 0.869	.015	0.422	Parent
	0.731	-0.766, 0.138	.074	-0.314	0.479, 1.121	0.732	-0.737, 0.114	.059	-0.311	0.502, 1.075	0.734	-0.690, 0.072	.037	-0.309	Employed
	0.825	-1.231, 0.846	.633	-0.193	0.306, 2.948	0.950	-1.183, 1.081	806	-0.051	0.132, 1.462	0.439	-2.025, 0.380	.078	-0.823	Ethnicity Pacific
	1.093	-0.526, 0.705	.709	0.089	0.535, 1.752	0.968	-0.626, 0.561	.888	-0.033	0.472, 1.427	0.821	-0.750, 0.356	.358	-0.197	Ethnicity Maori
	1.008	-1.009, 1.024	.984	0.008	0.335, 2.534	0.922	-1.092, 0.930	.836	-0.081	0.279, 1.791	0.707	-1.275, 0.583	.337	-0.346	Ethnicity Asian
	1.088	0.013, 0.157	.002	0.085	1.006, 1.155	1.078	0.006, 0.144	.005	0.075	1.019, 1.152	1.084	0.019, 0.141	.001	0.080	NZ Dep
	1.007	-0.069, 0.084	.802	0.007	0.920, 1.056	0.986	-0.084, 0.054	.586	-0.015	0.908, 1.040	0.972	-0.096, 0.039	.273	-0.029	Education
	0.799	-0.661, 0.212	.185	-0.224	0.606, 1.375	0.913	-0.501, 0.319	.567	-0.091	0.719, 1.515	1.043	-0.331, 0.416	.769	0.043	Gender
1 0.963, 0.999	0.981	-0.038, -0.001	.005	-0.020	0.962, 0.998	0.980	-0.039, -0.002	.004	-0.020	0.969, 0.999	0.984	-0.031, -0.001	.007	-0.016	Age
		-2.150, 3.961	.445	0.905			1.399, 6.892	<.001	4.146			-0.917, 3.907	.110	1,495	(Intercept)
OR 99% CI	Ratio	B 99% CI	q	69	OR 99% CI	Ratio	B 99% CI	q	В	OR 99% CI	Ratio	B 99% CI	σ	68	
atus in 20	cinated sta	predictors of concurrent unvaccinated status in 2021	ctors of c	predi	<u>н</u> .	itus in 202	concurrent unvaccinated status in 2021	concurrer		atus in 2021	occinated st	Model using 2019 predictors of unvaccinated status in 2021	eroz guisn	Model	
		Model Using extended set of 2021 (October -November)	UNDE EXIC	Mode	predictors of	lovember	Model using equivalent 2021 (October-November) predictors of	 POUIVAIE 	Model using						

NZJP, 51(1), 10-27

In the final model (i.e., Model 3), we added new variables measured in 2021. Results revealed that being younger (odds ratio 0.98 [99% CI 0.96-1.00]) and more deprived (1.08 [1.01–1.17]) were significantly associated with being unvaccinated. Of the personality traits, lower levels of neuroticism (0.74 [0.61-0.91]) and higher levels of honesty-humility (1.18 [0.98-1.42]) were significantly associated with being unvaccinated. Also, reporting a lower sense of belonging (0.79 [0.64-0.97]), lower trust in politicians (0.81 [0.67-0.98]) and in science (0.69 [0.60–0.80]) were associated with being unvaccinated. Furthermore, those with greater belief that COVID-19 was exaggerated (1.20 [1.07-1.34]) or was due to a lab leak (1.28 [1.16-1.42]), and reporting lower levels of trust in the New Zealand government response to COVID-19 (0.63 [0.51-0.77]) were significantly less likely to be vaccinated. Overall, this model explained 55.9% of the variance in vaccination status.

DISCUSSION

The results of our lagged analyses show that a subset of our theoretically relevant variables prospectively predict vaccination status in Aotearoa New Zealand, in the period following the availability of the COVID-19 vaccine. Specifically, unvaccinated participants were more likely to live in more deprived areas, report more conservative political attitudes and less positive attitudes towards their GPs, Government, police and science. They were also more likely to endorse a greater sense of meaning and satisfaction with their health than were vaccinated participants. Of the Big Six personality variables, lower neuroticism predicted lower likelihood of vaccination (Lin & Wang, 2020). These results hint at two, somewhat paradoxical, patterns. First, the unvaccinated in this sample are more likely to report a societal position that is more socially, economically, or politically marginalised. Second, these same people tell us that they are more *satisfied* with their lives and health.

Feeling connected to one's local community was also not predictive of vaccination status, nor was subjective belonging. This indicates either that these variables are unrelated to vaccination attitudes, or are better explained by other variables in the dataset. Either way, this finding suggests that exhortations centred around collective responsibility to get vaccinated for your neighbours, or similar others, may not be a strong motivator for the remaining minority of New Zealanders vet to be vaccinated. Importantly, complacency and social process factors such as gender, ethnicity, and education were not significant predictors of vaccination status and therefore work against several of the negative characterisations of non-vaccination that have been articulated since the onset of COVID-19. For example, media discourse has, among other tropes, asserted that relatively low rates of vaccination among Maori have held the rest of the nation back from reopening post-Delta (Rātana, 2021). A counter to this argument is that the New Zealand vaccine roll-out initially prioritised vaccination for older New Zealanders, disadvantaging Māori peoples who are а disproportionately young population (only 5% of Māori are aged over 65, compared to 16% of non-Māori)strongly reaffirmed by a recent Waitangi Tribunal decision in late December 2021 that the Government

response and vaccination rollout put Māori peoples at risk (Radio New Zealand, 2021b). Indeed, our results reinforce an age-effect whereby younger people are more likely to be unvaccinated.

Being a parent, or having a partner, were statistically unrelated to vaccination status. Previous NZAVS research has indicated that attitudes to standard vaccinations among parents are important predictors of whether parents vaccinate their children (Lee & Sibley, 2017, 2020a). COVID-19 vaccination status among parents, however, were statistically similar to those of non-parents. Additionally, participants who self-identified as religious were no more, nor any less, likely to report being vaccinated. On the one hand, Destiny Church members have been vocally involved in the spate of antivaccination and anti-mandate protests in the closing months of 2021 (Macdonald, 2021). On the other hand, Aotearoa is a famously secular nation in which religion is relatively un-politicised, and Destiny Church represents a small fraction of those who broadly identify as Christian (the 2018 New Zealand Census reported 1772 adherents; Palmer, 2019).

Beyond the lagged predictors of vaccination status, we also examined the concurrent predictors of unvaccinated status in late 2021. Our model using the same predictors once more suggested that unvaccinated participants were vounger, living in more deprived areas, reporting less satisfaction with the government, less trust in science, and greater levels of subjective health and lower levels of neuroticism. This underscored the importance of multiple antecedents to vaccine acceptance: confidence, constraints, and complacency. Factors related to what people think and feel were also associated with unvaccinated status, such as not having a GP, reporting feelings of lesser belonging, and lower trust in politicians. A final model with additional COVID-19 specific predictors also suggested that being unvaccinated was associated with vaccine confidence factors of increased levels of honesty-humility and lower trust in the New Zealand Government having made sensible decisions in managing the COVID-19 pandemic.

While vaccination status was not associated with general conspiracy theory belief, those who reported greater beliefs in COVID-19 related scepticism that the virus was created in a laboratory and that the health risks associated with COVID-19 were exaggerated were significantly less likely to be vaccinated, consistent with recent research on the impact of conspiracy belief on future COVID-19 health related decisions including vaccination (van Prooijen et al., 2021). It may be the case that specific COVID-19 scepticism mediated the relationship between general conspiracy beliefs and vaccination status, in line with recent research finding an indirect association between general conspiracy beliefs and intentions to leave the EU through specific "Brexit" conspiracy theories (Jolley et al., 2021). These findings highlight the importance of social processes in vaccination acceptance. Finally, there were no significant differences between vaccinated and unvaccinated status on disgust sensitivity, nor health locus of control.

As well as the specific findings relating to vaccination behaviour interpreted above, these results reveal that information contained in the NZAVS from before vaccines became available in New Zealand (and even before the pandemic emerged) can predict vaccination status after availability between October 1 and November 22 2021. The lagged model we report (i.e., Model 1) explained 31% of the variance in 2021 vaccination decisions from information collected in 2019. Moreover, by including indicators from 2021, Models 2 and 3 predicted vaccination status while explaining between 51-56% of the sample variance. Importantly, because our models controlled for various plausible confounders, we strengthen our ability to make tentative causal inferences of vaccination status in the absence of an experiment (Grosz et al., 2020).

Our research has important implications for understanding and addressing motivations to vaccinate for future pandemics. The largely successful response early on during the COVID-19 pandemic of elimination and control in Aotearoa New Zealand was in part due to both the science-led response (Geoghegan et al., 2021) and communication efforts by the Government which fostered trust and mobilised support for public health measures prior to the availability of vaccines (Beattie & Priestley, 2021). Our results suggest that positive attitudes and trust towards actors and institutions central to the pandemic response (i.e., GPs, Government, Police, Science) was predictive of future vaccination status. Public health messaging that is transparent, acknowledges complexity. risk or uncertainty, while being empathic and inclusive is likely to engender trust and encourages behaviour change (Beattie & Priestley, 2021).

The current uptake of vaccination by 94% of eligible New Zealanders indicates that on average vaccine hesitancy is low relative to world figures (Ritchie et al., 2021), yet there remains a small number of individuals who are either reluctant to or willing not to vaccinate. Intentions and decisions to vaccinate are not uniquely motivated by personal attitudes, but also depend on systemic factors such as the availability and access of vaccinations (Dubé et al., 2014). Not only does this research highlight the diverse factors associated with vaccination status in New Zealand adults, but it also underscores that these are not necessarily the same factors predictive of parental attitudes and intentions towards childhood vaccinations. For example, research in New Zealand Aotearoa indicates that unemployment, ethnicity and lower educational attainment were associated with lower confidence in childhood vaccinations among adults (Lee et al., 2017), whereas these factors were nonsignificant predictors of prospective COVID-19

References

- Agley, J., Xiao, Y., Thompson, E. E., & Golzarri-Arroyo, L. (2021). Factors associated with reported likelihood to get vaccinated for COVID-19 in a nationally representative US survey. *Public Health*, *196*, 91–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2021.05.009</u>
- Amit Aharon, A., Nehama, H., Rishpon, S., & Baron-Epel, O. (2018). A path analysis model suggesting the association between health locus of control and compliance with childhood vaccinations. *Human Vaccines* & *Immunotherapeutics*, 14(7), 1618–1625. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2018.1471305

vaccination status for adults. This suggests the need for more research understanding adult vaccination hesitancy, not merely drawing inferences from research on parental vaccination attitudes and intentions. Future studies are needed to better inform interventions targeted to those who are hesitant to adult vaccines.

Another key finding and implication of our final model was that increased belief in COVID-19 scepticism was associated with being unvaccinated. These findings suggest the importance of actively combatting vaccine related scepticism that may lend itself to conspiracy theories during a pandemic (see Lazić & Žeželj, 2021, for a review of narrative vaccination interventions), given that belief in conspiracy theories are associated with socially (e.g., van Prooijen et al., 2018), economically (e.g., Salvador Casara et al., 2022), and politically marginalised groups (e.g., Uscinski & Parent, 2014). It is a wicked problem since the fundamental needs associated with belief in conspiracy theories are also those likely to be brought about during a global pandemic—the need for certainty, safety, and belonging (Douglas et al., 2017).

There are some limitations to our research. We caution our audience against a direct causal interpretation of the coefficients presented in Study 1b (Models 2 and 3). Any coefficient that we report in this study is predictive of vaccination outcomes *relative* to the 36 (or 42) other indicators included in each of our regression models on the logit scale. A common fallacy in regression analysis is to interpret the coefficients of multiple regression models as mutually independent total causal effects. This "Mutual Adjustment Fallacy" (Green & Popham, 2019), or "Table Fallacy" (Westreich & Greenland, 2013) is 2 unfortunately somewhat commonplace in the psychological, medical, and social sciences. In short, the coefficients presented here should not be interpreted as "like-for-like" total effect causal estimates, or even comparable estimates of association.

The NZAVS contains rich participant-level measures repeated prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic, at a national-scale. This study is important because it reveals the extraordinary predictive power of NZAVS indicators prior to vaccination availability in New Zealand to forecast national-level vaccination rates following New Zealand's vaccine roll-out in late 2021. Overall, our results highlight meaningful diverse psychological and social mechanisms that underpin vaccinations decisions and underscore the importance for future research using the NZAVS.

- Atkinson, J., Salmond, C., & Crampton, P. (2013). *NZDep2013 Index of Deprivation*. Department of Public Health, University of Otago Wellington.
- Beattie, A., & Priestley, R. (2021). Fighting COVID-19 with the team of 5 million: Aotearoa New Zealand government communication during the 2020 lockdown. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 4(1), 100209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2021.100209
- Betsch, C., Schmid, P., Heinemeier, D., Korn, L., Holtmann, C., & Böhm, R. (2018). Beyond confidence: Development of a measure assessing the 5C psychological

antecedents of vaccination. PLOS ONE, 13(12), e0208601. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208601 Bilge, Y., Keles, E., & Baydili, K. N. (2021). The Impact of COVID-19 Vaccination on Mental Health. Journal of Loss and Trauma, 1-4. https://doi.org/10.1080/15325024.2021.1963558 Bock, J.-O., Hajek, A., & König, H.-H. (2017). Psychological determinants of influenza vaccination. BMC Geriatrics, 17(1), 194. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-017-0597-y Brewer, N. T., Chapman, G. B., Rothman, A. J., Leask, J., & Kempe, A. (2017). Increasing Vaccination: Putting Psychological Science Into Action. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 18(3), 149-207. https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100618760521 Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. Psychological Bulletin, 112, 155-159. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.112.1.155 Debus, M., & Tosun, J. (2021). Political ideology and vaccination willingness: Implications for policy design.

Policy Sciences, *54*(3), 477–491. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-021-09428-0 Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S.

(1985). The satisfaction with life scale. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 49(1), 71–75. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa4901_13

Douglas, K. M., Sutton, R. M., & Cichocka, A. (2017). The psychology of conspiracy theories. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *26*(6), 538–542. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721417718261

Dubé, E., Gagnon, D., Nickels, E., Jeram, S., & Schuster, M. (2014). Mapping vaccine hesitancy—Country-specific characteristics of a global phenomenon. *Vaccine*, 32(49), 6649–6654. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.09.039

Frost, N. (2021, October 6). New Zealand will hold an 'Election Day'-style vaccination event. *The New York Times*.

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/10/06/world/asia/new-zealand-vaccine-day.html

Geoghegan, J. L., Moreland, N. J., Le Gros, G., & Ussher, J. E. (2021). New Zealand's science-led response to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. *Nature Immunology*, 22(3), 262–263. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-021-00872-x

Gerretsen, P., Kim, J., Caravaggio, F., Quilty, L., Sanches, M., Wells, S., Brown, E. E., Agic, B., Pollock, B. G., & Graff-Guerrero, A. (2021). Individual determinants of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy. *PLOS ONE*, *16*(11), e0258462. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0258462

Graham, B. S. (2020). Rapid COVID-19 vaccine development. *Science*, *368*(6494), 945–946. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb8923

Green, M. J., & Popham, F. (2019). Interpreting mutual adjustment for multiple indicators of socioeconomic position without committing mutual adjustment fallacies. *BMC Public Health*, 19(1), 10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-6364-y

Grosz, M. P., Rohrer, J. M., & Thoemmes, F. (2020). The Taboo Against Explicit Causal Inference in Nonexperimental Psychology. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *15*, 1243–1255. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691620921521

Hagerty, B. M., & Patusky, K. (1995). Developing a measure of sense of belonging. *Nursing Research*, 44, 9–13.

Hartman, R. O., Dieckmann, N. F., Sprenger, A. M., Stastny, B. J., & DeMarree, K. G. (2017). Modeling Attitudes Toward Science: Development and Validation of the Credibility of Science Scale. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, *39*(6), 358–371. https://doi.org/10.1080/01973533.2017.1372284

Hornsey, M. J., Chapman, C. M., Alvarez, B., Bentley, S., Casara, B. G. S., Crimston, C. R., Ionescu, O., Krug, H., Selvanathan, H. P., Steffens, N. K., & Jetten, J. (2021). To what extent are conspiracy theorists concerned for self versus others? A COVID-19 test case. *European Journal* of Social Psychology, ejsp.2737. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2737

Hornsey, M. J., Harris, E. A., & Fielding, K. S. (2018). The psychological roots of anti-vaccination attitudes: A 24-nation investigation. *Health Psychology*, *37*(4), 307–315. https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0000586

Hoverd, W. J., & Sibley, C. G. (2010). Religious and Denominational Diversity in New Zealand 2009. *New Zealand Sociology*, 25(2), 59–87. https://doi.org/10.3316/informit.113861382782746

Hughes, S., & Machan, L. (2021). It's a conspiracy: Covid-19 conspiracies link to psychopathy, Machiavellianism and collective narcissism. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 171, 110559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2020.110559

Ingledew, D. K., & Brunning, S. (1999). Personality, Preventive Health Behaviour and Comparative Optimism about Health Problems. *Journal of Health Psychology*, *4*(2), 193–208.

https://doi.org/10.1177/135910539900400213 Jolley, D., Douglas, K. M., Marchlewska, M., Cichocka, A., & Sutton, R. M. (2021). Examining the links between conspiracy beliefs and the EU "Brexit" referendum vote in the UK: Evidence from a two-wave survey. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, Advance online publication*. https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12829

Jost, J. T. (2006). The end of the end of ideology. *American Psychologist*, 61, 651–670. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.61.7.651

Kerr, J. R., Schneider, C. R., Recchia, G., Dryhurst, S., Sahlin, U., Dufouil, C., Arwidson, P., Freeman, A. L., & van der Linden, S. (2021). Correlates of intended COVID-19 vaccine acceptance across time and countries: Results from a series of cross-sectional surveys. *BMJ Open*, *11*(8), e048025. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-048025

Kilic, M., Ustundag Ocal, N., & Uslukilic, G. (2021). The relationship of Covid-19 vaccine attitude with life satisfaction, religious attitude and Covid-19 avoidance in Turkey. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*, *17*(10), 3384–3393.

https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2021.1938493

King, G. (2010). A hard unsolved problem? Post-treatment bias in big social science questions. Symposium, *April* (*Vol. 10*)., 1–78.

Lantian, A., Muller, D., Nurra, C., & Douglas, K. M. (2016). Measuring belief in conspiracy theories: Validation of a French and English single-item scale. *International Review of Social Psychology*, 29, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.5334/irsp.8

Lazić, A., & Žeželj, I. (2021). A systematic review of narrative interventions: Lessons for countering antivaccination conspiracy theories and misinformation. *Public Understanding of Science*, 096366252110118. https://doi.org/10.1177/09636625211011881

Lee, C. H. J., Duck, I. M., & Sibley, C. G. (2017). Personality and demographic correlates of New Zealanders' confidence in the safety of childhood vaccinations. Vaccine, 35(45), 6089–6095. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.09.061

Lee, C. H. J., & Sibley, C. G. (2017). Demographic and psychological correlates of satisfaction with healthcare access in New Zealand. *New Zealand Medical Journal*, *130*(1459), 11–24.

Lee, C. H. J., & Sibley, C. G. (2020a). Ethnic disparities in vaccine safety attitudes and perceptions of family doctors/general practitioners. 38, 7024–7032.

Lee, C. H. J., & Sibley, C. G. (2020b). Attitudes toward vaccinations are becoming more polarized in New Zealand: Findings from a longitudinal survey. *EClinicalMedicine*, 23, 100387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100387

Limaye, R. J., Sauer, M., & Truelove, S. A. (2021). Politicizing public health: The powder keg of rushing COVID-19 vaccines. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*, *17*(6), 1662–1663. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2020.1846400

Lin, F.-Y., & Wang, C.-H. (2020). Personality and individual attitudes toward vaccination: A nationally representative survey in the United States. *BMC Public Health*, 20(1), 1759. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09840-w

Lindholt, M. F., Jørgensen, F., Bor, A., & Petersen, M. B. (2021). Public acceptance of COVID-19 vaccines: Crossnational evidence on levels and individual-level predictors using observational data. *BMJ Open*, *11*(6), e048172. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-048172

Macdonald, F. (2021, October 29). Spirit of resistance: Why Destiny Church and other New Zealand Pentecostalists oppose lockdowns and vaccination. The Conversation. http://theconversation.com/spirit-of-resistance-whydestiny-church-and-other-new-zealand-pentecostalistsoppose-lockdowns-and-vaccination-170193

Marinthe, G., Brown, G., Delouvée, S., & Jolley, D. (2020). Looking out for myself: Exploring the relationship between conspiracy mentality, perceived personal risk, and COVID-19 prevention measures. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, 25(4), 957–980. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12449

Menon, P., & Awasthi, S. (2021, November 9). Thousands protest in New Zealand against COVID-19 rules. *Reuters*. https://www.reuters.com/world/asia-pacific/thousandsprotest-new-zealand-against-covid-19-vaccine-mandate-2021-11-09/

Ministry of Health. (2021a). COVID-19: Vaccine data. Ministry of Health NZ. https://www.health.govt.nz/ourwork/diseases-and-conditions/covid-19-novelcoronavirus/covid-19-data-and-statistics/covid-19vaccine-data

Ministry of Health. (2021b). *New Zealand Immunisation Schedule*. Ministry of Health NZ. https://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/preventative-healthwellness/immunisation/new-zealand-immunisationschedule

Mulkana, S. S., & Hailey, B. J. (2001). The Role of Optimism in Health-enhancing Behavior. *American Journal of Health Behavior*, 25(4), 388–395. https://doi.org/10.5993/AJHB.25.4.4

Murphy, J., Vallières, F., Bentall, R. P., Shevlin, M.,
McBride, O., Hartman, T. K., McKay, R., Bennett, K.,
Mason, L., Gibson-Miller, J., Levita, L., Martinez, A. P.,
Stocks, T. V. A., Karatzias, T., & Hyland, P. (2021).
Psychological characteristics associated with COVID-19

vaccine hesitancy and resistance in Ireland and the United Kingdom. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), 29. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20226-9

New Zealand Government. (2021). COVID-19 Protection Framework (traffic lights). Unite against COVID-19. https://covid19.govt.nz/traffic-lights/covid-19-protectionframework/

Nisbet, E. C., Cooper, K. E., & Garrett, R. K. (2015). The partisan brain: How dissonant science messages lead conservatives and liberals to (dis)trust science. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 658(1), 36–66. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716214555474

Norman, P., Bennett, P., Smith, C., & Murphy, S. (1998).
Health Locus of Control and Health Behaviour. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 3(2), 171–180.
https://doi.org/10.1177/135910539800300202

Olagoke, A. A., Olagoke, O. O., & Hughes, A. M. (2021).
Intention to Vaccinate Against the Novel 2019
Coronavirus Disease: The Role of Health Locus of
Control and Religiosity. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 60(1), 65–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10943-020-01090-9

Olatunji, B. O., Williams, N. L., Tolin, D. F., Abramowitz, J. S., Sawchuk, C. N., Lohr, J. M., & Elwood, L. S. (2007). The Disgust Scale: Item analysis, factor structure, and suggestions for refinement. *Psychological Assessment*, 19(3), 281–297. https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.19.3.281

Palmer, S. (2019). Destiny Church leader Brian Tamaki eviscerates 'ludicrous' Census. *Newshub*. https://www.newshub.co.nz/home/politics/2019/09/destin y-church-leader-brian-tamaki-eviscerates-ludicrouscensus.html

Paulhus, D. L., & Van Selst, M. (1990). The spheres of control scale: 10 yr of research. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 11, 1029–1036. https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(90)90130-J

Prickett, K. C., Habibi, H., & Carr, P. A. (2021). COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy and Acceptance in a Cohort of Diverse New Zealanders. *The Lancet Regional Health – Western Pacific*, 14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2021.100241

Radio New Zealand. (2021a, October 31). *Covid-19: NZ* reaches 75 percent double-vaxxed, 80 percent in Auckland. RNZ. https://www.rnz.co.nz/news/national/454609/covid-19-nzreaches-75-percent-double-vaxxed-80-percent-inauckland

Radio New Zealand. (2021b, December 21). Waitangi Tribunal rules government Covid-19 response put Māori at risk. RNZ. https://www.rnz.co.nz/news/covid-19/458335/waitangi-tribunal-rules-government-covid-19response-put-maori-at-risk

Rātana, L. (2021, November 4). *How the vaccination rollout failed to deliver for Māori*. The Spinoff. https://thespinoff.co.nz/atea/04-11-2021/how-thevaccination-rollout-failed-to-deliver-for-maori

Ritchie, H., Mathieu, E., Rodés-Guirao, L., Appel, C., Giattino, C., Ortiz-Ospina, E., Hasell, J., Macdonald, B., Beltekian, D., & Roser, M. (2021, December 23). *Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19)*. Our World in Data. https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations

Rubin, D. B. (1978). Multiple imputations in sample surveys—A phenomenological Bayesian approach to nonresponse. In *Proceedings of the survey research* *methods section of the American Statistical Association* (Vol. 1, pp. 20–34). American Statistical Association.

Salvador Casara, B. G., Suitner, C., & Jetten, J. (2022). The impact of economic inequality on conspiracy beliefs. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *98*, 104245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2021.104245

Satherley, N., Sibley, C. G., & Osborne, D. (2020). Identity, ideology, and personality: Examining moderators of affective polarization in New Zealand. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 87, 103961. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2020.103961

Sengupta, N. K., Luyten, N., Greaves, L. M., Osborne, D., Robertson, A., Brunton, C., Armstrong, G., & Sibley, C. G. (2013). Sense of community in new zealand neighbourhoods: A multi-level model predicting social capital. 42(1), 10.

Shapiro, G. K., Kaufman, J., Brewer, N. T., Wiley, K., Menning, L., Leask, J., Abad, N., Betsch, C., Bura, V., Correa, G., Dubé, E., Ganter-Restrepo, F. E., Gong, W., Hickler, B., Jalloh, M. F., Jain, M., Omer, S. B., Requejo, J. H., Pokharel, D. R., ... Wiysonge, C. S. (2021). A critical review of measures of childhood vaccine confidence. *Current Opinion in Immunology*, *71*, 34–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2021.04.002

Sibley, C. G. (2021). Sampling procedure and sample details for the New Zealand Attitudes and Values Study. PsyArXiv. https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/wgqvy

Sibley, C. G., Greaves, L. M., Satherley, N., Wilson, M. S., Overall, N. C., Lee, C. H. J., Milojev, P., Bulbulia, J., Osborne, D., Milfont, T. L., Houkamau, C. A., Duck, I. M., Vickers-Jones, R., & Barlow, F. K. (2020). Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and nationwide lockdown on trust, attitudes toward government, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 75, 618. https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000662

Sibley, C. G., Luyten, N., Wolfman, M., Mobberley, A., Wootton, L. W., Hammond, M., Sengupta, N., Perry, R., West-Newman, T., Wilson, M., McLellan, L., Hoverd, W. J., & Robertson, A. (2011). The Mini-IPIP6: Validation and extension of a short measure of the Big-Six factors of personality in New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Psychology*, 40, 142–159.

Steger, M. F., Frazier, P., Oishi, S., & Kaler, M. (2006). The meaning in life questionnaire: Assessing the presence of and search for meaning in life. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 53(1), 80–93. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.53.1.80

Thaker, J., & Floyd, B. (2021). Shifting COVID-19 vaccine intentions in New Zealand: Next steps in the vaccination campaign. *The Lancet Regional Health – Western Pacific*, 15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2021.100278

Thaker, J., & Menon, V. (2020). Aotearoa New Zealand Public Attitudes to COVID-19 Vaccine. https://mro.massey.ac.nz/handle/10179/15567

Tiliouine, H., Cummins, R. A., & Davern, M. (2006). Measuring wellbeing in developing countries: The case of Algeria. *Social Indicators Research*, *75*, 1–30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-004-2012-2

Tyler, T. R. (2005). Policing in black and white: Ethnic group differences in trust and confidence in the police. *Police Quarterly*, 8(3), 322–342. https://doi.org/10.1177/1098611104271105

Uscinski, J. E., & Parent, J. M. (2014). *American conspiracy theories*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199351800.001.00 01 van Prooijen, J.-W., Etienne, T. W., Kutiyski, Y., & Krouwel, A. P. M. (2021). Conspiracy beliefs prospectively predict health behavior and well-being during a pandemic. *Psychological Medicine*, 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291721004438

van Prooijen, J.-W., Staman, J., & Krouwel, A. P. M. (2018). Increased conspiracy beliefs among ethnic and Muslim minorities. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 32(5), 661–667. https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3442

Wakefield, J. R. H., & Khauser, A. (2021). Doing it for us: Community identification predicts willingness to receive a COVID-19 vaccination via perceived sense of duty to the community. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, *31*(5), 603–614. https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.2542

Wallston, K. A., Strudler Wallston, B., & DeVellis, R. (1978). Development of the Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (MHLC) Scales. *Health Education Monographs*, 6(1), 160–170.

https://doi.org/10.1177/109019817800600107 Ware, J. E., & Sherbourne, C. D. (1992). The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (sf-36): I. conceptual framework and item selection. *Medical Care*, *30*(6), 473–483.

Westreich, D., & Greenland, S. (2013). The table 2 fallacy: Presenting and interpreting confounder and modifier coefficients. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, *177*(4), 292–298. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kws412

Zindler-Wernet, P., Weiss, S. J., Tilden, V. P., & Shamansky, S. L. (1987). Health Locus of Control and Preventive Health Behavior. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, 9(2), 160–179. https://doi.org/10.1177/019394598700900202

Corresponding Author

Mathew D Marques

Email: <u>m.marques@latrobe.edu.au</u> Psychology and Public Health, La Trobe University ORCID iD: <u>0000-0002-5486-650X</u>

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

	м	(SD)	n	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Age	52.920	(14.096)	5324	1					
2. Gender	.378	(.485)	5285	.119					
3. Ethnicity Asian	.033	-	5324	127	010	1			
4. Ethnicity Māori	.084	-	5324	045	040	007	1		
5. Ethnicity Pacific	.024	-	5324	030	003	.047	.072	1	
6. Employed	.749	-	5292	319	.035	.041	.008	.008	1
7. GP Have	.925	-	5287	.161	032	024	013	011	050
8. NZ Dep	4.649	(2.705)	5304	042	018	.030	.118	.035	053
9. Parent	.705	-	5320	.455	.020	076	.014	002	082
10. Partner	.736	-	5261	.064	.102	036	042	021	.063
11. Religious	.296	-	5264	.098	033	.063	.007	.031	033
12. GP Cultural Respect	6.066	(1.195)	4963	.073	069	056	079	042	038
13. GP Similarity	4.981	(1.873)	4994	.147	004	114	157	078	034
14. GP Satisfaction	5.642	(1.413)	5010	.157	.001	046	039	003	059
15. Health Care Access	7.953	(2.192)	5315	.115	.025	018	061	016	.004
16. Hours Internet	16.382	(16.699)	5279	209	.018	.057	.057	.024	.004
17. Hours News	4.816	(5.237)	5279	.270	.052	027	045	.016	171
18. Hours Social Media	4.339	(6.749)	5279	191	126	.025	.072	.030	021
19. Education	6.060	(2.559)	5239	100	033	.060	075	010	.128
20. Political Identity Centrality	4.326	(1.812)	5222	.090	.037	018	.004	018	046
21. Political Orientation	3.374	(1.424)	5250	.149	.063	.020	.019	.004	045
22. Trust in Politicians	3.695	(1.463)	5282	.077	013	011	067	044	.012
23. Satisfaction with Govt	5.398	(2.832)	5315	013	157	008	003	012	005
24. Sense of Community	4.178	(1.659)	5307	.269	064	044	.006	017	070
25. Belonging	5.064	(1.121)	5306	.153	058	036	029	025	.019
26. Agreeableness	5.389	(.991)	5306	.035	289	020	021	019	020
27. Conscientiousness	5.148	(1.076)	5306	.083	070	.010	.016	005	016
28. Extraversion	3.755	(1.233)	5306	.034	043	017	.031	.013	.052
29. Honesty-Humility	5.633	(1.114)	5306	.215	118	032	044	030	104
30. Neuroticism	3.484	(1.203)	5306	244	116	.019	.033	.013	.013
31. Openness	5.110	(1.114)	5306	061	.061	.002	.015	007	.036
32. Meaning in Life	5.456	(1.255)	5322	.246	077	024	010	.010	<.001
33. Life Satisfaction	5.315	(1.236)	5312	.153	069	013	057	012	.012
34. Political Efficacy	4.484	(1.257)	5324	008	073	.027	007	001	.017
35. Trust in Police	4.554	(1.288)	5323	.089	.013	018	090	041	.020
36. Trust in Science	5.606	(1.235)	5310	063	.055	019	080	035	.044
37. SF Subjective Health Scale	4.998	(1.164)	5324	.075	007	.015	067	018	.095

Table S1. Summary of Intercorrelations, Means, and Standard Deviations for all T11 predictors

... Table S1 continued...

	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
7. GP Have	1									
8. NZ Dep	054	1								
9. Parent	.128	057	1							
10. Partner	.037	181	.239	1						
11. Religious	.030	.037	.090	.004	1					
12. GP Cultural Respect	.155	053	.041	.013	.024	1				
13. GP Similarity	.105	111	.042	.037	003	.261	1			
14. GP Satisfaction	.207	064	.079	.009	.034	.436	.245	1		
15. Health Care Access	.131	120	.069	.124	004	.245	.142	.458	1	
16. Hours Internet	064	.065	157	098	041	029	054	049	039	1
17. Hours News	.053	.004	.082	.011	.001	.012	.030	.062	.042	.193
18. Hours Social Media	037	.100	117	088	<.001	017	07	051	056	.432
19. Education	.009	078	068	.054	017	.015	.045	008	.071	.051
20. Political Identity Centrality	.037	.001	015	.010	008	.040	.080	.045	002	.041
21. Political Orientation	.027	028	.131	.040	.274	.010	.008	.010	.008	125
22. Trust in Politicians	.044	062	.057	.039	007	.103	.110	.166	.223	029
23. Satisfaction with Government	.011	.060	033	071	065	.064	.029	.068	.112	.072
24. Sense of Community	.065	108	.221	.102	.059	.117	.091	.175	.188	140
25. Belonging	.059	099	.154	.176	.007	.196	.139	.221	.314	157
26. Agreeableness	.059	038	.064	.021	.054	.132	.087	.089	.067	049
27. Conscientiousness	.056	096	.094	.088	.039	.090	.061	.080	.118	146
28. Extraversion	.011	071	.070	.078	.018	.053	.049	.047	.092	042
29. Honesty-Humility	.023	001	.100	.022	007	.078	.049	.097	.104	111
30. Neuroticism	005	.078	172	051	015	077	053	152	222	.111
31. Openness	.008	.023	068	035	051	.040	.022	.009	.032	.100
32. Meaning in Life	.079	071	.244	.154	.122	.185	.107	.191	.275	17
33. Life Satisfaction	.037	137	.154	.228	.013	.172	.123	.210	.357	158
34. Political Efficacy	.016	014	019	010	037	.089	.061	.112	.134	.030
35. Trust in Police	.045	115	.098	.083	.067	.140	.104	.173	.248	099
36. Trust in Science	.018	096	069	.045	203	.105	.102	.112	.155	.072
37. SF Subjective Health Scale	017	100	.073	.077	005	.084	.073	.127	.236	133

... Table S1 continued...

	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
17. Hours News	1									
18. Hours Social Media	.111	1								
19. Education	.011	012	1							
20. Political Identity Centrality	.118	.036	.130	1						
21. Political Orientation	020	093	246	2	1					
22. Trust in Politicians	.082	035	.122	.077	130	1				
23. Satisfaction with Govt	.047	.089	.163	.102	401	.364	1			
24. Sense of Community	.072	090	.003	.079	.057	.160	.051	1		
25. Belonging	.029	098	.021	.015	.053	.196	.040	.369	1	
26. Agreeableness	.017	.055	.091	.067	143	.118	.181	.184	.244	1
27. Conscientiousness	007	113	037	082	.146	.058	045	.103	.267	.097
28. Extraversion	.029	.034	.044	.089	057	.091	.025	.240	.320	.201
29. Honesty-Humility	.030	093	.070	.018	087	.045	.104	.135	.147	.190
30. Neuroticism	073	.139	012	.009	047	145	.013	265	461	046
31. Openness	.012	.031	.233	.160	285	.035	.106	.034	.004	.200
32. Meaning in Life	.039	100	.101	.082	.081	.161	.030	.381	.531	.226
33. Life Satisfaction	.026	113	.079	.006	.042	.213	.069	.357	.567	.147
34. Political Efficacy	.058	.076	.175	.233	276	.363	.325	.153	.150	.172
35. Trust in Police	.042	095	001	094	.180	.373	.118	.155	.244	.029
36. Trust in Science	.069	011	.271	.147	293	.227	.197	.052	.106	.064
37. SF Subjective Health Scale	023	113	.044	023	.051	.100	017	.172	.331	.058

Notes. n = 5324. All correlation coefficients are significant at p < .01 where $r \ge .036$

... Table S1 continued...

	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
26. Agreeableness	1									
27. Conscientiousness	.040	1								
28. Extraversion	.090	07	1							
29. Honesty-Humility	202	162	176	1						
30. Neuroticism	097	.161	.029	013	1					
31. Openness	.262	.240	.191	445	.083	1				
32. Meaning in Life	.236	.248	.189	45	.006	.652	1			
33. Life Satisfaction	010	.124	.046	066	.143	.155	.146	1		
34. Political Efficacy	.150	.043	.008	168	085	.172	.244	.139	1	
35. Trust in Police	029	.027	.074	056	.207	.033	.115	.245	.131	1
36. Trust in Science	.226	.131	.125	343	.020	.331	.396	.080	.152	.058

M (SD) 1 2 3 4 5 6 1. Gender .379 - 5324 .116	Table S2. Summary of Intere	correlation	is, Means	, and Sta	ndard De	eviations	for all pre	ealctors		<u>. </u>
2. Age 54.870 (14.054) 5324 .116 3. GP Have .332 - 5304 .029 .147 1 4. Employed .733 - 5277 .012 388 .058 1 5. Ethnicity Asian .036 - .524 .003 .046 .003 .005 .010 1 6. Ethnicity MaGin .028 - .524 .003 .044 .003 .055 .073 .027 .015 9. Parent .726 - .521 .106 .030 .055 .073 .037 .051 10. Partner .729 - .521 .016 .040 .043 .016 .104 12. Trust In Politicians .819 1.602 .668 .067 .037 .051 13. GP Cultural Respect .578 1.287 .502 .042 .231 .030 .054 .101 15. GP Satisfaction .503 1.487 .508		М	(SD)		1	2	3	4	5	6
3. GP Have .932 - 5304 029 .147 1 4. Employed .733 - 5277 .012 388 054 .01 5. Ethnicity Asian .036 - .5244 .039 .046 .013 .005 .010 1 6. Ethnicity Maiori .028 .5324 .039 .046 .013 .005 .001 .009 9. Parent .726 .5278 .035 .073 .026 .014 .016 .009 9. Parent .726 .5231 .016 .030 .055 .073 .037 .051 11. NZ Dep 4.635 (2.713) S131 .015 .044 .041 .016 .104 12. Trust in Politicians 3.819 (1.022) S025 .052 .092 .231 .039 .037 .057 .017 .171 15. GP Satisfaction 5.603 (1.481) 5068 .002 .155 .142 .065 .102 .171 15. GP Satisfaction 5.497 (2.393) S511	1. Gender	.379	-	5324	1					
4. Employed .733 - 5277 .012 388 058 .1 5. Ethnicity Asian .036 - .524 014 112 004 .031 .1 6. Ethnicity Maori .028 - .524 033 024 008 033 .059 .078 8. Religious .274 - .5224 035 .073 .026 014 .010 10. Partner .725 - .521 .106 .030 .055 .073 037 .051 11. NZ Dep .4635 (2.171) .5319 .016 .040 .043 .018 .003 .054 13. GP Cultural Respect .5378 (1.287) .5025 .052 .021 .141 .065 .101 .101 .101 14. GP Similarity .4872 (1.933) .511 .012 .142 .065 .102 .171 15. GP Satisfaction .503 (1.481) .506 .016 .158 .256 .058 .019 .013 .049 .031	2. Age	54.870	(14.054)	5324	.116					
5. Ethnicity Asian .036 - 5324 014 112 004 .031 .1 6. Ethnicity Mäori .089 - 5324 039 046 013 .005 013 .005 .003 .009 .073 8. Religious .274 - 5278 035 .073 .026 014 .061 .009 9. Parent .726 - 5234 .016 .400 .058 .073 .037 .051 10. Partner .729 - 5231 .016 .040 .043 .018 .006 13. GP Cultural Respect 5.978 (1.287) 5025 .052 .029 .211 .039 .037 .066 14. GP Similarity 4.872 (1.935) 5058 .002 .125 .142 .065 .1012 .1171 15. GP Satisfaction 5.603 1.4811 5058 .002 .125 .124 .065 .010 .016 .033 .052 .017 .033 .052 .027 .006 .031 .0	3. GP Have	.932	-	5304	029	.147	1			
6. Ethnicity Maori .089 - 5324 039 046 013 .005 010 1 7. Ethnicity Pacific .028 - 5324 003 .024 008 .003 .059 .078 8. Religious .274 - 5278 035 .073 .026 014 .061 .009 9. Parent .726 - 5231 .106 .030 .055 .073 .037 051 11. NZ Dep 4.635 (2.713) 5219 015 044 .058 .041 .016 .104 12. Trust in Politicians 3.819 (1.602) 5202 030 .040 .043 .018 003 .055 .102 171 15. GP Satisfaction 5.603 (1.481) 5068 .006 .158 .256 .019 017 16. Health Care Access 7.709 (2.393) 5311 .012 .134 .168 .023 .007 .053 17. Hours Internet 2.120 (15774) 510 .051 .026 <td>4. Employed</td> <td>.733</td> <td>-</td> <td>5277</td> <td>.012</td> <td>388</td> <td>058</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td>	4. Employed	.733	-	5277	.012	388	058	1		
7. Ethnicity Pacific .028 - 5324 003 024 008 003 059 078 8. Religious .274 - 5278 035 073 026 014 061 009 9. Parent .729 - 5231 016 030 055 073 037 014 016 003 055 073 037 051 12. Trust in Politicians 819 (602) 020 030 040 043 018 003 054 13. GP Cultural Respect 5.978 (1.287) 5025 052 092 211 039 031 006 15. GP Satifisation 603 (1.481) .006 158 256 052 092 211 010 012 114 168 023 007 059 017 031 040 033 042 055 017 033 060 151 117 161 018 045 060 021 018 <td>5. Ethnicity Asian</td> <td>.036</td> <td>-</td> <td>5324</td> <td>014</td> <td>112</td> <td>004</td> <td>.031</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>	5. Ethnicity Asian	.036	-	5324	014	112	004	.031	1	
8. Religious .274 - 5278 .035 .073 .026 .014 .001 9. Parent .726 - 5324 .016 .420 .068 .036 .077 .019 10. Partner .729 - 5331 .106 .030 .055 .073 .037 .051 11. NZ Dep .4635 (2.713) 5319 015 044 .058 .041 .016 .104 12. Trust in Politicians 3.819 (1.602) 5202 .030 .040 .043 .018 .003 .057 .037 .064 13. GP Cultural Respect 5.978 (1.287) 5058 .002 .125 .142 .055 .102 .171 15. GP Satisfaction 5.603 (1.481) 5068 .006 .158 .023 .007 .059 17. Hours Internet 2.120 (1.9714) 5110 .013 .012 .0108 .064 .030 18. Hours News 5.436 (4.908) 5094 .043 .233 .052 .027	6. Ethnicity Māori	.089	-	5324	039	046	013	.005	010	1
9. Parent .726 - 5324 .016 .420 .068 086 077 .019 10. Partner .729 - .5231 .106 .030 .055 .073 .037 .051 11. NZ Dep .4635 [2.713] .519 .015 .044 .058 .041 .016 .104 12. Trust in Politicians .819 (1.602) .502 .030 .040 .043 .018 .003 .054 13. GP Cultural Respect .5978 (1.287) .5058 .052 .092 .231 .003 .012 .111 16. Health Care Access 7.709 (2.393) .5311 .012 .134 .168 .023 .007 .059 17. Hours Internet 2.120 (19.714) .512 .019 .251 .031 .008 .053 .049 18. Hours News .5436 (4.908) .044 .043 .293 .052 .207 .006 .030 19. Hours Internet 2.120 1.971 .013 .052 .075 .013<	7. Ethnicity Pacific	.028	-	5324	003	024	008	003	.059	.078
10. Partner .729 - 5231 .106 .030 .055 .073 037 051 11. NZ Dep 4.635 (2.713) 5319 015 044 058 041 .1016 .104 12. Trust in Politicians 3.819 (1.602) 5202 030 .040 .043 .018 003 056 13. GP Cultural Respect 5.978 (1.287) 5025 052 .022 .231 039 031 066 171 15. GP Satisfaction 5.063 (1.481) 5068 .002 .125 .142 058 017 017 16. Health Care Access 7.709 (2.333) 5311 .012 134 .168 .023 .007 059 17. Hours Internet 2.120 (19.714) 5132 .004 .018 .045 .040 .018 .045 .040 .018 .045 .040 19. Hours Social Media 5.154 (8.199) 5090 043 .913 152 .020 .052 .071 .033 <	8. Religious	.274	-	5278	035	.073	.026	014	.061	.009
11. NZ Dep 4.635 (2.713) 5319 015 044 058 041 .016 .104 12. Trust in Politicians 3.819 (1.602) 5202 030 .040 .043 .018 003 054 13. GP Cultural Respect 5.978 (1.287) 5025 052 .021 2.311 039 037 066 14. GP Similarity 4.872 (1.935) 5058 002 .125 .142 065 102 171 15. GP Satisfaction 5.603 (1.411) 5068 .006 .158 .256 058 019 017 16. Health Care Access 7.709 (2.393) 5311 .012 .134 .168 023 .007 59 17. Hours Internet 2.120 (1.9714) 5132 .013 .052 .207 .006 .030 21. Political Identity Centrality 4.350 (1.774) 5210 .052 .071 .033 .062 .007 .003 .012 24. Sense of Community 4.286 1.626	9. Parent	.726	-	5324	.016	.420	.068	086	077	.019
12. Trust in Politicians 3.819 (1.602) 5202 030 .040 .043 .018 003 054 13. GP Cultural Respect 5.978 (1.287) 5025 052 .092 .231 039 .037 066 14. GP Similarity 4.872 (1.935) 5058 002 .125 .142 065 .1012 171 15. GP Satisfation 5.033 (1.441) 5068 .006 .158 .256 .058 .019 017 16. Health Care Access 7.709 (2.393) 5311 .012 .134 .168 .023 .007 059 17. Hours Internet 2.120 (19.714) 5132 019 251 031 .080 .043 .022 .027 .006 030 19. Hours Social Media 5.154 (8.199) 5090 133 .052 .027 .060 .020 22. Political Orientation 3.291 (1.345) 5227 .063 .157 .013 .047 .022 .007 .033 23. Satisfaction	10. Partner	.729	-	5231	.106	.030	.055	.073	037	051
13. GP Cultural Respect 5.978 (1.287) 5025 052 .092 .231 039 037 066 14. GP Similarity 4.872 (1.335) 5058 002 .125 .142 065 102 171 15. GP Satisfaction 5.603 (1.481) 5068 .006 .158 .256 058 019 017 16. Health Care Access 7.709 (2.393) 5311 .012 .134 .168 023 .007 059 17. Hours Internet 2.120 (19.714) 5132 019 251 031 .080 .053 .049 18. Hours News 5.436 (4.908) 5094 .043 .293 .052 .207 .006 .030 19. Hours Social Media 5.154 (8.199) 5090 .133 .102 .040 .018 .045 .060 22. Political Orientation 5.934 (2.652) 5297 .045 .163 .005 .132 .006 .010 23. Satisfaction with Govt 4.321 (1.328)	11. NZ Dep	4.635	(2.713)	5319	015	044	058	041	.016	.104
14. GP Similarity4.872(1.935)5058002.125.14206510217115. GP Satisfaction5.603(1.481)5068.006.158.25605801901716. Health Care Access7.709(2.393)5311.012.134.168023.00705917. Hours Internet2.120(19.714)5132019251031.080.033.04918. Hours News5.436(4.908)5094.043.293.05220700603019. Hours Social Media5.154(8.199)5090133192040.018.045.06020. Education5.934(2.652)5297045163.005.132.06008421. Political Identity Centrality4.350(1.74)5210.052.071.033.062.005.01123. Satisfaction with Govt4.981(3.208)5319115.013.047.027.007.01324. Sense of Community4.286(1.626)5220068.257.093.007.003.01525. Agreeableness5.137(1.074)5322056.094.059.007.003.01527. Extraversion3.698(1.231)5314.029.041.046.029.01930. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323.119.236.014.046 </td <td>12. Trust in Politicians</td> <td>3.819</td> <td>(1.602)</td> <td>5202</td> <td>030</td> <td>.040</td> <td>.043</td> <td>.018</td> <td>003</td> <td>054</td>	12. Trust in Politicians	3.819	(1.602)	5202	030	.040	.043	.018	003	054
15. GP Satisfaction 5.603 (1.481) 5068 .006 .158 .256 058 019 16. Health Care Access 7.709 (2.393) 5311 .012 .134 .168 023 .007 059 17. Hours Internet 2.120 (19.714) 5132 019 251 031 .080 .053 .049 18. Hours News 5.436 (4.908) 5094 .043 .293 .052 207 066 030 19. Hours Social Media 5.154 (8.199) 5090 133 192 040 .018 .045 .060 20. Education 5.934 (2.652) 5297 045 .163 .005 .132 .060 .020 21. Political Orientation 3.291 (1.345) 5227 .063 .157 .014 .027 .007 .013 24. Sense of Community 4.286 (1.626) 5220 068 .257 .093 .007 .003 .015 27. Extraversion 3.698 (1.31) 5323 027	13. GP Cultural Respect	5.978	(1.287)	5025	052	.092	.231	039	037	066
16. Health Care Access7.709(2.393)5311.012.134.168023.00705917. Hours Internet2.120(19.714)5132019251031.080.053.04918. Hours News5.436(4.908)5094.043.293.05220700603019. Hours Social Media5.154(8.199)5090133192040.018.045.06020. Education5.934(2.652)5297045163.005.132.060.02022. Political Identity Centrality4.350(1.774)5210.052.071.033062.005.02023. satisfaction with Govt4.981(3.208)5319115.013.047027.007.01324. Sense of Community4.286(1.626)5220.068.257.093.007.003.01525. Agreeableness5.361(1.019)5323272.025.053.001.028.03226. Conscientiousness5.137(1.074)5322.056.094.045.041.020.02827. Extraversion3.698(1.231)5321.029.014.045.041.020.02529. Openness5.101(1.113)5318.077.036.010.019.017.01230. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323.119.276.014.0	14. GP Similarity	4.872	(1.935)	5058	002	.125	.142	065	102	171
17. Hours Internet 2.120 (19.714) 5132 019 251 031 .080 .053 .049 18. Hours News 5.436 (4.908) 5094 .043 .293 .052 207 006 030 19. Hours Social Media 5.154 (8.199) 5090 133 192 040 .018 .045 .060 20. Education 5.934 (2.652) 5297 045 163 .005 .132 .006 084 21. Political Identity Centrality 4.350 (1.774) 5210 .052 .071 .033 .062 .000 .020 22. Political Orientation 3.291 (1.345) 5227 .063 .157 .014 055 .018 .007 23. satisfaction with Govt 4.981 (3.208) 5319 115 .013 .047 .027 .007 .013 24. Sense of Community 4.286 (1.626) 520 .066 .053 .001 .028 .032 26. Conscientiousness 5.137 (1.074) 5322	15. GP Satisfaction	5.603	(1.481)	5068	.006	.158	.256	058	019	017
18. Hours News 5.436 (4.908) 5094 .043 .293 .052 207 006 030 19. Hours Social Media 5.154 (8.199) 5090 133 192 040 .018 .045 .060 20. Education 5.934 (2.652) 5297 045 163 .005 .132 .060 020 21. Political Orientation 3.291 (1.345) 5227 .063 .157 .014 055 .018 .007 23. Satisfaction with Govt 4.981 (3.208) 5319 115 .013 .047 027 .007 .013 24. Sense of Community 4.286 (1.626) 5220 .068 .257 .093 .076 .036 .002 25. Agreeableness 5.137 (1.074) 5322 .025 .053 .001 .028 .032 26. Conscientiousness 5.137 (1.074) 5321 .029 .041 .045 .041 .020 .028 28. Honesty-Humility 5.696 (1.11) 5323 .096	16. Health Care Access	7.709	(2.393)	5311	.012	.134	.168	023	.007	059
19. Hours Social Media5.154(8.19)5090133192040.018.045.06020. Education5.934(2.652)5297045163.005.132.06008421. Political Identity Centrality4.350(1.774)5210.052.071.033062005.02022. Political Orientation3.291(1.345)5227.068.157.014055.018.00723. Satisfaction with Govt4.981(3.208)5319115.013.047027.007.01324. Sense of Community4.286(1.626)5220068.257.093.07603600225. Agreeableness5.361(1.019)5323272.025.053.00102803226. Conscientiousness5.137(1.074)5322056.094.059007.003.01527. Extraversion3.698(1.211)5318.077036.010.019.017.01529. Openness5.101(1.113)5314.047.164.100.002.028.03532. Meaning in Life5.425(1.266)5300.048.232.086.026.024.01333. Life Satisfaction5.182(1.281)5314.018.144.063.017.020.00535. Trust in Police5.873(1.202)5294.002.061 <td< td=""><td>17. Hours Internet</td><td>2.120</td><td>(19.714)</td><td>5132</td><td>019</td><td>251</td><td>031</td><td>.080</td><td>.053</td><td>.049</td></td<>	17. Hours Internet	2.120	(19.714)	5132	019	251	031	.080	.053	.049
20. Education5.934(2.652)5297045163.005.132.06008421. Political Identity Centrality4.350(1.774)5210.052.071.033062005.02022. Political Orientation3.291(1.345)5227.063.157.014055.018.00723. Satisfaction with Govt4.981(3.208)5319115.013.047027.007.01324. Sense of Community4.286(1.626)5220066.257.093076036.00225. Agreeableness5.137(1.019)5323272.025.053.001028.03226. Conscientiousness5.137(1.074)5322056.094.059.007.003.01527. Extraversion3.698(1.231)5321029.041.045.041020.02828. Honesty-Humility5.696(1.11)5318.077036010.019017.01230. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323119276014.046.029.00331. Belonging5.061(1.13)5314047.164.10000202803232. Meaning in Life5.425(1.266)5300048.232.08602602401333. Life Satisfaction5.182(1.281)5314.018.144 <td>18. Hours News</td> <td>5.436</td> <td>(4.908)</td> <td>5094</td> <td>.043</td> <td>.293</td> <td>.052</td> <td>207</td> <td>006</td> <td>030</td>	18. Hours News	5.436	(4.908)	5094	.043	.293	.052	207	006	030
20. Education5.934(2.652)5297045163.005.132.06008421. Political Identity Centrality4.350(1.774)5210.052.071.033062005.02022. Political Orientation3.291(1.345)5227.063.157.014055.018.00723. Satisfaction with Govt4.981(3.208)5319115.013.047027.007.01324. Sense of Community4.286(1.626)5220066.257.09307603600225. Agreeableness5.131(1.019)5323272.025.053.001028.03226. Conscientiousness5.137(1.074)5322056.094.059.007.003.01527. Extraversion3.698(1.231)5311029.041.045.041020.02828. Honesty-Humility5.696(1.11)5323096.243.038135037.05529. Openness5.101(1.113)5318.077036.010.019.017.01230. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323.119276.014.046.029.01931. Belonging5.061(1.13)5314.007.066.026.024.03332. Meaning in Life5.425(1.266)5300.048.232.086.026 <td< td=""><td>19. Hours Social Media</td><td>5.154</td><td>(8.199)</td><td>5090</td><td>133</td><td>192</td><td>040</td><td>.018</td><td>.045</td><td>.060</td></td<>	19. Hours Social Media	5.154	(8.199)	5090	133	192	040	.018	.045	.060
21. Political Identity Centrality4.350(1.774)5210.052.071.033062005.02022. Political Orientation3.291(1.345)5227.063.157.014055.018.00723. Satisfaction with Govt4.981(3.208)5319115.013.047027.007.01324. Sense of Community4.286(1.626)5220068.257.09307602802225. Agreeableness5.361(1.019)5323272.025.053.001020.02826. Conscientiousness5.137(1.074)5322056.094.045.041020.02828. Honesty-Humility5.696(1.11)5323096.243.03813503705529. Openness5.101(1.113)5318.077036.010.019017.01230. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323119276.014.046.029.01931. Belonging5.061(1.13)5314047.164.10002602403333. Life Satisfaction5.182(1.281)5322033.160.077.01501305734. Political Efficacy4.307(1.314)5311031.004.045.02103700935. Trust in Police4.415(1.33)5314.018.14	20. Education	5.934	(2.652)	5297	045	163	.005	.132	.060	084
22. Political Orientation3.291(1.345)5227.063.157.014055.018.00723. Satisfaction with Govt4.981(3.208)5319115.013.047027.007.01324. Sense of Community4.286(1.626)5220068.257.09307603600225. Agreeableness5.361(1.019)5323272.025.053.00102803226. Conscientiousness5.137(1.074)5322056.094.059007.003.01527. Extraversion3.698(1.231)5321029.041.045.041020.02828. Honesty-Humility5.696(1.11)5323076010.019017.01230. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323119276014.046.029.01931. Belonging5.061(1.13)5314047.164.10000202803332. Meaning in Life5.425(1.266)5300048.232.08602602401333. Life Satisfaction5.182(1.281)5322033.160.07701501305734. Political Efficacy4.307(1.314)5311031.004.045.021.03700935. Trust in Police5.873(1.202)5294.002061.066 <td>21. Political Identity Centrality</td> <td>4.350</td> <td></td> <td>5210</td> <td>.052</td> <td>.071</td> <td>.033</td> <td>062</td> <td>005</td> <td>.020</td>	21. Political Identity Centrality	4.350		5210	.052	.071	.033	062	005	.020
23. Satisfaction with Govt4.981(3.208)5319115.013.047027.007.01324. Sense of Community4.286(1.626)5220068.257.09307603600225. Agreeableness5.361(1.019)5323272.025.053.00102803226. Conscientiousness5.137(1.074)5322056.094.059007.003.01527. Extraversion3.698(1.211)5321029.041.045.041020.02828. Honesty-Humility5.696(1.11)5323077036.010.019017.01230. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323.119276.014.046.029.01931. Belonging5.061(1.13)5314047.164.100.002.028.03532. Meaning in Life5.425(1.266)5300048.232.086026.024.01333. Life Satisfaction5.182(1.281)5322033.160.077.015.013.05734. Political Efficacy4.307(1.34)5311031.004.045.021.037.00935. Trust in Police5.873(1.202)5294.002061.066.048.032.08737. SF Subjective Health Scale4.92(1.183)5323.011.064.027		3.291		5227	.063	.157	.014	055	.018	.007
25. Agreeableness5.361(1.019)5323272.025.053.00102803226. Conscientiousness5.137(1.074)5322056.094.059007.003.01527. Extraversion3.698(1.231)5321029.041.045.041020.02828. Honesty-Humility5.696(1.11)5323096.243.03813503705529. Openness5.101(1.113)5318.077036010.019017.01230. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323119276014.046.029.01931. Belonging5.061(1.13)5314047.164.10000202803532. Meaning in Life5.425(1.266)5300048.232.08602602401333. Life Satisfaction5.182(1.281)5322033.160.07701501305734. Political Efficacy4.307(1.314)5311031.004.045.021.03700935. Trust in Police4.415(1.33)5314.018.144.063.01702001036. Trust in Science5.873(1.202)5294.002061.066.04803208737. SF Subjective Health Scale4.92(1.183)5323.001.064027 </td <td>23. Satisfaction with Govt</td> <td>4.981</td> <td></td> <td>5319</td> <td>115</td> <td>.013</td> <td>.047</td> <td>027</td> <td>.007</td> <td>.013</td>	23. Satisfaction with Govt	4.981		5319	115	.013	.047	027	.007	.013
25. Agreeableness5.361(1.019)5323272.025.053.00102803226. Conscientiousness5.137(1.074)5322056.094.059007.003.01527. Extraversion3.698(1.231)5321029.041.045.041020.02828. Honesty-Humility5.696(1.11)5323096.243.03813503705529. Openness5.101(1.113)5318.077036010.019017.01230. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323119276014.046.029.01931. Belonging5.061(1.13)5314047.164.10000202803332. Meaning in Life5.425(1.266)5300048.232.08602602401333. Life Satisfaction5.182(1.281)5322033.160.07701501305734. Political Efficacy4.307(1.314)5311031.004.045.021.03700935. Trust in Science5.873(1.202)5294.002061.066.04803208737. SF Subjective Health Scale4.92(1.183)5323.001.064027.080.005.07038. Conspiracy Belief3.922(1.744)5207.019.153.041<	24. Sense of Community	4.286	(1.626)	5220	068	.257	.093	076	036	002
26. Conscientiousness5.137(1.074)5322056.094.059007.003.01527. Extraversion3.698(1.231)5321029.041.045.041020.02828. Honesty-Humility5.696(1.11)5323096.243.03813503705529. Openness5.101(1.113)5318.077036010.019017.01230. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323119276014.046.029.01931. Belonging5.061(1.13)5314047.164.10000202803532. Meaning in Life5.425(1.266)5300048.232.08602602401333. Life Satisfaction5.182(1.281)5322033.160.07701501305734. Political Efficacy4.307(1.314)5311031.004.045.021.03700935. Trust in Police4.415(1.33)5314.018.144.06301702010036. Trust in Science5.873(1.202)5294.002061.066.04803208737. SF Subjective Health Scale4.92(1.183)5323.001.064027.080.005.07039. COVID Exaggeration2.417(1.949)5195.098.0360	'	5.361	. ,			.025				032
27. Extraversion3.698(1.231)5321029.041.045.041020.02828. Honesty-Humility5.696(1.11)5323096.243.03813503705529. Openness5.101(1.113)5318.077036010.019017.01230. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323119276014.046.029.01931. Belonging5.061(1.13)5314047.164.10000202803532. Meaning in Life5.425(1.266)5300048.232.08602602401333. Life Satisfaction5.182(1.281)5322033.160.07701501305734. Political Efficacy4.307(1.314)5311031.004.045.021.03700935. Trust in Police4.415(1.33)5314.018.144.06301702010036. Trust in Science5.873(1.202)5294.002061.066.04803208737. SF Subjective Health Scale4.92(1.183)5323.001.064027.080.005.06138. Conspiracy Belief3.922(1.744)5207.019.153041.101.005.07039. COVID Exaggeration2.417(1.993)5252.054.10003	0									
28. Honesty-Humility5.696(1.11)5323096.243.03813503705529. Openness5.101(1.113)5318.077036010.019017.01230. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323119276014.046.029.01931. Belonging5.061(1.13)5314047.164.10000202803532. Meaning in Life5.425(1.266)5300048.232.08602602401333. Life Satisfaction5.182(1.281)5322033.160.07701501305734. Political Efficacy4.307(1.314)5311031.004.045.021.03709935. Trust in Police4.415(1.33)5314.018.144.06301702010036. Trust in Science5.873(1.202)5294.002061.066.04803208737. SF Subjective Health Scale4.92(1.183)5323.001.064027.080.005.07039. COVID Exaggeration2.417(1.949)5195.098.036084019.006.01140. COVID Lab Leak3.445(1.993)5252.054.100039022.006.02641. COVID Trust Govt.4.648(1.981)5214108.005	27. Extraversion	3.698		5321	029	.041	.045	.041	020	.028
29. Openness5.101(1.113)5318.077036010.019017.01230. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323119276014.046.029.01931. Belonging5.061(1.13)5314047.164.10000202803532. Meaning in Life5.425(1.266)5300048.232.08602602401333. Life Satisfaction5.182(1.281)5322033.160.07701501305734. Political Efficacy4.307(1.314)5311031.004.045.021.03700935. Trust in Police4.415(1.33)5314.018.144.06301702010036. Trust in Science5.873(1.202)5294.002061.066.04803208737. SF Subjective Health Scale4.92(1.183)5323.001.064027.080.005.06138. Conspiracy Belief3.922(1.744)5207.019.153041101005.07039. COVID Exaggeration2.417(1.949)5195.098.036084019.006.01140. COVID Lab Leak3.445(1.931)5214108.005.070.014.009<.001	28. Honesty-Humility	5.696		5323		.243	.038	135		055
30. Neuroticism3.454(1.225)5323119276014.046.029.01931. Belonging5.061(1.13)5314047.164.10000202803532. Meaning in Life5.425(1.266)5300048.232.08602602401333. Life Satisfaction5.182(1.281)5322033.160.07701501305734. Political Efficacy4.307(1.314)5311031.004.045.021.03700935. Trust in Police4.415(1.33)5314.018.144.06301702010036. Trust in Science5.873(1.202)5294.002061.066.04803208737. SF Subjective Health Scale4.92(1.183)5323.001.064027.080.005.07039. COVID Exaggeration2.417(1.949)5195.098.036084019.006.01140. COVID Lab Leak3.445(1.993)5252.054.100039022.006.02641. COVID Trust Govt.4.648(1.981)5214108.005.070.014.009<.001	, ,		. ,							
31. Belonging5.061(1.13)5314047.164.10000202803532. Meaning in Life5.425(1.266)5300048.232.08602602401333. Life Satisfaction5.182(1.281)5322033.160.07701501305734. Political Efficacy4.307(1.314)5311031.004.045.021.03700935. Trust in Police4.415(1.33)5314.018.144.06301702010036. Trust in Science5.873(1.202)5294.002061.066.04803208737. SF Subjective Health Scale4.92(1.183)5323.001.064027.080.00506138. Conspiracy Belief3.922(1.744)5207.019.153041101005.07039. COVID Exaggeration2.417(1.949)5195.098.036084019.006.01140. COVID Lab Leak3.445(1.993)5252.054.100039022.006.02641. COVID Trust Govt.4.648(1.981)5214108.005.070014.009<.001										
32. Meaning in Life 5.425 (1.26) 5300 048 .232 .086 026 024 013 33. Life Satisfaction 5.182 (1.281) 5322 033 .160 .077 015 013 057 34. Political Efficacy 4.307 (1.314) 5311 031 .004 .045 .021 .037 009 35. Trust in Police 4.415 (1.33) 5314 .018 .144 .063 017 020 100 36. Trust in Science 5.873 (1.202) 5294 .002 061 .066 .048 032 087 37. SF Subjective Health Scale 4.92 (1.183) 5323 .001 .064 027 .080 .005 061 38. Conspiracy Belief 3.922 (1.744) 5207 .019 .153 041 101 005 .070 39. COVID Exaggeration 2.417 (1.949) 5195 .098 .036 084 019 .006 .011 40. COVID Lab Leak 3.445 (1.993)										
33. Life Satisfaction 5.182 (1.281) 5322 033 .160 .077 015 013 057 34. Political Efficacy 4.307 (1.314) 5311 031 .004 .045 .021 .037 009 35. Trust in Police 4.415 (1.33) 5314 .018 .144 .063 017 020 100 36. Trust in Science 5.873 (1.202) 5294 .002 061 .066 .048 032 087 37. SF Subjective Health Scale 4.92 (1.183) 5323 .001 .064 027 .080 .005 061 38. Conspiracy Belief 3.922 (1.744) 5207 .019 .153 041 101 005 .070 39. COVID Exaggeration 2.417 (1.949) 5195 .098 .036 084 019 .006 .011 40. COVID Lab Leak 3.445 (1.993) 5252 .054 .100 039 022 .006 .026 41. COVID Trust Govt. 4.648 (1.981)										
34. Political Efficacy4.307(1.314)5311031.004.045.021.03700935. Trust in Police4.415(1.33)5314.018.144.06301702010036. Trust in Science5.873(1.202)5294.002061.066.04803208737. SF Subjective Health Scale4.92(1.183)5323.001.064027.080.00506138. Conspiracy Belief3.922(1.744)5207.019.153041101005.07039. COVID Exaggeration2.417(1.949)5195.098.036084019.006.01140. COVID Lab Leak3.445(1.993)5252.054.100039022.006.02641. COVID Trust Govt.4.648(1.981)5214108.005.070014.009<.001	5		. ,							
35. Trust in Police4.415(1.33)5314.018.144.06301702010036. Trust in Science5.873(1.202)5294.002061.066.04803208737. SF Subjective Health Scale4.92(1.183)5323.001.064027.080.00506138. Conspiracy Belief3.922(1.744)5207.019.153041101005.07039. COVID Exaggeration2.417(1.949)5195.098.036084019.006.01140. COVID Lab Leak3.445(1.993)5252.054.100039022.006.02641. COVID Trust Govt.4.648(1.981)5214108.005.070014.009<.001			. ,							
36. Trust in Science 5.873 (1.202) 5294 .002 061 .066 .048 032 087 37. SF Subjective Health Scale 4.92 (1.183) 5323 .001 .064 027 .080 .005 061 38. Conspiracy Belief 3.922 (1.744) 5207 .019 .153 041 101 005 .070 39. COVID Exaggeration 2.417 (1.949) 5195 .098 .036 084 019 .006 .011 40. COVID Lab Leak 3.445 (1.993) 5252 .054 .100 039 022 .006 .026 41. COVID Trust Govt. 4.648 (1.981) 5214 108 .005 .070 014 .009 <.001	'									
37. SF Subjective Health Scale4.92(1.183)5323.001.064027.080.00506138. Conspiracy Belief3.922(1.744)5207.019.153041101005.07039. COVID Exaggeration2.417(1.949)5195.098.036084019.006.01140. COVID Lab Leak3.445(1.993)5252.054.100039022.006.02641. COVID Trust Govt.4.648(1.981)5214108.005.070014.009<.001										
38. Conspiracy Belief 3.922 (1.744) 5207 .019 .153 041 101 005 .070 39. COVID Exaggeration 2.417 (1.949) 5195 .098 .036 084 019 .006 .011 40. COVID Lab Leak 3.445 (1.993) 5252 .054 .100 039 022 .006 .026 41. COVID Trust Govt. 4.648 (1.981) 5214 108 .005 .070 014 .009 <.001										
39. COVID Exaggeration 2.417 (1.949) 5195 .098 .036 084 019 .006 .011 40. COVID Lab Leak 3.445 (1.993) 5252 .054 .100 039 022 .006 .026 41. COVID Trust Govt. 4.648 (1.981) 5214 108 .005 .070 014 .009 <.001										
40. COVID Lab Leak 3.445 (1.993) 5252 .054 .100 039 022 .006 .026 41. COVID Trust Govt. 4.648 (1.981) 5214 108 .005 .070 014 .009 <.001										
41. COVID Trust Govt. 4.648 (1.981) 5214 108 .005 .070 014 .009 <.001	00									
42. Disgust Sensitivity 4.089 (1.823) 5205104141 .001 .002 .085 .023										
5 i i i i										
43. Health Locus of Control 4.901 (1.170) 5323 .043 .084017 .045 .015 .021	43. Health Locus of Control	4.901		5323	.043	.084		.045	.005	.021

... Table S2 continued...

	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
7. Ethnicity Pacific	1									
8. Religious	.033	1								
9. Parent	005	.074	1							
10. Partner	033	002	.237	1						
11. NZ Dep	.024	.035	067	191	1					
12. Trust in Politicians	033	062	.001	.011	052	1				
13. GP Cultural Respect	027	.012	.039	.042	06	.139	1			
14. GP Similarity	090	005	.035	.043	123	.119	.302	1		
15. GP Satisfaction	.012	.018	.065	.028	049	.175	.489	.264	1	
16. Health Care Access	.005	003	.034	.077	086	.260	.312	.175	.532	1
17. Hours Internet	.059	045	158	077	.073	007	044	059	068	015
18. Hours News	.038	.020	.092	.030	013	.098	.039	.041	.061	030
19. Hours Social Media	.084	001	093	059	.106	040	028	049	046	052
20. Education	016	027	102	.043	082	.155	.026	.040	006	002
21. Political Identity Centrality	002	022	032	011	.022	.093	.030	.059	.029	.006
22. Political Orientation	.016	.269	.145	.047	016	230	013	008	.015	.012
23. Satisfaction with Govt	010	087	064	081	.045	.567	.109	.080	.140	019
24. Sense of Community	025	.037	.224	.114	102	.133	.138	.100	.183	.043
25. Agreeableness	017	.067	.050	.015	027	.085	.107	.070	.075	133
26. Conscientiousness	.003	.031	.098	.084	105	.026	.104	.053	.112	045
27. Extraversion	.032	.007	.089	.085	070	.064	.06	.052	.063	.052
28. Honesty-Humility	037	.010	.098	.012	.006	.078	.058	.044	.068	.063
29. Openness	002	047	053	013	.007	.050	.044	.026	.007	115
30. Neuroticism	.010	029	179	051	.060	107	071	05	152	068
31. Belonging	031	002	.141	.168	095	.197	.212	.156	.232	272
32. Meaning in Life	.010	.121	.233	.165	081	.091	.168	.104	.187	056
33. Life Satisfaction	004	.020	.160	.239	125	.190	.189	.139	.238	029
34. Political Efficacy	.006	013	030	004	016	.433	.107	.081	.123	096
35. Trust in Police	016	.037	.092	.075	102	.371	.171	.124	.212	.077
36. Trust in Science	038	202	060	.068	096	.339	.152	.101	.157	119
37. SF Subjective Health Scale	017	009	.071	.098	110	.074	.08	.075	.118	047
38. Conspiracy Belief	.022	.079	.067	063	.055	413	084	063	095	048
39. COVID Exaggeration	.020	.059	.074	015	.038	280	101	083	110	033
40. COVID Lab Leak	.019	.115	.099	.002	.022	276	056	070	074	031
41. COVID Trust Govt.	027	072	063	072	.035	.589	.137	.101	.166	.018
42. Disgust Sensitivity	.027	.040	112	047	.044	036	.004	023	025	.002
43. Health Locus of Control	.018	.009	.073	.042	039	.032	.087	.039	.128	.001

... Table S2 continued...

	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
17. Hours Internet	1								
18. Hours News	.11	1							
19. Hours Social Media	.388	.086	1						
20. Education	.063	025	022	1					
21. Political Identity Centrality	.050	.102	.018	.139	1				
22. Political Orientation	126	.008	056	265	212	1			
23. Satisfaction with Govt	.050	.058	.020	.172	.092	397	1		
24. Sense of Community	120	.097	054	009	.079	.047	.049	1	
25. Agreeableness	012	.010	.034	.061	.051	125	.128	.163	1
26. Conscientiousness	123	.001	105	037	080	.140	017	.136	.108
27. Extraversion	019	.027	.045	.035	.106	049	007	.246	.198
28. Honesty-Humility	132	.058	114	.054	005	066	.119	.121	.181
29. Openness	.068	.002	004	.222	.141	265	.100	.032	.185
30. Neuroticism	.126	071	.133	.016	.025	058	009	284	025
31. Belonging	111	.054	085	.013	.035	.030	.078	.383	.202
32. Meaning in Life	148	.054	086	.070	.079	.076	.017	.389	.209
33. Life Satisfaction	149	.054	114	.050	.018	.040	.067	.365	.114
34. Political Efficacy	.044	.085	.033	.153	.239	265	.367	.162	.132
35. Trust in Police	134	.068	112	017	116	.131	.190	.177	.033
36. Trust in Science	.046	.062	029	.245	.110	302	.316	.064	.062
37. SF Subjective Health Scale	127	026	095	.026	037	.066	035	.174	.065
38. Conspiracy Belief	024	044	.023	195	038	.224	337	034	067
39. COVID Exaggeration	045	075	.014	16	076	.238	359	032	110
40. COVID Lab Leak	043	005	.002	213	056	.294	333	.001	069
41. COVID Trust Govt.	.046	.053	.017	.154	.089	354	.832	.069	.134
42. Disgust Sensitivity	.066	026	.075	017	.010	.035	018	076	.007
43. Health Locus of Control	108	029	043	125	060	.181	078	.174	005

... Table S2 continued...

	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
26. Conscientiousness	1									
27. Extraversion	.069	1								
28. Honesty-Humility	.077	086	1							
29. Openness	066	.144	.044	1						
30. Neuroticism	242	148	162	040	1					
31. Belonging	.283	.295	.147	.008	435	1				
32. Meaning in Life	.283	.252	.184	.101	446	.283	1			
33. Life Satisfaction	.252	.222	.170	.022	460	.252	.645	1		
34. Political Efficacy	.004	.108	.044	.153	069	.004	.162	.157	1	
35. Trust in Police	.165	.050	.041	073	181	.165	.181	.233	.130	1
36. Trust in Science	.006	.035	.052	.167	038	.006	.029	.102	.277	.204
37. SF Subjective Health Scale	.245	.155	.117	.024	336	.245	.315	.389	.078	.124
38. Conspiracy Belief	004	044	046	083	.034	004	025	109	270	234
39. COVID Exaggeration	.023	.001	069	082	024	.023	003	031	202	102
40. COVID Lab Leak	.029	010	101	079	011	.029	.019	044	191	056
41. COVID Trust Govt.	014	007	.112	.077	.001	014	.030	.090	.390	.232
42. Disgust Sensitivity	.011	062	145	078	.156	.011	101	096	015	036
43. Health Locus of Control	.212	.103	.023	039	240	.212	.274	.329	.106	.154

Notes. n = 5324. All correlation coefficients are significant at p < .01 where $r \ge .036$

... Table S2 continued...

	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43
36. Trust in Science	1							
37. SF Subjective Health Scale	.031	1						
38. Conspiracy Belief	362	039	1					
39. COVID Exaggeration	353	.029	.325	1				
40. COVID Lab Leak	314	004	.349	.290	1			
41. COVID Trust Govt.	.374	033	384	413	362	1		
42. Disgust Sensitivity	043	109	.066	.001	.043	<.001	1	
43. Health Locus of Control	042	.493	.104	.142	.138	079	033	1
Neter a EDDA All consoletters of	- ff! -! + -			< 01		<i>c</i>		